U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE OFFICE OF ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

U.S. MANUFACTURING COUNCIL MEETING

+ + + + +

THURSDAY, APRIL 12, 2016

+ + + + +

CLEVELAND, OHIO

+ + + + +

The U.S. Manufacturing Council Meeting convened at the Union Club of Cleveland, 1211 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio at 9:15 a.m.

PRESENT:

SUSAN SMYTH, Chair, Chief Scientist for GM Manufacturing

CLAUDINE MARTINEZ, Vice Chair, President & General Counsel, MCT Industries

JAN ALLMAN, President, CEO & General Manager,
Marinette Marine Corporation

CHRISTIE WONG BARRETT, CEO, Mac Arthur Corporationb

CRAIG FREEDMAN, President & CEO, Freedman Seating Company

ROBERT FRIEDLAND, President & CEO, Proton OnSite CODY FRIESEN, Founder, Fluidic Energy

HIROYURKI FUJITA, Founder, President & CEO, QED ALBERT GREEN, CEO, Kent Displays

DAWN GROVE, Corporate Counsel, Karsten Manufacturing Corporation

JAMES KEPPLER, Vice President, Integrated Supply Chain & Quality, Whirlpool

- KATHLEEN KINGSCOTT, Vice President, Strategic Partnerships, IMB Research, IBM Corporation ZACHARY MOTTL, Chief Alignment Officer, Atlas Tool and Die Works
- TIMOTHY O'MEARA, President, GEMCITY Engineering and Manufacturing
- ANDRA RUSH, Chief Executive Officer, The Rush Group
- MARSHA SERLIN, Founder & CEO, United Scrap Metal IRWIN SHUR, Vice President, General Counsel & Secretary, Snap-on
- JEFFREY WILCOX, Vice President, Engineering, Lockheed Martin
- RAYMOND YEAGER, President & CEO, DMI Companies
 BILL YEARGIN, President & CEO, Correct Craft
- MEGAN BREWSTER, Fellow of the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education, Department of Energy, and Senior Policy Advisor for Advanced Manufacturing, the Office of Science and Technology Policy
- SUSAN HELPER, Carlton Professor of Economics, Case Western University
- ETHAN KARP, President & CEO, Manufacturing Advocacy and Growth Network
- ARCHANA SAHGAL, Director of the Office of Advisory Committees and Industry Outreach
- DANA SMITH, Senior Policy Advisor for National Manufacturing Policy, Office of the Secretary
- LAURA TAYLOR-KALE, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Manufacturing
- LI ZHOU, Deputy Director of the Office of
 Advisory Committees and Industry Outreach
- ISRAEL MARTINEZ, Chairman, Axon Global
- XIANG ZHAO, Staff Researcher, GM
- PETRA MITCHELL, President & CEO, Catalyst
 Connection

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Opening Remarks 4
Department of Commerce Updates
Democratizing Manufacturing
Cybersecurity Discussion
Extension Partnership (MEP) Discussion
Supply Chain Discussion
Workforce Development Discussion
U.S. Manufacturing Council
2015-2016 Subcommittee Deliverables
Department of Commerce Implementation 132
of Council Recommendations
Final Comments/Next Steps 160
Adjournment

PROCEEDINGS

(9:15 a.m.)

DR. SMYTH: Ladies and gentlemen, I would like you welcome -- I would like to welcome you to the U.S. Manufacturing Council Meeting in Cleveland, and officially open the meeting.

Before I run through the agenda, I would like to read a letter from the United States Senator Sherrod Brown.

"Dear friends, please allow me to
extend my sincerest welcome to the U.S.

Manufacturing Council and U.S. Department of
Commerce as you commence an open meeting,
reviewing possible means of enhancing high school
education programs. Cleveland's industries and
its workers are committed to working in service,
preserving our natural resources, and making
innovations in science and technology. I can't
think of a better place to discuss such an
important issue then Cleveland, a manufacturing
hub for not only Ohio, but for the United States.
Congratulations and good luck on your upcoming

deliberation. You have my best wishes for the years ahead. Sincerely, Sherrod Brown, United States Senator."

So thank you very much.

So moving to this morning's agenda -we have a very tight schedule, so I'm going to apologize in advance if I need to move the dialogue on at times. We have a number of updates from the Department of Commerce. We have some comments, a little bit of a recap on cybersecurity discussion. We have a guest today, Ethan Karp, President and CEO of MAGNET, who will be discussing the MEP extension partnership. We, again, have Dr. Sue Helper, with a little continuation on the supply chain discussion. then we have a deep dive on one of our subcommittees, the workforce development discussion. And then we finally wrap up with each one of the subcommittees giving a brief update on what the status is on their initiatives.

So I would like to pass the chair to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Vice Chair Martinez.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. MARTINEZ: Well, good morning Before I get started with the official everyone. agenda, I'd just like to tell everybody that the resounding result of yesterday's activities, both from our government colleagues that we have here -- very privileged to have here, as well as our Cleveland community colleagues that Hiro and his team were able to assimilate. Everyone said they were just in awe of the comradery and the respect that we all have for another, and how we're able to create the sort of ecosystem. We're able to discuss these very complex issues and really actualize some very specific actionable items for the Secretary. So I think everyone deserves a round the applause, especially Hiro and his team. So thank you.

All right, I'm going to start with

Lieutenant Colonel Dana Smith, Secretary

Pritzker's senior policy advisor for the national

manufacturing policy. I'm also going to

introduce Ms. Laura Taylor-Kale. I think I

mispronounced that, and I apologize to you,
ma'am. I should have checked that yesterday. So
-- beautiful name -- deputy assistant secretary
for manufacturing, who we heard from yesterday as
well. So I'll turn -- I'll turn the chair over
to you.

Colonel?

LTC SMITH: Thank you. My pleasure to be here today. And then what I want to do real quick was give you an update, from my perspective in the Secretary's office, on NNMI, IMCP, and Manufacturing Day; a brief kind of one over the world.

And I'll start with NNMI. First up, there was a lot of work going on this year, in the first real year of the network. There's a branding effort underway where we are in partnership with the Department of Energy in working to come up with a brand for NNMI. The confusion that's been caused by NNMI, IMI, MIII. So they're trying to simplify that and the goal is by September 12th at the International

Manufacturing Technology Show in Chicago to announce and unveil this branding effort.

There is an effort to do some shared services where Commerce, as the lead agency over the network, is looking to provide common-core services to the institute. So this is going to become more important as there are more institutes. I won't bore you with the details of exactly what the five things are, but just know that there is an effort for Commerce to get their hands around the network and provide value to it.

As I think Jeff mentioned yesterday, the DOC competition started in February. The first round of proposals are due -- the first proposals are due next Wednesday, at which point this team, with other government folks can sit down and evaluate the proposals that come in, and they will take 30 days and request full proposals from those folks that submit.

But from a government standpoint, and

I've already set expectations of this in the

Secretary's office, we're not going to know at

the government level what those topics are.

We'll probably get feedback on how many, but

that's part of the fair competition piece. So

it'll be interesting.

In terms of the number of institutes, there's a lot of math behind this, but essentially whatever the FY17 funding comes out to be is going to drive how many institutes we fund. It may be one, it may be two, it may be three. It's really going to depend on what is --what are the proposals and how much money is available. But the intent is to do as many as possible.

April 1st, DOD announced their newest institute up in Massachusetts -- the Revolutionary Fibers and Textile Manufacturing.

We like to call this "smart fabrics." This is the -- I'll read it off their website, "Fiber materials and manufacturing process will soon allow us to design and produce fabrics to see, hear, sense, communicate, storage for energy, regulate temperature, monitor health, and change

color." And they are also working a RFI at the moment to find their next topic for their next institute. And I understand last night, DOE has released theirs.

DR. BREWSTER: Exactly, so -- exactly as Dana was saying. DOD is -- has -- is working on finalizing their RFI for their next two institutes. And DOE recently announced their Notice of Intent on Friday, I believe, of last week for Modular Chemical Process
Intensification, and that will be one of two institute topics; the second topic they'll be announcing in the future.

effort to make sure that we do not duplicate efforts. So we will not have two of whatever Megan just said. Moving along to IMCP, we do have -- this spring, there is bicameral -- bipartisan support for an IMCP Bill. We've been working offline with Congress on some of that. The one thing I would highlight for the council, IMCP designation is not going to confirm the

designation. The thought process behind that, we don't have enough manpower to have 36-40, however many communities are out there.

So right now it's looking at a fouryear consecutive designation of what we're
looking for, at which point that helps free up
that target designation to four communities. And
should a community that wasn't previously
designated want to reconvene at a later time,
that's kind of where we were going from an intent
standpoint.

I will tell you that coordination between the interagencies -- basically, you're got 13-plus agencies out there trying to provide goods and services to these communities and that's a challenge. But nevertheless, we're working through it to make sure that those federal funding opportunities get communicated and pushed out to the community so they know what's available.

We also have an effort underway to measure of impacts of IMCP; that's always one of

those questions. So this year they're going to - without being asked, we're going to start
writing a report to kind of highlight what's
working about the program.

Manufacturing Day -- and I talked a little bit about this last night, but the view for Manufacturing Day -- and I open this up to the council for your consideration, definitely want a short list type of event. Right now we don't have the Secretary committed. It's my personal goal to get Education, Labor, and then Commerce Secretaries in the same place at the same time to help create the story of the interagency support for Manufacturing Day.

I have not asked exactly where that's at, and I've had conversations with the White House, et cetera. So the long story short is, if there is an event that as announced you think would be a good recommendation, please let me know, and I will pass that along to my follow-on Erin Sparks.

The last point I'll make -- actually,

it's not last point. Endorsers and sponsors and co-producers of Manufacturing Day really working hard to reach out to the larger corporations and get them to sign on to be endorsers or sponsors of Manufacturing Day. Shell Oil, since the beginning of this, has been the number one contributor at \$70,000 a year to make Manufacturing Day work.

Their annual budget, and it works out to about \$198,000, so I mean, this is peanuts in terms of the grand scheme of things. But most of the endorsers are out there at \$7.5 to \$250,000. So it's one of those things that we hope to get more legitimacy into the event by getting, you know, even the major corporations. If they endorse it that helps us get the message out there that this is a nationwide effort.

I'll give you a little insight on the 3,000 event goal we had set; that's not a real good measure of success. However, it's easily communicated that the goal is 3,000. Really what we would like to see is more people at events

however that math works out to; but that's really hard to communicate. So 3,000 is the number.

And I also will say that we are working with

Labor to help cross-promote, not only

manufacturing, but the National Apprenticeship

Week, which will follow shortly thereafter. So there's some stuff on there.

Quick comment on TPP, basically what I -- well, I'm not a TPP expert, but when I asked our experts they said essentially the talking point -- and we still have the President, and the Speaker, and the Majority Leader that support this; the pro tra. And essentially it's -- we are standing by to help Congress when they're ready to work on it. And there still is a vision that this is possible to pass in this administration, so. And that's all I have for you.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you, Colonel Smith.

MS. MARTINEZ: Do you want to go next?

MS. TAYLOR-KALE: Sure, thank you. Is this working? Great.

Thank you very much. I -- it is my absolute pleasure to be here. As you know, I'm now day seven on the job. And I -- well, first, I'd like to thank the chair and vice chair, Susan and Claudine, for their leadership; Dr. Fujita for planning these meetings here in Cleveland. I thought yesterday was great. I thought the opportunity to interact with Cleveland community, and sort of the community at large, on manufacturing issues. The opportunity for you all to present the work that -- the hard work that you all are doing I think was great.

I also want to acknowledge former

Manufacturing Council member and my predecessor,

Chandra Brown, and thank her for her leadership

and collaboration. It makes it much easier to

step into a job like this when you have, you

know, a short timeframe when you know that your

predecessor established really strong

relationships with the people in the room that

you're about to go meet with. So I am -- I'm

really excited to be here and really thankful for

her work, and you all's work in the past year. I hope you realize that you've only been doing this for a year. Like, you've had a year to come up with these recommendations.

As I mentioned yesterday, I've gone through all the letters that you've written, and I could tell how much of your own time and resources that you put into this council and into the idea of being able to give the Secretary actionable ideas on how to -- how the Department of Commerce can support manufacturing. It's very, very -- very much evident. So I want to commend you for the work that you've done thus far. .

As I noted yesterday, I come to you all with a background in international finance, development, and economic policy. And having lived overseas, particularly in Africa and Asia for almost all of my career, I am an unabashed enthusiast for American exporters, manufacturers.

In the countries that I lived in, the opportunity to have the quality and the standards

that you all bring, either as investors or as exporters, is critical. And I've heard from business leaders and government leaders in all these countries that I've lived in that they want that.

So know that I will be a partner, I will be an advocate for you, and also having come from the career government service before becoming a political, I am also very mindful of how we can embed a lot of the partnerships and a lot of the agreements that we put in place in this administration on for the next administration.

So I will just add a couple things to what Dana mentioned. We also, within the International Trade Administration, are working on the President's trip to Hannover Messe later this month to highlight U.S. goods and products. I will likely also be attending that as well. I think I'll be on day 20 by that point; that'll be good.

We are also nearing the completion of

our next set of top market studies; and you all are probably very familiar with them already, you've probably already actually contributed to them. But each report will focus on future export opportunities. So look forward to those coming very soon. I will also make a point of making sure that you get a special note that -- when they come out. So please be ready for those.

Other than that, I'd like you all to think about -- and certainly in your final time here, this meeting, you have another meeting coming up, to really think about how we can focus the priorities that you've laid out for the Secretary. And, like I said, I'm going to be your advocate.

I will be happy to take on and sort of think about how we can accomplish some of these things over the next several months. I'm known as a doer, so let's try to work together on this and try -- and like I said, I, myself, and my staff will be a resource for you all as a council. Thank you.

1	DR. SMYTH: Thank you very much, Ms.
2	Taylor-Kale.
3	So I would like to open the floor for
4	any questions to Ms. Taylor-Kale and to Colonel
5	Smith.
6	MS. RUSH: I have a question. Dana,
7	what kind of venue
8	MS. MARTINEZ: Excuse me, Andra, could
9	you identify yourself for the record, just so we
10	have a clean
11	just so we have a clean record
12	MS. RUSH: Yes.
13	MS. MARTINEZ: record, please?
14	Thank you so much.
15	MS. RUSH: Sorry. Andra Rush,
16	Workforce Council Subcommittee and President of
17	the Rush Group. My question is what types of
18	venues seem to be most attractive for the
19	Secretary to visit on Manufacturing Day? Perhaps
20	you can give me a couple of examples from the
21	previous Manufacturing Day events. Thank you.
22	LTC SMITH: Well, yeah. The her

preference -- she really loves getting out and 1 2 talking to people, loves interacting with the 3 kids. So from a -- any event that we will recommend for her to attend, it's less about the 4 5 politicals that will attend. It's less about the specific company. It's about engaging -- and she 6 7 loves that story and talking to the people that are making it happen. So a large executive 8 9 roundtable is not what we're looking for. 10 - and it's not to interject herself into the 11 process, but she just wants to be there and bring 12 attention to what's going on.

MS. RUSH: Thank you.

DR. SMYTH: Do we have any other questions?

Okay. I think this is an indication,
Laura, of the level of conversation that happened
yesterday rather than the level of disinterest
this morning. So and, again, a reminder that
this is our second to last meeting, not our last
meeting. So we have more opportunity for
dialogue between now and then, so.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. So again, I'd like thank
Lieutenant Colonel Dana Smith and Department
Assistant Secretary Taylor-Kale.

And I would like to introduce Dr.

Megan Brewster. And Megan will give us an update
on cybersecurity. Oh, I apologize, Megan will
give us an update on democratizing manufacturing.

Thank you, Megan.

DR. BREWSTER: Thank you. And if anyone does have questions about cybersecurity, I'm happy to take those as well.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you, Megan.

DR. BREWSTER: I just wanted to provide a little bit update from the Office of Science and Technology Policy within the Executive Office of the President, where I sit and work on advanced manufacturing broadly, including cybersecurity for manufacturing. This is a particular topic area that I thought you all might be interested in learning about. I'm sure you all have interest in this area as well. And it's the democratization of manufacturing; that

is bridging the gap between maker and manufacturer for small batch manufacturing.

OSTP is interested in supporting the development of hardware, software, and platforms, be them: knowledge transfer platforms, business platforms, space for small batch manufacturing, what have you. We're defining this space very, very broadly.

To help give you some examples of technologies already in this space, when we think about hardware, for example, the 3-D printer has really democratized manufacturing, desktop CNC mills have democratized manufacturing. This is the equipment that an individual -- a small group of individuals may be able to purchase themselves or may be able to access at a MakerSpace, for example.

We want to engage in the dialogue around democratizing manufacturing at a few points along the calendar year for 2016 that I want to make you all aware of. The first opportunity is an ideation that will be hosted by

the Alliance for Manufacturing Foresight.

MForesight, which as you know, is a think and do
tank that was stood up by NIST and NSF out of -coming out of the recommendations from the

Advanced Manufacturing Partnership, which were
then adopted by the PCAST and embraced by the

President.

So MForesight will be running that ideation, and you can find more information on their website. I think right now they just have a little teaser in the corner, but more information will be coming soon. The second opportunity during this calendar year will be the National Week of Making. So that was recently announced. The dates for the National Week of Making will be June 17th through the 23rd. So again, there will be opportunities to engage in this dialogue around maker to manufacturer.

And then the third opportunity, of course, is Manufacturing Day. As Dana discussed, this -- the topic of democratizing manufacturing will be just one of the topic areas I think that

Manufacturing Day will cover. But we're very excited to engage in that dialogue at that time as well.

So I wanted to make you all aware of this. If you would like to discuss more of these, let me know. From the OSTP perspective and the White House perspective, we see that both the National Week of Making and Manufacturing Day as an opportunity to learn about announcements that you all may have, or other folks in the manufacturing community, be that companies, be them universities, nonprofit organizations, and associations. So if that's of interest to you, please let me know.

I also just wanted to very quickly highlight one separate topic, the National Science and Technology Council; the NSTC. There is a Subcommittee on Advanced Manufacturing. The NSTC is a legal framework by which OSTP can host interagency conversations.

So the Subcommittee on Advanced

Manufacturing has about 15 agencies that come to

the table to talk about advanced manufacturing; that group was rechartered and has been very active, again as -- in response to a recommendation from the Advanced Manufacturing Partnership.

And we've just recently, on April 1st, released a report that articulates technology areas that are common priorities across the federal agencies. So you can find that report on the NSTC website, and I'm happy to talk with anyone more about that as well. So thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent. Thank you, Dr. Brewster.

Do we have any questions or dialogue we'd like to engage in with Dr. Brewster? I'd like to remind you all that we have a very captive audience now of leaders, so now is your chance.

MR. MOTTL: Hi, this is Zach Mottl with Atlas Tool Works. I always appreciate the discussion around democratizing of manufacturing.

I'm always slightly curious (a) what it exactly

means and (b) as a small business, who specializes in small lots and prototypes and things like that, I'm always concerned for an undermining of a business model. There are a lot of businesses who do that.

But I would -- you know, we specialize in prototype work, but I would assume that typically if customers need the level of sophistication of what a company like mine or others in the room would provide, they would be willing to pay for that. But I do appreciate the enthusiasm and bringing more excitement and interest to manufacturing that these type of maker movements can bring, but always am cognizant of the business models that are out there as well based on that type of work.

MS. MARTINEZ: Okay, thank you.

Anyone else? All right, if there are no further discussion points -- thank you Dr. Brewster.

I'd like to introduce Mr. Martinez -Mr. Israel Martinez. He addressed us yesterday

on cyber. And I'd like to thank both him and Dr. Brewster for their engaging conversation that we had yesterday.

Mr. Martinez?

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. Israel Martinez, chairman of Axon Global. So I'd like to begin with first thanking the council for the hard work that you've done and continue to do. Some difficult steps as it relates to cybersecurity, just recognizing that it is a complex issue, but it's something that has to be, even from a point of control perspective, especially recently with the costs associated with breaches and compromises.

It takes strong leadership to even put the issue on the table. And then to think about solutions, right, it's always a conundrum that when you are dealing with this kind of an issue, you put it on the table without necessarily having all the answers on what to resolve.

So a couple of things that come to mind in terms of industry and impact for

manufacturing, it's clear to us, as we look at terabytes of known infections and breaches and compromises over time, that this will be a persistent issue for us. Much of it ties back to the infrastructure of how the Internet was first designed; those are not problems we can necessarily solve now.

But we can solve the risk of the application and aspect of cybersecurity. So we are seeing -- as an example, the insurance industry is trying to help us in the risk mitigation here in terms of cybersecurity policies. It's a very young industry. So we're seeing that exclusions and limits of payment for breaches, especially when costs go -- are overseen and go to very high levels that were unexpected.

Corporations, industries, and even society is picking up the cost of what happens when there are breaches; so it's a difficult circumstance. I think that, especially manufacturing, as in healthcare, when you have a

per capita infection rate that's higher than the norm for industries, the fact that you put this on the table is going to be -- within 12 to 18 months, I think people, just by putting the focus on it, will begin to resolve some of the problems we're facing in terms of infection rates.

And more importantly for manufacturing is how to think about these in terms of resiliency and response. We're not necessarily going to stop some of the threats and attacks that are happening. But if we are prepared in segmenting our business operations, we can minimize the impact that threats are actually having in our environment. So thank you for the platform and being able to have that discussion.

The other is, as we see, insurance no longer -- or not necessarily being a complete risk mitigating factor that's viable for us -- most corporations. I have actually enjoyed seeing how the FEC, DHS, FCC, and SEC are making recommendations, sometimes in regulation that has requirements around a more secure environment.

My belief, usually the private sector does not react well to those recommendations, especially regulations.

However, those recommendations that apply to an industry and create a level playing field are usually welcome. And it requires, in some industries, as we know, the nuclear industry, where you have to have a higher standard. And it turns out that, just like anywhere in industry, many companies are part of a critical infrastructure in the fabric.

And one of the issues we need to face together and determine how to move on is how to help the small to medium sized businesses because they're an important cog of this wheel that are infecting, along with others, the entire information supply chain, and that's a problem that needs to be addressed all the way down to the SMB level. I do believe that there are viable solutions. And as we discuss those in this forum and others, that we will be able to get those tools on.

Congratulations to DHS and the White House and the leadership; they've done the Cybersecurity Act, a powerful tool in terms of sharing threat information. We have seen that now resolve issues that were extreme obstacles. And just to give a clear example, we're involved in a case where, the point (indiscernible) started reconnaissance that is research about how to conduct an attack to an arrest internationally took four business days, and that was an incredible turn around. And that was enabled by the Cybersecurity Act.

I can't go into details on this more, but those are examples of where I see the government has done -- taken some big steps in terms of positive work and then provided tools, as I was mentioning, by DHS. I think gives us an ability to have an assessment of where we are in terms of the condition, rates of infection, or potential breaches that are happening currently.

And I'll end with this, is it's difficult sometimes to understand, you may not

see a lot of what's happening in cybersecurity so why spend money. It looks like a cost center.

But I really believe that it's also a benefit center, sort of like staying healthy. If you don't want to have hypertension and high cholesterol at the same time, and if we clean the environment so to speak in terms of not only defending attacks but cleaning those that have been successful. It impacts evaluation. It impacts your competitive position internationally. It impacts reputation.

So these are value-added consequences of having a good clean environment. And taking these steps take some strong leadership. Ethan and I have seen up the board room, these aren't issues that necessarily want to be dealt with. We are seeing a tight turn last year though where, Boards especially, providing good, strong leadership, and requiring more attention to the cybersecurity as an enterprise risk management issue.

So with that I'll go ahead and turn it

over for questions.

MS. MARTINEZ: Questions for Mr.

Martinez?

DR. SMYTH: Mr. Martinez, I have one. We had some extensive discussion yesterday with regard to networks, with regard to creating teams. And if we're able to evolve and use this approach, this can be a competitive advantage for the United States.

So you mentioned something and used the term "cyber neighborhood watch" yesterday. I was wondering if you could expound upon that and talk about perhaps that or some forums where companies can get together and start forming teams to address this issue.

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure, there are some forums now that exist. They're know as "Information Sharing and Analysis Centers," or ISACs for short. Those were established in terms of a model, as public/private partnerships, under the executive order of the President.

More recently, there's a similar

organization, it's named "Information Sharing and Analysis Organizations", ISAOs. And what these are, are opportunities for us to share information about how compromises have happened. There are ways to do that where you're not airing dirty laundry so to speak; so you're sharing metadata. Those are extremely important because the reality is that the private sector end user in these front networks are the front of the cyber war, so to speak, that's happening.

Systematically and clearly the front line is on our devices. What that means is that we expect sometimes the government not only to be all knowing but to be all powerful in stopping this. But if they don't know what's happening real time, if we are not reporting that in a systematic way where there's a release of liability, and the new Cybersecurity Act allows for that. For example, some release of liability, we're sharing privacy information with the intent to resolve a cybersecurity issue.

If we don't have that platform of communication then how do you begin to resolve the issue, right? You don't know what's happening. So we have seen other industries, organized financial services; ISAC is extremely organized in terms of how they share information.

It's my belief, based on data we've seen, that they don't necessarily share enough of it but the process has begun. And I would encourage the manufacturing -- we've just begun with the DHS establishing a manufacturing iCell that will share some of this threatening information, to make it available; especially to small and medium sides businesses.

From a practical standpoint, what that allows is for a small company, who doesn't necessarily have the funds to defend itself, to at least to know how they're being infected, what's vulnerable today, and that we're meeting just those issues as a point issue -- point solution. And that's a beginning because right now they're kind of in the dark in terms of

what's happening.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you, Mr. Martinez.

MR. YEARGIN: Thank you, Mr. Martinez for that and Dr. Brewster, both. Really enjoyed your presentations yesterday and learned a lot.

I had a couple of questions. First of all, you had mentioned the issues related to original development of the Internet and the structure of the Internet. Is there a -- do we have an ability to fix those initial -- those initial deficiencies.

And then number two, and this might be a silly question, but maybe not, is there some tipping point that there -- the Internet is so infected that it becomes almost unusable? Are we concerned at all about some type of tipping point? Because, you know, it really was -- it rose my awareness significantly yesterday with both your presentations. And I started thinking is there some point where it's just there's so many infections, so many viruses, so many problems that there's -- we've reached a tipping

point that it just doesn't work.

MR. MARTINEZ: So I'll answer the second question first. That's really a choice that people make personally, as individuals or as businesses, how dependent they're going to be on the Internet. In terms of infrastructure, I don't think we can go back to resolve necessarily the nature of how the Internet was designed. What we can do is, my opinion, spend a little bit more time understanding how the network is managed.

There are organizations like ICAN
that, to do an analogy, are sort of like the
title company for IP addresses. These are -every device that's connected to the Internet
must have an address. And today, obviously
there's less then optimum environment for people
to apply for that IP address, right.

So if you go to buy a house, you want to make sure the title is clean, the previous owner was a real person, and that that person can be identified; that is not happening today in terms of governance, as it relates to -- and I understand that there is issues in the privacy around that that are to defend the individual in terms of knowing who is where.

The however on that is when threats evolve and when threats -- attackers execute, when we finally forensically are able to find those IP addresses or those domain names, invariably what we find is that the person is -- doesn't exist, right. So the registration process of the individual who went through and applied doesn't exist; that makes it extremely problematic for us in the U.S. and internationally to determine how and who are making things difficult, not just to the United States but for others.

The reality is, in this global economy, destabilization of commerce, much of it happens -- or information -- so much happens on the public Internet and even private networks have to sometimes borrow that infrastructure that this affects all of us.

So I do see though that international governments are being much more cooperative, especially as it relates to counterterrorism and in being able to work around some of those deficiencies; and that's been healthy to see, especially in light of the recent attacks.

But the reality of the situation is that the use of the Internet and social media tools within the superstructure are going to continue to make this an increasingly difficult problem for us to resolve. I do think we will solve it in forums like this.

In terms of going back to fix it, cybersecurity -- what we're experiencing, really is a symptom of a bigger issue and that is the philosophy of innovation as it relates to cyber. And as we -- as individuals and companies, we need to realize that this transition we're going through into the new cyber world is not only -- it's ubiquitous, it's compelling, it's going -- and the philosophy is going to increase.

So there are going to be unknowns that

we step into in terms of the impact on economies, companies, and just competiveness. So I believe that innovation will also solve these problems, but it'll take time and forums like this where we can cooperate and decide how to resolve those issues.

MR. YEARGIN: If I could just ask you just briefly one follow-up question that directly relates to the work that's being done on this council. I'm co-chair of the Trade and Tax Policy Council, and we've been working with the IRD, the Innovation Committee, to look at ICAN and the turnover that's getting ready to take place in the next few months. There's some concern among our two subcommittees related to the turnover. And so my questions for you would be: (1) are -- is there a concern -- do you have any concern about that, and then (2) if you do, what can we do about it at this point?

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure. So I'm an advisory board member to several different places. One is to the American University Cyber

Governance Center, and then also to the

Association of Corporate Growth, which represents

a large body of private equity companies.

In fact, 80 percent I'm told of all MNAs that happen in the private sector are through this organization. They say, gee, the reason that's important is that I spoke to leadership at both organizations last night about this issue. And there are some concerns.

If you look at the history of ICAN and what it does -- and this is, again, going back to the title company idea -- these are the people that go ahead and administer the domain names and administer the addresses as it relates to the Internet. The history of the Internet is that it started with (indiscernible) and it was turned over to the private sector and then over to international communities.

It's -- I'm told that today, under
U.S. management, it's about half of all the
domains and addresses that are issued. I don't
know that number exactly, but it's a good

starting point in terms of concept. And where we think there may be some issues in that is the management of everything, from domain names to IP addresses, is a complex issue to begin with. But -- and I'm all for cooperating in an international community and forum to be able to have access to those.

We believe access to domain name registration, for example, has not been limited in any way. However, if we take the next step in whoever we turn over more control of the international organization, as it relates to the registration process, we think that the issues will become even more problematic and difficult in terms of managing the quality and the problems that come along, even when you have good quality control processes, in terms of correct records of the facts. Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you, everyone.

I really appreciate that. We could continue the conversation but unfortunately we have to move on due to time. So I'd like to now take this

opportunity to welcome Mr. Ethan Karp, the

President of MAGNET, the local network

manufacturing extension partnership. I had the

good fortune of speaking to you last evening and

preview your remarks. So you're all in for a

treat.

Thank you, welcome.

Thank you, first of all, I DR. KARP: would love to extend a number of thank yous. First for the invitation to be here from the Department of Commerce, from Chair Smyth, from Chair -- Vice Chair Martinez, and also on a sort of more abstract level, all of you because the MEP's exist for manufacturers and to advance manufacturing. And on a more tactical level, we have folks here that are big supporters in of this room, both in terms of what you guys are saying in this room and when you go back home, but also a Board chair with Mr. Yeager, we have a National Advisory Committee member with Mr. So thank you guys very much for the invitation. This is really impressive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So yesterday, I had invited a number of our Board members and a number of small companies. And I must say, the feeling that they had leaving was this is a direct line of communication toward input into the government, which is frankly very difficult to get, even when you're talking to politicians, because every single issue you guys put on the table, whether they were aware of them or not, they could directly see how it linked to their everyday lives and that is exciting, and I'm glad this council exists.

The importance to me and why I step -my background is McKinsey and a PhD in chemistry,
now helping small companies innovate and grow, is
that we did some research, and this is probably
nationwide, but I know it most well for the
region that our MEP serves, northeast Ohio;
that's Akron, Youngstown, Cleveland, Canton. So
real manufacturing bases.

One fifth of the jobs are directly manufacturing, but those drive half of the local

economy: the banks, the pizza parlors. Half of all the jobs in northeast Ohio are related directly or indirectly to manufacturing. So anybody that looks at the economy and says anything other than this place wouldn't exist without manufacturing is kidding themselves. So it is absolutely important that even small changes in our manufacturing base here have reverberating impacts on everything in our community and in our ecosystem.

And as we heard, and will hear again I imagine, 98 percent of them are small. So for 30 years MAGNET, Manufacturing Advocacy and Growth Network, has existed. We were one of the first couple of MEPs in the country. We have about 50 people, so that's the base in which I'm talking to, and hopefully I'll represent the entire national system, although it's a very highly varied place.

So fundamentally, our goal, and every time we talk in a meeting, is to grow jobs. It's to grow revenue. And to do that within the

supply chain, as many of these small companies are, or with smaller OEMs that have their own products in the market.

We see two big market gaps in all the research. One is innovation, and innovation does not have to be strictly defined, especially for a supply chain company as innovation and a product; that is obviously a poor thing that manufacturers do, but it's also innovation in how they do their operations, it's innovation in how they market, it's innovation in the technology they use to process their pieces and parts or their products.

And secondly, it's an innovation in workforce: culture, retention; all those things we hear every single day. It's not just the supply side that we know there's a gap. It's also within their companies, making them more desirable places to be.

So I wanted to talk -- what I -- sort of my view and sort of our organization's view on the value adds of MEPs and why it's such a unique system and how we drive that value. The theme

you're going to hear in all of this is network and partnership.

So number one -- I'll go through them real quick and then give some examples. So number one is that we are and need to be trusted advisors to small companies. This is huge. The trust part is absolutely huge. The second part is we need to partner a network to actually serve those companies. I like to call this you bring the power of region, you bring the power of the nation, to small companies.

The third is we kind of look at ourselves as a system --as a network of incubators for helping small companies; not actually creating small companies, although some of us do, but all of these services that we say how do we get to a small company, the MEPs are out there trying to figure out and investing, typically Department of Commerce dollars, to do that.

So let me go into each one of those.

So the first one we are and need to be trusted

advisors. So this in itself has a huge networking component. I don't go and knock on a door of a manufacturer and say, "Hi, how are you, please trust me with all of your secrets and the things you're worrying about."

I talk to the CPAs, I talk to the lawyers, I talk to the Chambers of Commerce who have already established those relationships over years and say, "Would you allow me to come in and talk to" the business owner or the businessee or the decision maker. And then because of that trust, we were able to talk about the strategic things that that business needs.

So two examples. One, yesterday some of you met Jay and Tracy Roberts, who own a 50-person extrusion in a rural town called Parkman about 40 minutes from here. And they bought their business two years ago. And one of the things -- I guess this isn't as exciting, but one of the things they were considering was getting into medical.

So we looked at their business, we

said this is interesting. You absolutely can do that. Why don't you talk to -- and we introduced them to another manufacturer, who had made the transition from being a contract manufacturer to a medical device manufacturer.

They talked to them. A few hours later they came back and said, "Thank you. You just saved us both years of our lives and millions of dollars in investment because we are not ready to do this and we need to focus on" some of these other products that we've already done some ideations around that were closer to their core. Now, I'm not saying that's the path for everyone, but they would not have had that conversation and been willing to listen to us and go introduce just to talk about it had we not built the trust.

The second is an example of a network introduction actually through the Minority

Business Development Association, which is I believe another Department of Commerce funded program. And they had introduced us to a smaller

minority owned company here in town that makes crates and pallets. This is what they do.

and so this business owner is a very entrepreneurial guy, and we met him, and we started talking with him. He did not need lead. He did not market research. He kind of needed everything. And he needed little doses of it. This is a 20-person company. So we developed the trust with him over some interactions over many months and said, "Hey, why don't we just come in and help you." So we did.

went in and helped him just do a little bit in operations, do a little bit in -- do a little bit of marketing, and now -- this is the best part -- not only was his retention gone from like 30 percent to about five percent, but his -- he said to us, "I've taken my first vacation in five years." And this is huge because a person that could take a vacation can also strategize about their company. They can also plan what they're going to do next.

These are examples of how trust is so important. And you can also see that it's the networks -- by the way, the first introduction was through a Chamber of Commerce to the Roberts that many of you met.

The second category is we partner to bring the region and the power of all the resources around to accomplish these things. So we've spent probably three years putting into place a series of MOUs with institutions across northeast Ohio. And while we don't have MOUs with them, by its nature the Ohio -- the MEP system is a network, and conversations like this bolster that network. So I'm going to run through some examples.

So the MOUs with the universities, talked about how they were committed to helping and support small manufacturers. A lot of the ways we end up using them is sometimes for technical resources, but a lot of the times they are speakers for us. So they bring the latest technologies into the marketplace, and interns.

So you'll hear a lot about interns. It's not just placing the interns, but it's actually getting interns who have a desire to do something for a company and feel the real impact into our organization; and then working directly on market research and engineering projects for those companies. It's a huge resource that I think many of the MEPs figure out how to tap into.

A second example is NASA. So we were at the White House a few months ago talking about a four-year program. We met with NASA. Dr. Helper also mentioned it yesterday. This was all about bringing the brains of NASA scientists into the hands of small manufacturers.

An example of this is a company that has a -- they do some applications of their work. It's a center technology, deep in the ground for piles. So for testing when you put in basically giant cement rods down into the ground to hold up our bridges. They have the testing equipment. They have all the patents on that. They had a

new sensor they wanted to put inside of these piles. Well, they didn't know how to package it, how to protect it from the elements deep down in the ground with everything that was going on.

So we brought in a NASA scientist.

And specifically the problem is around water, and water kept on eroding their -- so you talk to these NASA scientists -- and this was an honest -- it was an amazing conversation -- the NASA scientist says, "Oh, yes, I get that." When shovels go up and down, there's a huge amount of moisture that condenses inside every shuttle, and we have to coat every electronic piece inside our equipment. So within about a four- or five-hour-session, these guys had literally months at this company of research time that they would have needed completed and a product that's going gangbusters for them.

Community colleges are huge. We don't do any training. Three of their presidents are on our Board. We leverage them for anything we do in Workforce. And frankly -- this is a huge

role for an MEP, is we act on behalf of the small
-- it's pretty easy for a community college to
get in contact with the big companies.

The smaller companies, there's a translation problem that often occurs -- not always, but often. There's an academic calendar, maybe it doesn't jive, there's requirements, maybe it's not exactly what the company needs and we can serve to interpret there.

We have suppliers. I already mentioned that company who was in the medical field. Well, we have other companies that are actually working on technical projects to create process equipment. In this case, it's piece of food manufacturing equipment that requires a specialized nozzle. Well, we went to a company that wanted to get into the food industry with our existing food customer who needed the equipment, and they're working on the project together; simple connection -- other MEPs.

So whether it's a supplier issue in another state that needs to be handled and we

need to crossover boundaries that way, or it's a technical service that an MEP has developed. I will -- we've worked both with Catalyst Connection on some of their things around sales and marketing and helping companies with that.

And we've also worked, say -- Cincinnati has a great specialty in digital manufacturing, and they're trying to invest in that to bring it to small manufacturers. So we can bring that to our manufacturers.

Places like Fastlane in Dayton, they
have -- another Ohio MEP -- they have a
connection to the University of Dayton Research
Institute and, like NASA, all of those resources;
that's -- and then lastly, I will say NIST
itself. So we haven't cracked the code on this
but everything we're talking about cybersecurity
it gets me excited.

I had a number of my staff here yesterday, they were excited. Having that conversation with a small manufacturer, we're going to provide real value in a way they

couldn't get it any other way by figuring out how that service serves a small company.

And lastly, incubator for small company innovation. So I just mentioned a few of them, right: bringing the cybersecurity down to that level, bringing in examples of digital manufacturing. But I'm going to highlight here, too, what we spent a lot of time on which is workforce issues, and we do a lot of -- try and do a lot of innovation, although it's a lot of begging, borrowing, and stealing as well. But it certainly is innovative to our region.

I'll highlight two things we're doing.

One is fast track training. There's a national model, I think it's through NAM for Right Skills Now. The whole idea is you've got underemployed or unemployed adults who could get into manufacturing with a 12-week curriculum, a 10-week curriculum around welding, CNC, and a paid-for internship, and company sign up at the end beginning. They have their input on the curricula. It's not rocket science to get this

down. Unlike the NASA stuff, it really isn't, but it requires an intermediary that spends the time to do it and that's the role that the MEP plays.

We put these in place here. And to give you a sense, one company -- it's a larger company -- in the last two years it's placed 150 people that they couldn't fill in their CNC operator jobs. Has reported that it's -- that's equivalent to about \$50 million in sales that they wouldn't have otherwise been able to capture because they couldn't get the people.

And here's the really exciting part.

A program like this, compared to their

conventional way of hiring people -- there's

different skill levels of CNC operators, say one

through four. And typically -- they're always

hiring at one, including in this program. But

they said there's about a one in 20 conversion

rate of getting from a Level 2 to a Level 3, and

you need the Level 3s and 4s to coordinate

things. Every student that's come out of this,

it's been half of them have been able to go from a two to a three.

So just very big impacts by linking -again, community colleges do the training. The
job -- the state has a state-run -- the WIBs -the Workforce Investment Board. They are
providing the students for this. The companies
are providing the training. We're providing the
connector role.

The last thing is -- the next frontier
-- some of you have them in your communities, not
exactly how we're looking -- we're looking at a
German apprenticeship model. So we're looking -we call it Early College Early Career. This does
not have to be a decision between do you go to
college, do you not go to college? No, this is a
way for you to get college without all the debt.
And the whole idea is ninth grade through 12th
you start enrolling in programs, and then spend
time at a company that agrees to come onsite.

Again, it's not -- or come onsite or you go to the company -- it's not rocket science

but it's pulling all the pieces. In this case, community colleges to do training, high schools to actually participate, and in the companies themselves; that one in particular you're going to see more about that. But that one, as we're starting and planning, is really exciting for me personally because most of what we do every single day is agnostic to where the companies are. We just want to grow jobs.

In this one instance, there's a -- we all are aware of it, it just doesn't come up, we have the issues of -- especially in Cleveland as you're seen, we have urban poverty. And this, more than any -- manufacturers are great jobs.

They've been the social elevator to get into manufacture -- to get into the middle class for 100 years. This can reestablish that.

There's no reason why a student from Cleveland Metropolitan School District cannot be the prime target of a program like this with all of the support structures together. And so that's an added benefit if it were -- will of

sort of helping that -- break those cycles of poverty that manufacturing can absolutely play a role in.

So with that -- yesterday you -- Chair Smyth, you gave a very inspirational speech about team. And I like to think that our little role as MEPs is to be a catalyst for the team that's going to ensure that manufacturing is healthy for the sake of the United States and for all of those in the middle classes and beyond that could have these new jobs.

DR. SMTH: Thank you very much.

DR. KARP: Thank you.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you, Dr. Karp. And I think that's an example of -- powerful examples of creating the networks and creating the teams that we were talking about and making us face reality. So thank you to you.

I would like to open to the floor for any questions for Dr. Ethan Karp.

MS. WONG BARRETT: Thank you, Dr. Karp for your great work at MAGNET. It's very in

inspirational to see all the leadership and the transformation that's happening in the MEP

Center. I'm Christie Wong Barrett from the Mac Arthur Corporation. I wanted to ask to what degree are the new innovative practices and the expansive networking, leveraging of assets beyond MEP, how much is what you're doing being translated and shared with other centers across the United States?

DR. KARP: I'm going to answer that in two parts. One, it is. Just the other day we had somebody from GENEDGE, which is Virginia MEP, come and actually spend a day with us and learning how they can do what we're doing with NASA. In fact, the Department of Commerce brought us up to -- that thing at the White House was with -- and I believe with OSTP and Department of Energy, they brought us up to talk to the other lab heads to figure out how that sort of thing could work. A lot of this is word-of-mouth. And frankly, I don't think it's as fast as it could be.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So I guess the second part of how I'd answer that is to say I was thinking how could this council potentially benefit even more or help the MEPs even more, and I think that one way is by continuing to be sort of the drum to say do It has a lot to do with the leadership of it. the specific MEP, which is also the Board leadership. Are they getting out and trying these things? Everything that I just described unfortunately was not the case for MAGNET five to 10 years ago, period. And all of that change, through different Board leadership, through their concerted effort to make these connections a priority, and it works. So I suppose having this council really message that back to me, I mean, is a very powerful thing.

I would also say, just in terms of thinking about the council, there's all these Boards that are running these MEPs. And there's a wonderful opportunity here to deputize them basically because all of you are ostensibly doing the same thing that they're doing except your

1	level is much closer to where the policy is being
2	made. So if there's some way over the over
3	time to have this Board have some sort of
4	connection to the MEP boards, it could be very
5	powerful; even if it's just here's what we're
6	doing. Do you guys want to send any feedback
7	back, so. Thank you.
8	DR. SMYTH: Okay, thank you very much.
9	I think we wanted a comment from Petra
10	Mitchell, was that correct?
11	MS. MITCHELL: I'm the MEP Center
12	director out of southwestern Pennsylvania so
13	MS. MARTINEZ: I think Archana just
14	wanted to recognize that you were in the room and
15	thank you for your participation, ma'am.
16	MS. MITCHELL: Oh, yeah.
17	MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you so much for
18	being here. We appreciate it.
19	MS. MITCHELL: And I wanted to echo
20	Ethan's comment. I think he did an excellent job
21	describing the role of the MEP.
22	DR. KARP: And to give you one more

1	connection there so I was not here, but MAGNET
2	and Catalyst Connection, and with Petra's
3	leadership, were America Makes. We were both
4	members of a consortium across state lines in
5	this case, which, frankly, is often very
6	difficult, to say we would like America Makes in
7	the first NNMI here, so. You know, it comes out
8	in it comes out in the last glamorous grant
9	making as well but big things come out of those
10	collaborations.
11	DR. SMYTH: Thanks again, Dr. Karp and
12	Ms. Mitchell for making it real. Thank you.
13	So I would like to introduce Dr. Susan
14	Helper. Susan had spoke with us yesterday, and
15	she's going to give a quick synopsis on the
16	supply chain discussion. Thank you.
17	DR. HELPER: So what if it's easier,
18	we'll just start with a couple of the slides from
19	yesterday. If it's not, I don't it's not that
20	key.

warming up for a second. So if you want to --

Susan, it's going to be

DR. SMYTH:

21

DR. HELPER: Okay. Well, let's not do it. All I wanted to do was just to kind of -- a lot's happened since yesterday and just to kind of talk about what -- sort of the way the administration is thinking about supply chains, the problems and the solutions, and then get your input.

And I guess there's three ways that
this input can be used. First, is the continued
work -- oh -- on the White House Supply Chain
Innovation Initiative. The second is the work of
MForesight, the think and do tank that Megan
mentioned. And then third, in terms of the handoff letter that this council provides to the next
council.

So there's all kinds of ways that this input can be really useful. So I'm very grateful to have this time. Is there a way of advancing?

I want to go to slide 12.

So last time we kind of -- or I
asserted that as a result of our work, both in
Commerce and in the White House, was to identify

kind of two problems: (1) this free rider

problem between firms, that sort of no one firm

has an incentive really to fully invest in the

capabilities of supply chains; and (2) some

problems of internal conflicts within firms; and

then two kinds of solutions.

So the next one -- was -- so on -- for the government's part can we better leverage our federal technology assets, thinking in particular of the national labs and the MEP program to promote innovation and supply chains, and highlight some private sector models that actually increases capability and improved collaboration. So what I thought I would do now is open it up. If there are thoughts about either problems that we haven't addressed, solutions that we haven't talked about that would be -- the floor is open.

DR. SMYTH: Actually, Sue, can you give us some examples of leveraging the federal technology assets where you have seen it work real time in developing the supply chain in terms

of innovation?

DR. HELPER: I think -- so I think two
-- one is -- and I guess so -- I think the key
assets that we're thinking of in this space are
the national labs: both Dewey, NASA, there's
other agencies that have national labs as well,
and then the MEP program. My sense -- I actually
-- me in Cleveland watched this collaboration
between MAGNET and NASA evolve and it took about
10 years. Because first -- I mean, it took -- so
partly is this brokering function. Do you
actually need to get people who can talk to the
rocket scientist and the rocket scientists, you
know, being able to speak in a language and
define common problems that they can work on.

And so I think the reason that the NASA/MEP collaboration finally worked was a lot of prep work that MEP did with the NASA scientists. So there was a road show I guess that they presented with -- through Power Points, carefully worked on by the ME -- with the MEP staff, that this is what we can do but explained

in terms of the problem that you can solve with this technology. And then a little bit of money that facilitated the NASA scientists actually spending time. So that's I think one kind of example.

A second kind of example is the work

-- I think that maybe GENEDGE in Virginia is a

real pioneer at, with actually being able to work

with entire supply chains. So not just one firm

at a time; here, let's make you lean, or here's,

let's make help you with a product. But overall

where's the waste in the supply chain? So I

talked a little about some of their work with

Volvo, of figuring out, for example, that, you

know, Volvo had two plants that released a

production schedule on different days, thus

causing all the common suppliers to have to

rerelease -- rerun their production scheduling.

There was another issue where Volvo had a production crisis. So they had this edict that all suppliers had to prioritize Volvo. And what they didn't realize was that there was a

supplier that was both a first-tier to Volvo and a second-tier to another first-tier Volvo supplier. So when they, you know, told that supplier to stop making for their second-tier -- in their second-tier role, they created an even bigger bottleneck because they didn't understand.

where MEP convenes either sometimes directly just with MEP or sometimes in conjunction with a larger consulting firm. So Booz Allen, a ME -- McKinsey, to get this dialogue going about where -- you know, where can we take out inventory, where are their procedures that are adding waste and rigidity to the supply chain. So I think those are two examples. Yeah.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you. Questions from the floor? Dr. Green?

DR. GREEN: Yeah, a quick question.

So just hearing some of the stuff that you were talking about, Ethan, you know, being from northeast Ohio and, you know, we've talked before -- you know, I think -- you know, it's hard for

me to -- you know, if I'm thinking about a manufacturing problem -- we do advanced manufacturing in Canton.

You know, it would be -- it would be a big jump for me to think that I would be able to partner with NASA -- with NASA scientists.

You know, on the other hand, you know, as you were talking, you know, there are topics that I would see that it would be very easy for me to partner with you on. I mean, a couple would be, you know, ERP systems; that's one of my notes here. You know, cybersecurity, which hit me pretty hard yesterday.

And I'm just sort of curious as to,
you know, while the NASA example sounds
interesting, it would just -- it's just a
stretch, I mean, because I would think that, you
know, as an advanced manufacturer, I mean, I'd
spend a year teaching them stuff and then maybe
they could help a little bit, you know, so; that
was just my comments that I was thinking about.

DR. KARP: So it's a great comment.

And I should be clear and say there's a very small subset of companies who have technical problems. I would not dismiss it from the standpoint of there is two ways you can approach this.

Number one here, for the laboratory assets, there's way you can think about it: (1) it's the technologies that they have, and (2) it's the brains that they have. So if you had a technical problem that you were just having difficulty with, you're basically getting somebody that knows the field inside and out to come talk to you about that problem, irrelevant of whether it was something that had anything to do with their NASA mission. So that's one piece.

And the other thing is, related to Sue's second point, is highlighting private sector models; that's a lot that has to do with helping them with just those problems, the ERP systems, whatever that smaller company has that's feeding the larger company, helping them with those issues, and using the sort of entrance

point -- and please, Dr. Helper, keep me honest here -- using that as an entry point to say we're going to help your suppliers and that's going to make you stronger too. So please introduce us to that and if it's not reducing our bottlenecks, it's helping our companies improve.

Here in town, I work -- and you can do this on a smaller scale. A company that has -- still a large company -- not a Volvo, but still a large company here in town, we knew their senior management and said would you introduce us to some of your suppliers that are totally dependent on you for work, because they did not want that to happen in their supply chain.

So they said to their suppliers, we want to continue using you, but you need to find more business and grow so that you can be a healthy supplier. Same idea here. It's pushing -- that company is then pushing and, even in some cases, investing in their own suppliers to make them more diverse, et cetera, so.

DR. GREEN: Yeah, and I do think, you

know, the part that you started out with, which was trust-based stuff -- and I think -- you know, that's really strong here in northeast Ohio. I mean, that, you know, the network of businesses, and we have our own collection of advocacy organizations. I think that's a big, big part of it. I think it works actually here and it works pretty well.

MS. MARTINEZ: I'd like to jump in as well, just a related point to the first few points that we had, as well as Susan's question, and even Christie bringing up how can you emulate what's done from one net to the other. I'd like to share a success story that we have in New Mexico because we're very fortunate to have two national laboratories there, both Sandia and Los Alamos. And so what we created is the Small Business Authority -- New Mexico Small Business Authority Program. It's a nonprofit organization.

What they've done is they worked in conjunction with our New Mexico legislature, and

they've been able to get tax abatements -- both laboratories get tax abatements to a certain amount of money. And as long as they get a tax abatement for a certain amount, they give that same amount of money to the small businesses in the state. So what we're able to do is leverage. So if you're within a rural community, it's up to \$20,000 per rural community per year. If you're inside the metropolitan area of Albuquerque, it's \$10,000.

So what I was able to do was leverage my own supply chain. So I got my entire supply chain involved in a program, and the maximum you can get is a \$100,000 on the program. So I got 10 of my suppliers, or something like that, to equal out to the \$10,000 that was available, and we went together to pitch a program to the NMSBA.

They then select the best programs, and you were able to use the scientists at the laboratories to accomplish certain discrete tasks; and I won't get into to what those were here. But I just wanted to give you the model

that can be emulated. And again, by reinventing the wheel, if the next centers could work at the federal level to try to disseminate this information, through NIST and through our DC office, we'd be very appreciative of that.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you, we have a question from Mr. Israel Martinez.

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure, thank you.

Israel Martinez, chairman of Axon. Thank you Dr.

Helper and Dr. Karp. I'm real excited about the

programs you're working on. I've heard cyber

mentioned a couple times, and I'd like to offer

this.

A member of Pi-Tech, which is a top
100 of top technologies -- technologists in the

United States including CIO, Facebook, AT&T, HP.

And I'll say this -- and the private sector

doesn't like to talk about it but the reality is

the data about infections, vulnerabilities,

breaches, much of that already is outside of

company walls, all right. And the tools by which

we procure those, that is to the device, knowing

what devices are infected, even social media attacks that are happening, or reconnaissance and conducting cyber espionage especially.

event, in terms of the White House supply -- the White House Supply Chain Innovation and Initiative. What I'm thinking is if we were to find -- to have a forum -- and I'm not sure if this is the right one, but if we could provide information back, all right, to the small companies about problems we're having today, we would save them not only an enormous amount of money, but the espionage that's happening in the industry is quite random; and I'm talking about manufacturing in general.

Then I think what you would have is a compelling event to get -- not only the small companies but the large companies to the table and provide some real actionable information, methods, and processes to resolve what's on the table, the issues. And stats yesterday that Megan gave were quite compelling in terms of

being a lot of espionage is happening today.

And we also, I think, are not giving this enough attention -- not just, you know, manufacturing, but in Fortune 500, Fortune 1,000, in a different way. And that is when intellectual property is stolen, there's a valuation in that -- on that company that is delayed.

example, if the core formula is stolen from a company, three years from now there may be a competitor that no one ever saw. If it's a public company or a large private company, there are institutional investors now who have money at stake. So the economic multiplier fact of that issue, right, continues and it's compelling.

So the earlier we can catch it,
especially in the small companies where
innovation is happening, and we know that the
espionage from a malware -- cyber malware
perspective is pervasive and aggressive and
persistent. There may be a platform here where

now they can make this, you know, a compelling issue to bring to people to the table and solve a very practicable problem we have today.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Any comment?

MS. MARTINEZ: Christie?

MS. WONG BARRETT: Thank you, I'm just -- Christie Barrett from the Mac Arthur Corporation. One response and idea that from your question, Dr. Helper, around leveraging federal technology assets is for us to think even more broadly about technology assets and information and insight in particular is a huge The government produces white papers and asset. are trying to come together on technology priorities. I heard Dr. Brewster mention that he published, several years ago, the AMP Initiative, also developed a set of technology priorities for the manufacturing sector.

That's a huge asset. Just knowing and being able to share broadly with industry in the United States and with the supply chain what the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

government because that will identify
opportunities for the public/private sector to
dovetail their investments for you know, broader
benefit, broader gains with less risk; that
translates clearly to the supply chain in terms
of awareness around what's coming in the future
that can be relevant to your manufacturing center
or to your business as adjacent opportunities for
growth, adjacent opportunities for continuous
improvement, and innovation in your production
lines.

So I think there's a way that we can think about this insight around technology priorities using the MEP Centers, using federal resources, communicating that out to the industry associations because there is a Rosetta Stone translation needed, you know, from something at a high level of a technology priority to what does it mean for Cleveland manufacturers or Ohio manufacturers or Arizona manufacturers; that translation needs to happen somewhere and the

intermediaries can be a good source of that.

But I think key is thinking about assets being also this insight and information on the direction. I hate to use a hockey analogy, because I'm not a hockey player, but everyone always talks about Wayne Gretzky being so successful because he skated to where the puck was going to be. And the federal government has insight on where the puck is going to be. So sharing that information with supply chains can also create winners for the team.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you very much.
Anymore comments? Questions?

I have one thought. I'm not sure it's a question or a comment. But, you know, we've talked about face-to-face and direction and some of the fabulous successes with the MEP, and that's really enabling these discrete one-to-one relationships that are happening between perhaps a small business and a potential source. And we can celebrate those.

But if we want to go viral, and viral

in a way that's not with our esteemed colleagues from the cybersecurity bureau -- but we make positive viral; and if we want to go viral in terms of the success that we can propagate through the state and then through the United States, then we need to go virtual.

share some information about what are the plans in the virtual space, whether it's creating interactive Share Points, creating virtual forums, creating exactly what Christie Wong Barrett mentioned in terms of a Rosetta Stone that helps people translate the information, the collection of best practices, lessons learned that people can -- acquiring cafeteria style real time and also leverage. So it's basically where and how are we moving into a positive virtual world to accelerate your successes in the MEP? You have three seconds to answer that, Dr. Karp. Just a thought.

DR. KARP: I don't normally get stumped immediately. So I guess I would -- I

would put on my McKinsey hat and break down that problem. So there's two pieces of it, which is
- the first one which is the trust building,

which has to be -- there's no other way to do it other than face-to-face. But that is a question of how quickly can you translate that face-to-face into accessing a virtual world of all of these things that no one person could direct them to, which is very much the bottleneck in this is I can only talk to you about what I know about and what I know about is only the things that I talk to others about.

so there -- they -- I can see it
evolving in a couple places. One is actually in
the workforce area. So all of these activities - and I'm sure Ray will be talking about this -but all these activities around PR and plant
tours and Manufacturing Day activities.
Obviously those are already being put on. But
imagine a system where -- you have a matchmaking
system and online training. So all my role had
to be is, oh, I know the manufacturer. Hey, go

on to this website. You can sign up and you can

-- and a certain amount of it happens already

today. But you can partner with a local school

and here's the 10 different activities you can do

over the course. So you can do that in any sort

of system that ties in with any sort of outreach

and connectivity.

When it comes to the national labs, I do believe there is something that can be done around the expertise, such that when Dr. Green goes to the website he could peruse more easily, see the expertise that there, and say, huh, there's something about this expert that might know something about my technology. I've personally had experience trying to do this with the current curations. We spent a while trying to take the current catalogs that are out there and, frankly, they're too much focused on technologies. And if I was a technology-based company I'd probably already know that the technology was there.

It's the expansion from the

technologies, which is much more relevant when you talk about areas and domain expertise that could be queried intentionally. So those would be -- those are pieces -- I think a third thing you might think about virtually is -- I know this seems silly, but it's success stories, and I don't mean long success stories.

But what we do after we've built a little bit of trust is we talk about what other manufacturers do. And when other manufacturers hear and see what other manufacturers do, then they say, huh, that's interesting. actually pick up a phone call -- the phone and talk to you again about this. So some way to show the range of things that a manufacturer can immediately connect to and say, oh, these are 20 different things that -- I haven't thought of two of those that maybe I should try. Let me now contact the person. So that's another to get inspiration virtually to access things that would be a much more efficient way of doing it at scale.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

DR. SMYTH: Thank you very much. So

-- oh, we have one last question. Colonel Smith?

LTC SMITH: Not so much as a question

but a comment. And just the council know that

the Department of Commerce, in conjunction with

the Department of Energy, we have finalized a

Memorandum of Understanding which will allow the

collaboration between MEP and the national labs;

and this piece of paper is within a matter of

weeks of being signed.

Exactly how that is going to work in terms of functionality, to Ethan's point, they're going to have to boil it down such that Ethan can know that what's available at each national lab, such that he can direct his customers there. But there's that direct coordination that's happening at the top.

DR. SMYTH: Okay, that's really good news. Dr. Helper?

DR. HELPER: Yeah, no, no, this is a really helpful list of things. And there were a couple of things that have come up, either

yesterday or in my informal discussions, that just as possible things to kind of check as you see of interest.

So one I guess was a question actually raised by Nancy Freedman, who works for Ethan on, you know, what is it that it would take -- what are the barriers to having companies introduce MEP to their supply chains? You can imagine, you know, there's a feeling that the services aren't quite right, there's a lack of familiarity, there's -- you know. I'd be interested in -- you know, if you haven't done, it why not? And that would be -- so one question.

A second possibility is potentially sort of further work with regional and trade associations. So we've given talks -- so one example, to the Aerospace Industries Association that Jeff Wilcox facilitated. Is that further kind of discussion useful?

I thought one of the things it showed was there was a lot of disagreement about how procurement actually worked in aerospace and that

kind of dialogue. You know, what were the 1 2 incentives that purchasing agents faced? were the incentives that small businesses felt? 3 4 There was, at the White House meeting, an offer 5 by some companies to provide webinars. Actually Jeff Miller from Whirlpool was one of those 6 7 people. MR. WILCOX: John Miller. 8 9 DR. HELPER: John Miller, yeah. So 10 you can imagine. I mean, it's a new area for the 11 Manufacturing Council. But, you know, there 12 could be sponsored webinars. So I guess those 13 are maybe three ideas that would be interesting 14 to kind of hear if any of those seem to resonate 15 or be useful or things that. 16 DR. SMYTH: Okay, so that's great. 17 Any further comments or questions before we close 18 this session? 19 Okay. Again, thank you Dr. Helper. 20 Thank you, Dr. Karp. 21 Okay. And we are going to segue to

the Workforce Development discussion, and I'm

going to reintroduce Colonel Smith and also Mr.

Yeager, who are going to present on the workforce issues.

MR. YEAGER: Thank you, Susan and Claudine, very much for giving me an opportunity. We're going to maybe lighten it up a little bit. Workforce is a very serious issue. But I'm going to start, if it's acceptable to everybody, to talk a manufacturing reception that we had back in February at our MEP. I feel like we're on an MEP role, so I'm going to continue with the MEP.

And so in February, we had about 500plus people at a reception. The theme was

"Explore the New Manufacturing", and it was
hosted by Catalyst Connection, our local MEP, of
which I am the chair. And here today is Petra
Mitchell, she's already been introduced. She is
the President and CEO. And she and her staff put
together a great program.

It was highlighted with a keynote speaker, our very own Lieutenant Colonel Dana Smith. He's going talk in a minute about it.

And it actually starred the western Pennsylvania middle schoolers. So bear with me because we're going to watch a movie. It's a short movie, I promise, maybe two -- three to six minutes or so. We're going to see that in a minute.

But so we're serious on the Workforce Subcommittee. We're working hard to give Secretary Pritzker some serious recommendations to engage Labor, Education, and other administration departments to improve the manufacturing imagine.

Now, we're all passionate on the subcommittee about this topic, as you can tell by listening to Andra and myself yesterday, and a number of us you will hear -- probably hear from today. But we know that the federal government can't do this alone. It really has to be done with public/private partnerships. I say that many times to try not to get it wrong because public/private partnerships is hard to say.

But we're working together to get a lofty goal -- this lofty goal of improving the

manufacturing imagine. So, you know, if you talk
to any of us on Workforce, I think you'll see
great examples of what I'm going to show you here
in a minute. Our example today, we're going to
show how Catalyst leverages the greater
Pittsburgh manufacturing community, students,
teachers, parents, economic, and workforce
development groups, and our private foundations
to bring this and other events together.

Ethan mentioned a couple of the events that they do here. He gave us a big picture of the MEPs, and he talked about specific things that they do. And at Catalyst Connection, very much the same. I will say to you that not -- Christie asked a question -- not all MEPs are created equally.

We happen to have two of the best MEPs

I believe in the country here today; the people
who are running them. I will just mention very
briefly, I'm unashamed in showing our annual
report. We'll have a few of these around if you
want to see this and see what your MEP can be.

We -- I brought a couple copies for you.

But things like -- we do the middle school Power Fluid Challenge, we do lots of IQ, we do apprenticeships, and college internships as well. And we engage about three hundred employers in the western Pennsylvania area, with about 100 schools participating. So we're talking -- I'm basically focusing workforce today on the schools; we'll be coming to why later. But we offer teacher training and -- to help them embed some of their project-based learning into their curriculums.

so I mentioned a minute ago that the reception was starring middle schoolers. And to briefly explain, we had 10 schools who worked with 10 manufacturers in the area. I'm only going to show you two. But they created -- the middle schoolers created the videos that we're going to see now; the theme was "What's so Cool About Manufacturing"; and they were excited about it. They promoted this event and actually voted for winners. They had 10s of thousands of votes

in the community, which is really pretty cool.

so without further delay, let's explore the new manufacturing. We're going to hope for this, okay, video, with Southband School District showcasing Hörmann High Performance Doors and the Avonworth School Distinct, featuring ARDEX Engineered Cements. And they were actually the winner of the best message and people's choice. So if you can roll up our first one, the Southband.

(Video played.)

MR. YEAGER: So that was some pretty amazing middle schoolers to be able to produce and create those. And Dana is going to talk a little bit about the event itself as well. And as I mentioned, he was our keynote speaker; so Dana, fire away.

LTC SMITH: So thank you again. The irony is not lost, I mean, that you have an Army aviator here talking to you about manufacturing. And I will lead off in saying that -- you may have heard, but the Army does have a modest

workforce development program. So it's not a foreign concept to me.

But the event at Catalyst Collection
-- Connection, it really highlighted some really
fine points that I wanted to convey to you, and
I'll start out with what I call the big picture
in terms of government policies.

There's two questions: are we doing things right and are we doing the right things.

And it just so happened that Deloitte had a survey that came out, I think last week, Global Manufacturing Competitive Index, which in the body of that report it basically said the United States executives found that there were a number of U.S. policies that they felt were going to help them have a competitive advantage, to include sustainability, technology transfer, monetary control, science and innovation, foreign direct investment, IP protection, safety, and health and regulation.

There were a number of other policies that they weren't so happy -- tax -- we won't go

into those. So for the -- the question, are we doing the right things, it seems like there's a sense from a policy standpoint that, yeah, we are doing the right things. But are we doing things right and how do you measure those impacts? In my opinion, having worked with the NNMI and the IMCP in trying to measure the impacts that those programs have, not only can we not tell you today if it's going to work, we're not going to be able to tell you next year.

It's going to take years, if we can ever tell you and quantify the results of those programs. You know, we have a gut feeling -- we all have a sense that they're going to work, but to quantify the results that's a little more difficult. So despite all the things that we are doing right -- or rather doing the right things, we still have the perceived we're going to have this gap of 2.1 million jobs. So I kind of put that out in perspective that, you know, government, if it could cover that gap, they would. But I think the reality is that's not

going to happen. It's just -- it's too much for one institution to take on, and we shouldn't expect them to do it.

so when I look back at the innovation and the advanced manufacturing piece, you know, that's only competitive advantage if you have the career pathway system to support it with the workforce to make it a reality. So you can have all the greatest technology in the world, without the people and the pathway to train those people you don't have a business.

So going back to the Pittsburgh and the community-based efforts, it's the local talent. Ray's not looking for people from Seattle. You're not recruiting out there. So it is incumbent on the local manufacturers and their local communities; it's a local problem.

And to talk about the career pathway system a little bit and what I viewed, it all starts at the requirement -- all wicked problems start with the requirement and that's starts with the manufacturer. No one knows your requirements

better then you. And the educators out there, they would love to produce those people for you, but you have to communicate those requirements to the education system, such as so the education system can produce them.

Again, this is like a supply chain, without the raw materials; the people at the front end, there's no one to educate, there's no one to fill those jobs. So the community piece and the career pathway kind of comes together.

Again, it's a community effort. And that was really what the Pittsburgh thing was all about.

When you really looked at the audience that was out there, you had the kid that you saw in those videos, you saw how excited they were, mom and dad was there, their educators were there. I spoke to a number of school superintendents after the -- so at the high school level they were engaged. The tech schools were there. The manufacturers were there. It was a community-based effort.

Everybody in this career pathway

system was there for one single purpose, to get those kids interested in manufacturing. And that's really -- the fact that the video was what was the catalyst that brought them all together, that's great. But again, you can just see the energy in those kids. They really liked what they had seen.

And in terms of, you know, why today, why now when we talk about the middle school students, you start to do the math. You know, in two years, these kids in the middle schools are selecting their career pathways. Pretty much by the time they're a sophomore or junior in high school they pretty much know which direction they're going to go.

That means by 2020, they're starting to enter their technical follow on. So these kids are going to enter the workforce starting in 2022, 2024; depending on what track they take you're right up against that 2025. So kids that are in that middle school today, that's the target. And if you miss this opportunity today,

it's gone.

So and -- I'll end this comment with something that I heard last week, which was really profound, and there's two types of companies. You know, the companies, well, I can't find talent, I'm searching for talent.

Well, are you going to search for talent or are you going to go make talent?

And that's kind of the difference between the mentality I think going forward from the manufacturing standpoint is those companies that sit around and wait for the system to produce something, they're going to miss out.

And again, going back to the community piece, it was so well demonstrated in Pittsburgh, the fact that everybody came together for the common purpose.

Back to Ray.

MR. YEAGER: So back to me. Why? Why did we show you this? Why did we talk to you about this? We're working on our next letter.

It's actually almost done. And so I'm just going

to briefly talk a little bit about that. And this is almost the why. You know, you see what we're trying to do here in the Workforce

Development Committee. Yesterday I asked a couple of rhetorical questions, right? I asked who has candidates that are prepared right now for entry level jobs, and, you know, 2 million jobs are going to be unfilled in 2025.

So we're going to talk about how to improve that -- improve our chances for success. You know, there are a myriad of questionable programs. Ethan talked about, I talked about some of them. So our subcommittee, we talked about them, talked about lots of programs that are out there.

We looked at what the previous councils did and the past work and recommendations. After careful study and analysis, we came to the conclusion that, yes, some manufacturers are getting by right now, if they're in a supported area. Clearly Cleveland, Pittsburgh are supported areas, and there are a

number of others, you know, so.

But what about in five years, 10

years? So we would like to get the Secretary to

push for some policies, but really to work very

hard together with the Department of Education

and the Department of Labor, because oftentimes

there are barriers between the two.

So we're going to be submitting the following three recommendations to Secretary Pritzker. You -- we're hoping, I believe, that we're going to approve this letter on -- the whole council on May 18th. So that would be all of us then submitting these to Secretary Pritzker.

But recommendation number one is to develop, or where existing improve, internship/apprenticeship programs with the Department of Labor. So we're going to be talking about that in our letter. And certainly when we go through our discussion we'll can have more conversation about that, but I'm just giving you the big overview.

We're going to push for the reauthorization of the Carl D. Perkins Career and Technical Education Act of 2006. It's a very important act for schools -- high schools primarily, for career technical education or CTEs. We've briefly touched on that in this council meeting before.

And our third recommendation is to work with the Department of Education and the Department of Labor to enhance middle school curriculum -- what we're talking about here -- enhance high school curriculum and how the CTEs work with high school curriculum, and potentially adopt a National Career Readiness Certification.

subcommittee strongly believes that the recommendations will help marshal resources to vitalize our nation's manufacturing talent pipeline. And that's what we're working on to improve our chances of filling those 2 million spots in 2025. And we're going to do our part to rally the manufacturing industry, through our

	perception campaign and leaders, to improve and -
2	- improve our chances of these stated objectives.
3	And so now I end my presentation about our next
4	letter.
5	MS. MARTINEZ: Can everyone feel Ray's
6	passion here? It feels really good, doesn't it?
7	It's fantastic. And as well as the Colonel's.
8	Thank you so much for that overview. I
9	understand that for our discussion, before I
10	invite questions for both of you, I'd also like
11	to point out that Tim O'Meara, Craig Freedman,
12	and Sue Helper are all teed up also to respond to
13	any questions that you have on this topic area as
14	well. So I open up the floor for comments and
15	questions. Please.
16	MS. TAYLOR-KALE: Thank you. I know
17	I had side conversations yesterday with
18	MS. MARTINEZ: Excuse me, ma'am. I
19	hate to interrupt you. Can you identify yourself
20	for the record?
21	MS. TAYLOR-KALE: Absolutely.
22	MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR-KALE: Sorry about that.

Laura Taylor-Kale, deputy assistant secretary for

Manufacturing and Commerce. Following on our

conversations last night, and your wonderful

presentation just now, I'm very curious if you

all have found that there's some critical point

where you yield better results.

So for instance, if you target middle schoolers, or if you target, you know, high schoolers all -- throughout the sort of education chain, it's very important. But is there a critical point where if you reach kids you will yield the -- you know, the workers actually going into entry level points more -- you know, would it be more likely?

MR. YAEGER: I'll probably.

MS. MARTINEZ: Tim?

MR. O'MEARA: This is Tim O'Meara, president of GEMCITY Engineering. In the Dayton area, we are very fortunate to have a very active Regional Manufacturing Association. And so we tried a number of years to do our own little

robotics exercise. And one of the things we found there was direct -- it was a direct correlation, but we could not track it due to basically you're not able to track a student through the system to find out if they actually went to college, what college they go to, and did they actually get into a manufacturing position.

So the one side is, yes, we found it very successful. But at the same time there's no direct data to support that they did go into an engineering job. And just because of the Privacy Act, we cannot ask the parents or ask the student did they go down that path.

So -- but we did see a lot of interest. And what I can say is through the exercise with interns, we found out in the summertime that they came back and asked for a job. All right, but after they got to school, after they graduated, that's when we lost track of them.

DR. SMYTH: Colonel Smith?

LTC SMITH: And I would -- I agree

with Tim that there is no real evidence.

However, Deloitte did a perception survey after
the last Manufacturing Day event last year where
they asked students and teachers alike, you know,
how their perceptions had changed towards
manufacturing.

One of the questions I think was 81 percent of all the participants had said after having been to the event, experienced it, and done something like that, they would consider a career in manufacturing. So it was kind of an insight to show thinking about it.

MS. RUSH: Hi. Excuse me, I'm Andra Rush. I can give you empirical data, just my gut feel. I find that you really need to expose young children at the first through fifth grade to manufacturing, like field days or even science centers, because people don't associate what an engineer is or manufacturing. I can tell from my own experience, about 15 now young adults are in manufacturing because they were on field trips with me when they were little five and six-year-

olds. But they -- that exposure opens the mind of imagination. And high school is really too late in some cases.

One other example that I think will become a best practice is our mayor in Detroit has a program called "Grow Detroit's Young Talent". And what they do with some employers is they match 20 hours a week employment for six weeks. We -- last year had a goal of hiring 100, we hired 70 for the six weeks, and this year our goal is 150.

But two things came out of it. I was able to hire three people full time from that experience. I drove 10 percent -- 10 people right back to school because they didn't want to go in manufacturing. So knowing what you don't want to do is key.

But an interesting thing that the mayor shared was that the crime went down dramatically, dramatically in our city for those six weeks and that was because kids were working. We targeted 16 through 24-year-olds that

typically never had a job. And it is -- it's a 1 2 phenomenal way for a pipeline for workforce and exposure as well. 3 4 MS. MARTINEZ: That is excellent. Ms. 5 Mitchell, did you have a comment? I did have a comment. 6 MS. MITCHELL: 7 MS. MARTINEZ: Please, could you come to a microphone if you wouldn't mind. You can 8 9 share right here. 10 MS. MITCHELL: Yes, thank you. I just 11 wanted to comment. The program that Ray 12 described, of course, we were so excited and very 13 proud of. But it is part of a much larger 14 program, as Ray described, "Explore the New 15 Manufacturing", which offers a number of 16 opportunities for employers and students to 17 become engaged. 18 So I think the answer to your question 19 is, no, we haven't found one single program. 20 What we have found is that it is not a one size 21 fits all solution and that there have to be --

has to be many opportunities for employers to get

engaged because not all employers want to open their doors up for students to come in and take videos, not all employers can, you know, support an intern or whatever the program is. So that there has to be many options.

And we are really trying to build those options, not only in our own center, but with all of the partners in the greater

Pittsburgh community. And just as an example, I believe yesterday there was a discussion about the Maker Movement; that is an excellent way for some students to become introduced to manufacturing.

And we have summer camps where we partner with Tech Shop. And so we are able to bring in students from the urban community: come to a camp, one to two weeks at Tech Shop, make things, become introduced to manufacturing in that manner. So I think, again -- and, you know, we're open to lots of ideas of different ways that we can bring that to the table to provide that opportunity.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you Ms. Mitchell for that comment. Hiro?

DR. FUJITA: Hiro Fujita, the Energy Subcommittee co-chair. It's a wonderful discussion. And indeed as a medical device, my personal company, we also have these challenges and issues when it comes to finding people. And my comment would be -- this could be a question -- but, you know, you did talk about the employer side, and also students and community.

But at the same time I think we have to talk about the teachers' quality in the middle school because they are going to make such a big impact to these young students. So my question is, do we have, you know -- are there any, let's say, awareness or training programs for the teachers -- middle school teachers who will be engaged in, let's say, educating these young minds? Thank you.

LTC SMITH: So from a national perspective, I don't think there's an answer to that. I do know that last year the NNMI

Institute in Tennessee did do a program like 1 2 that, where they brought in a whole host of high school teachers and they ran a-week long camp to 3 4 introduce them to some of the manufacturing 5 concepts. As you know, NNMI has a workforce development component to it and that was one of 6 the things that they were really proud of in last 7 year's efforts to address that exact answer. 8 9 MS. MARTINEZ: Please, Ray. 10 MR. YEAGER: Craig, do you want -- I'm 11 sorry. 12 I'm sorry, I didn't MS. MARTINEZ: 13 see. 14 I was just going to --MR. FREEDMAN: 15 Craig Freedman, Freedman Seating Company. 16 also on the Workforce Development Committee. 17 while those videos seem -- you know, it's just 18 one small element of the increasing the 19 perception of manufacturing. And we have 2 20 million jobs to fill, as Dana had mentioned, and

it's going to take the teachers, the

manufacturers, the students themselves.

21

as important are the parents. And that needs to be emphasized as well because they have a -- they are a key part of the decision-making process for the young middle schoolers and high schoolers in where they take their careers.

And, you know, my parents and grandparents, I mean, they all -- the perception is is that manufacturing is a dark and dirty place, and that couldn't be further from the And that's part of what our committee with the perception letter, that we've all seen, is trying to combat. And so I just want to , you know, clarify or point out that getting the parents involved in this decision, while we don't have statistics on how that will help the situation anecdotally, there's no doubt about it. We've brought parents in before we hired students from local high schools and that seems to have helped the retention and increase the interest of the students themselves, so.

MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent, that's a key point, Craig. Thank you very, very much for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that.

Anyone else before I call on Ray to -Rob?

MR. FRIEDLAND: Thanks, Rob Friedland from Proton OnSite. Just -- Ray, you mentioned something when you were talking about your three points that maybe you could elaborate a little bit on. You said the third point was potentially some form of accreditation, if I heard you right. And I think that both sends fear to me -- I'm kind of afraid of that, as well as intrigued by that because I think in manufacturing -- I work in the energy sector, and manufacturing for us is defined as the ultimate in flexibility.

In other words, by the time the community colleges have put a program in place -you know, I'm three generations past that, so I
work with them to not do that. You know, give me
the basic skills, give me what I need, and I'm
going to train them to do -- through
apprenticeship programs and a lot of the kinds of
things that are available. So I'm just curious

where -- what the -- a little bit more of an elaboration on what you were thinking with that - or the team was thinking with accreditation?

MR. YEAGER: So I said "potentially" and the reason I said potentially is because as we sat down and we're discussing the final parts of our letter yesterday, from information I believe we received from the Department of Education, there is some -- or maybe it was the Department of Labor -- there is some question as to how far they can go.

And so our recommendation -- remember, we're going to make a recommendation to Secretary Pritzker, was to work with Labor and Education to try and see if there is a way to develop some readiness certifications and stacked accreditations to be able to come to that. And if -- one of the possibilities we kicked around was whether or not NIST, who that's their world, if it in fact that's the right place to house what type of technical requirements are needed.

So we have a ways to go related to

that, but it is something that on the Workforce Subcommittee we felt pretty passionate about was stacked accreditation would be a really, really nice thing to help the flexibility of young men and women find jobs in manufacturing.

MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent. Bill?

MR. YEARGIN: If I understand the 2 million job shortfall by 2025, it's a way bigger issue then just for manufacturing; it's a national issue that cuts across many, many different segments. So I know this is a political hot potato right now, but I can't help but wonder if there's not partially an immigration solution. In other words, are there just -- are there even going to be enough people working age by 2025 to fill not just manufacturing jobs but across all the jobs. if there is a potential immigration solution, is the timing right for us as a council, and specifically the Workforce Development Committee, to start bringing that up.

MS. RUSH: I think we did talk about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

immigration and that is a hot topic I know in 1 2 Michigan. Our Governor's going for 15,000 H1B visas, I believe they are, and immigration is 3 4 needed everywhere. Also, when we do educate 5 foreign students, we want to have a retention program for all that wonderful knowledge that 6 really made America the country it is. So that 7 is a big policy. I don't know if we're going to 8 9 I hope we do. get to it.

For me, I'd just like to pick up the phone and say, make an executive order, but I guess that's not popular. Because really, let's face it, immigration is what's made our nation, and we do need the pipeline of people and their creativity and diversity from all over, as well as in employing our American citizens as well.

So I don't know how to kind of influence that, but I think if we did bring awareness that's helpful. Maybe Dana, you know what Secretary Pritzker's involvement is on immigration.

LTC SMITH: I would venture a guess

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that it is very limited in her sphere of influence on that topic. But I have seen her personally take recommendations from organizations, and although they were not -- or they were hot button issues, and we're not going to go into the details of them -- but she feels adamantly if that's the recommendation that you want to make, she considers it, and acts accordingly.

So -- and to the exact point of I've had this conversation with the President. We have an understanding, but I'll raise it again.

So that -- it's certainly something to consider.

And I don't know that the math has actually been done to figure out do we actually have enough people. It would be an interesting equation to solve.

DR. SMYTH: So it's a good point, but
I want to expound on it a little, and thanks for
bringing it up, Bill. So one of the things that
we're driving in each one of the individual four
subcommittees is for closure on actionable

recommendations in the short timeframe. But it is critical that we take issues like that, and if we decide they're not going into an actual recommendation that we tee them up for the next council. And that needs to go into our overall closure meeting and archive. So I want to thank Bill for putting that on the table. Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent -- that's an excellent segue. If you can give your comment in 30 seconds or less, Dr. Green, I'm happy to entertain you.

DR. GREEN: I was just --

MS. MARTINEZ: Were you going to say the same thing? Excellent, we're on a tight timeframe and my boss over here to my right is making sure that I stay on track.

So to keep Archana going and all of us going, I think I'll turn it back over to Susan after I thank the team here that just responded to the robust discussion. Lieutenant Colonel Smith, as well as Ray and your whole team, Dr. Cristobal, and Andra, Tim, Craig --

1 DR. HELPER: Could you just say one 2 second thought? 3 MS. MARTINEZ: Sue, yes. 4 DR. HELPER: So one of the things that 5 we're working on in the Department of Commerce is a study on the return on investment to having 6 7 apprenticeships. And so we are looking for companies that have apprenticeships. 8 Ideally, 9 they also have some kind of counterfactual; 10 either they have data from before they started 11 the apprenticeship program or they have another 12 way that they bring people in but that allows us 13 to do a comparison. And so in return for the 14 data, we would sort of offer some free consulting 15 about how to think about what this return on 16 investment is, how to increase it, et cetera. So 17 it would be really great if people that are 18 interested would contact me offline. 19 MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you for that, 20 Sue. Susan? 21 DR. SMYTH: Okay, thank you, Sue. 22 Okay, so we are going to segue to the

subcommittee reports on the 2015-2016 deliverables.

So when we were in discussion with the Secretary, and it was April last year -- it's amazing to think it's only been a year, we've all aged visibly -- she provided us with a clear and concise description of the deliverables that she expected from the council. And each one of the subcommittees has done a fantastic job in keeping this charter and focus, and preventing scope, (indiscernible), and course connections. I want to thank you for doing that and congratulate you.

So in our co-chair meeting last week, we discussed a template that would allow us to visit the expectations of the Secretary. So subcommittee by subcommittee, we're going to look at what the Secretary specifically asked you. I want to you to do X, Y, Z; and we need to match to the output of the council on what we have delivered.

So I'm now going to call on each one of the co-chairs to report out briefly what those

deliverables are, and if they can do them in the context of what the charter was, that would be much appreciated. Thank you.

And I think we go to --

MS. MARTINEZ: Bill.

DR. SMYTH: -- the ageless Mr. Bill Yeargin from TTP.

MR. YEARGIN: I'm Bill Yeargin. I'm chair -- co-chair of the Trade Tax Policy and Export Growth Committee. We were give two directives by Secretary Pritzker. One was to identify mechanisms and processes which enhance or impede exports, and then secondly give input on the top market reports. We've issued three letters so far covering four topics.

I'm happy to report that of the four topics in our three letters, two of them actually we have positive closure on. So that -- we're happy about that. We have two more letters in the works. We have a trade letter and a tax letter. We pretty much finalized them this morning. We've got to get them through the rest

of our committee that wasn't here today over the next few days, and we hope to have them to the full council for your consideration and hopefully ultimate approval in our call on May 18th.

Related to the first directive by the Secretary, that's really where -- which is identifying processes which enhance or impede exports; that's where we really spent most of our attention and -- for our previous letters and then focused on. As I said, we have another letter coming out on that in the next few days.

And the second directive related to the top market reports, we do -- we have accumulated a number of comments on those reports. Some of those comments will be included in our letter that will also be coming out in the next few days. But really, the bigger issue that we found was that it comes back to, you know, are you doing things right or are you doing the right things.

What we found was that most people just don't know about what the Department of

Commerce offers. And we did a number -- we did a survey. All of our committee went out. We had regional forums and a lot of people just weren't aware.

So one of our -- I think the most important recommendations is that the Department of Commerce focus on marketing of what is out there because there's a lot of valuable resources the Department of Commerce does that businesses just aren't aware of. I know I wasn't before I got involved in this council four years ago, and others on our committee mentioned the same thing. So I think we made good progress, still got some more work to do. And thank you for giving me the opportunity to share that.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you very much, Bill. Excellent summary.

I'd like to move now to Dr. Hiro

Fujita and Dr. Cody Friesen for the Energy report

out.

DR. FUIJITA: Thank you very much
Chair Smyth. So on behalf of the Energy

Subcommittee and my co-chair, Dr. Cody Friesen, I would like to give you the update of our activity.

Originally when we had, you know, this council meeting, we were charged by, you know, Secretary Pritzker to, you know, basically come up with a plan -- proposal that would promote trade relationships and create energy while simultaneously increasing domestic production and export activity.

So our subcommittee has spent, you know, a lot of time to do brainstorming, and we have a -- proposed a -- this letter which is entitled, "Renewable Energy Trade Missions." And basically what is that there are many committees and groups who is in the Commerce Department and elsewhere in the federal sector.

So we proposed that the Trade

Promotion Coordinating Committee, TPCC; and

International Trade Administration, ITA; and

Department of Energy, DOE; and also the Energy

Agency and Renewal Energy, EARE; and then also

Office of Energy and Environmental Industries,

OEEI, to create a working group to address these,

you know, issues, in particular this trade

missions.

There are two types of trade missions:

one -- number one, foreign trade missions; that's

where, you know, often government comes up, you

know, with a program to go to other countries

where, you know, opportunities are. And we have

participated in those trade missions before.

But what may be new here is that we are also talking about the (indiscernible) trade missions; that is, you know, perhaps very beneficial for small/medium enterprises because they may not have resources to, you know, participate in these trade missions to other countries.

So, you know, having a theme and a mission, we could invite, together with the government -- invite these private sectors and then government sectors to these cities in the country to promote, you know, job creation and

also business opportunities. So that was our main idea.

But I must also, you know, offer this very important comment, which we received from Deputy Assistant Secretary Ms. Laura Taylor-Kale.

MS. TAYLOR-KALE: Taylor-Kale.

DR. FUIJITA: And she gave us the, you know, advice yesterday to also include those agencies which are responsible for financial resources. So, you know, that was a wonderful comment.

So our activity will be including -you know, will include integration of this very
important piece of the picture into our letter.
So it's going to be, you know, a revision to or
other form. We have to decide as a subcommittee,
but that's what we are going to do.

And we also, for the remaining few months, what we want to do is to compile all the data that we have collected, you know, during this council time to -- you know, such as contact information, committee information, who have, you

know, let's say same goals and objectives for this renewable energy sector. So we are going to compile that data to pass on to the next council. Thank you.

DR. SMYTH: Okay, thank you very much.

And before I move to the Innovation, Research,

and Development, I would like to touch base with

Ms. Andra Rush and Mr. Ray Yeager from Workforce

and see if they have any further comments with

regard to their deliverables.

MS. RUSH: Thank you, Susan. As we spoke today, we did submit a -- we had two missions: Imagine and Education, and we've submitted our letter and it's been approved for education in the workforce training.

On the Imagine, we hope to submit that by May 18th. And we are also making a list of best practices, contacts, and depending on our timing maybe we will address immigration. But we are progressing well, thank you.

DR. SMYTH: Okay, I would like to call on Ms. Christie Wong Barrett and Mr. Jeff Wilcox,

representing Innovation, Research, and Development for comments.

MS. WONG BARRETT: Thank you, Chair Smyth. It's Christie Wong Barrett on behalf of the IRD Subcommittee and my co-chair Jeff Wilcox. We were charged by the Secretary at the beginning of this term to review two central priorities and topics for DOC. The first was around NNMI, and specifically we were asked to help advise on a process to select the two Commerce led national institutes. And specifically also providing input on how to attract top level priority -- top level private sector experts to lead that process.

I'm pleased to say we did submit a letter of recommendation in January that was approved by full council. So thank you for your review and support of that. And per Lieutenant Colonel Smith, we've obviously learned that the call for those -- the open-call is active right now, so that process is in play. And so we're happy to have achieved that goal for the

Secretary.

The second topic we were asked to look at was supply chain, in particular supporting

Commerce in their role of helping shape the White House Supply Chain Innovation Roundtable that was held last year, and providing, from our subcommittee, inputs on potential gaps in information flow into the supply chain, as well as ideas on suggestions to help manufacturers both adopt new technologies and upgrade their capabilities.

Members of our subcommittee on this topic have actively participate in the White House Supply Chain Initiative that was held last fall in DC to share best practices, to share in insights, representing the manufacturing community, and also hear from other manufacturers what they're doing. We've also participated, over the past 18 months, in community located roundtable discussions around this specific topic for supply chain innovation and scaling new technologies; and the locations were Atlanta,

Georgia; Cleveland -- this was about a year ago; Chicago, where we hosted a group at the DNDII on this topic; and also in Detroit.

So a collective of information has been gathered and shared back real time to this initiative on the supply chain questions that the Secretary has asked. Our remaining open item is providing a summary document, highlighting our findings that have already been shared back verbally, but making sure that there's a document that the group can utilize going forward as a location of the insights that we gathered over the past 12 to 18 months on this topic.

The additional areas of focus that our subcommittee have looked at, we have put together a letter on patent reform, focusing on patent quality. Again, thank you for your support, full council, in reviewing that in detail and helping us move that forward with the Secretary.

And then we have three additional letters of recommendation that are in the hopper still. One is on NNMI, we're trying to take it a

institutes and the involvement of many of our council members in institutes. We are putting together some best practices for the network that we feel would be helpful to share as the network continues to grow, expand, and collaborate amongst itself. So that will be coming up.

And we do have to ask for council members that are members of the NNMI. We will work with Archana to find out who you are, but we'd like the ability to interview you on your experiences with the NNMI and help identify other best practices that could be shared more broadly. So we'll be asking for that as part of that upcoming deliverable.

Secondly we are -- obviously, as I mentioned, will close out the supply chain topic by documenting what we've learned and making sure there's a good hand-off to DoC and White House and to the future councils on what we've learned and what we've shared already.

And thirdly, we do have a letter in

process on the topic of the IANA Function

Transition from Government Oversight. As we talked about yesterday, the Department of

Commerce and administration are taking actions towards future transition of the oversight of

IANA functions to a multi-stakeholder model, away from U.S. government oversight.

So through our Manufacturing Council deliberation over the past nine months, we've identified that there are several risks to the U.S. manufacturing industry and sector that could immerge with lack of government -- U.S. government oversight. So we are putting together -- reformulating a letter to identify those risks, potential mitigation strategies that DoC can consider as they continue their activity towards this transition, making sure that we highlight steps that could be taken to mitigate those risks for the manufacturing sector.

DR. SMYTH: Okay, thank you. Before
I open the floor for comment, I want to segue
from one comment that Ms. Wong Barrett made, and

it was with regard to one of the initiatives already being implemented.

So I would like to call on Archana
Sahgal from the Department of Commerce for input
on this real time implementation of the
recommendations to the Secretary.

MS. SAHGAL: Thank you. So because of the incredible work that the entire council has put forth through the subcommittees -- oh, absolutely. Thank you.

Because of the incredible work that
the council has done through each of the four
subcommittees, the letters that you have created
have been not only transmitted to both the
Secretary, but to also the relevant stakeholders
that have equities within the issues that you
have focused on, whether that's through -- to
NIST or whether it's through U.S. -- the Patent
Trade Office, or to our colleagues at the
Department of Labor or the Department of
Education.

So specifically, as Christie and Jeff

had mentioned, in the winter of 2016, the IRD Subcommittee, you all had delivered in the letter focused on both the patent requirement and (indiscernible). I know that through my conversations with U.S. PTO office, the patent reform work is incredibly -- it's just to know exactly what your recommendations are, given your experience, is moving. And I'm hoping at that the final meeting we'll have an update specifically from either the Assistant Secretary or the Chief of Staff that will allow us to share 12 with us how those recommendations are being 13 integrated into U.S. PTO.

> Around NNMI work, you heard directly from Phil Zimmerman himself. The -- I believe the February phone call, our colleague from NIST, who had shared that, not only were his recommendations so helpful but the timing -- and I know that Jeff, you had mentioned this yesterday -- the timing was impeccable; knowing that the due diligence is happening at -- was at the same time. And so I'm hoping and I know that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

there is continuing dialogue that's happening.

One of the things I was flagging for the Workforce Subcommittee in the co-chair session yesterday is that so often you can deliberate and adopt a letter, and it'll get transmitted. But for me, one of the best practices is knowing that the deliberations and the discussion and the clarifying questions between the subcommittee members and between the Manufacturing Council and amongst the interagency folks. And so just knowing that the Department of Ed and Department of Labor are engaged in the act of letter writing is actually what is creating the change in the administration.

So thank you, and I'm looking at the Workforce Subcommittee knowing how much work you put on it, because our most recent letter that was transmitted was around Manufacturing Day, and luckily we were able to have Dana Smith here from Secretary Pritzker's office, who is just really ingrained in the work in the Secretary's front office around this work. And I really think that

the work around the letter, you'll start to see
that in the planning; that's happening already,
that's started leading up to both October 2016
and beyond, as part of both this President and
the Secretary's legacy around Manufacturing Day.
So thank you.

I know that Andra, as the co-chair, you had mentioned that the Workforce Subcommittee's letter on the schools, even though it has not with about transmitted yet and you have not deliberated or adopted it, already that work is being held very closely by our Department of Education colleagues. Robin Utz -- Ms. Robin Utz, who you know I met with in July of 2015, continues to talk about the power of this specific council and the power of the consensus decision making that you're all making. So I'm looking forward to an update around both the Department of Education, the Department of Labor, and Commerce around this issue. So thank you.

With regard to the Energy
Subcommittee, we're so lucky to have Deputy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Assistant Secretary Laura Taylor-Kale here 1 2 because, some of you were not in the co-chair session yesterday, but already we're engaging in 3 4 a deep conversation about our trade promotions 5 office working very closely, along with the Department -- actually DAS Laura Taylor-Kale's 6 7 office of Energy to ensure that the trade promotions work is integrating the work of the 8 9 Energy Subcommittee. And in fact, even those the 10 letter has been transmitted up to the Secretary 11 and back around -- across and around, again, 12 because that's how we do things in the government 13 -- that letter still continues to have legs. 14 Knowing that the conversation specifically with 15 DAS Taylor-Kale will continue to help and so. And then I'll end with the incredible 16 17 18 19

work that the Trade Tax Policy and Export Growth Subcommittee, led by co-chairs Bill Yeargin and Jan Allman. You all were the first ones out the gate because there was some incredible legislation that was happening in the fall of 2015, and I -- when I speak publicly,

20

21

specifically around XM Authorization Work, I say that the Manufacturing Council is what tipped it over.

And I joke, but the reality is that it really take a confirmed background so it really takes on movement of voices, and specifically those that are weighted from the private sector to really ensure to -- that this work is held, and specifically by the Secretary who is -- she says that she is the -- she is one of you, and she really carries the voice of the private sector in her office. And so knowing that each of the private sector members in this council, it makes a difference.

It really does, specifically around the conversation around I believe with the XM Bank Work, and I know that obviously around the miscellaneous tariff bill as well, and the work that was around the higher Transportation Bill. All of those were successful.

But as you know it's a very complicated public policy landscape. And I think

-- who mentioned it before -- one of you
brilliant thinkers had talked about the role of
the advisory committees. I am hoping that it's
not a best kept secret. I'm hoping it's just not
a secret, which is why we have public -- public
meetings that are on the record. Thank you, Ms.
Elegra.

But really knowing that your -- the voices specifically of you and specifically of this council go forward, and not just because of this council, but we have very active folks that get on planes to come meet in Cleveland.

So I also want to take a moment to thank my colleagues from the U.S. government.

Even though Sue Helper is local, as many of you know, we spent hours on the phone together getting ready for this meeting. And then obviously DAS Taylor-Kale who's here, just committed to -- as the Secretary calls it,

"running through the tape" to January 20, 2016.

And then obviously my colleagues that are here from OCP. Thank you Dr. Brewster, as

well as Lieutenant Colonel Smith. And then thinking through as well, Petra, thank you for coming all the way from Pittsburgh. I hear it's not too far of a drive but that sounds really far to me. As well as Dr. Karp as well because it really is the network of folks: private sector, EDOs, government folks, and just larger community members that make these letters move forward.

And you've heard me discuss this before, I know that DAS Taylor-Kale has also referred to the fact that this is a unique time in the administration because the end of your term corresponds to the end of this administration.

And so I wanted to also remind folks that that final meeting -- we should be able to announce the final meeting -- summer -- August 2016. I don't know why I'm whispering because it's all on record, but we're hoping to announce that. And that'll be really important around the hand-off letter, both ensuring your legacy serving the Secretary and serving this President

moves forward, as we transition into the next administration.

So with that, thank you, and I turn it back to Vice Chair Martinez and Chair Smyth.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you so much. I just want you all to know that was not part of our scripted agenda that she is so brilliantly conducted that we actually find ourselves with about 20 minutes of time, which is what we were hoping to have at the very end.

I know that we all feel that these meetings go by way too quickly and there's so much substance we could really discuss. But before I invite comment on just the last panel and then for those of you, you can have it in the back of your mind, I'm going to open it up for general comment because we have a little bit more time. If you can be thinking about issues you'd like to tee up before we conduct the conclusion of the meeting.

I would really like to make sure that

I highlight that since we met last time, this

lovely lady, Ms. Sahgal, has been promoted to the head of her office. And she could have delegated this meeting to someone else, the lovely Li, who is now joining us as well, that we're very, very proud and honored to have you as well with your area of expertise and everyone else on your team that I'm not mentioning, forgive me. It takes a village, especially to get this whole council going.

What I really want to say that it is really exceptional leadership on her part not to have delegated this. There are 67 committees, as I understand it, under Secretary Pritzker's purview, and it is amazing what they do. And in her time there, she's already included another council to add to her duties. So I think that we should give special recognition to Archana right now and thank her for everything.

And I wanted to say -- like my comment earlier about scripted, those comments that she just gave, I just thought of it because we were ahead on time and we did get two questions by two

different members asking us where exactly are the council recommendations and where are we going with those. So while Susan was speaking and Christie was giving the final read out, she put that whole briefing together in about 30 seconds. So, you're an amazing professional. So thank you so much.

Do we have comments, questions?

Please. Bill?

MR. YEARGIN: I've got two things, just very briefly. First, I know we've spoken a few times about when we're our meeting, in August or September. If I could just strongly encourage us to get some dates as soon as possible. It's just so hard with everybody's schedule and travel schedules. If we can get that in the next week or two it would be really, really helpful.

MS. MARTINEZ: If I could speak to that Bill, and I just want everyone to know the issues. What we're really trying to do is make it a very special meeting for the last meeting of the council. We have some very special surprises

1	that I cannot tell you, especially on the record.
2	But there are some scheduling issues that we're
3	trying to secure in DC, and we will let you know
4	just as soon as we possibly can.
5	DR. SMYTH: I think we can say
6	MS. MARTINEZ: We are targeting,
7	however
8	DR. SMYTH: it's a high percent
9	chance of it being the first week of August so if
LO	you block all that.
L1	MR. YEARGIN: The first week of August?
L2	DR. SMYTH: Yeah, first week of August.
L3	MS. MARTINEZ: Correct, that's what I
L4	was just about to say. If you all could just put
L5	a calendar hold for right now, knowing that it is
L6	tentative, we would really appreciate that.
L7	MR. YEARGIN: And that's the first
L8	week of August, not September?
L9	DR. SMYTH: August.
20	MR. YEARGIN: And then I'd just like
21	to second your comments related to Archana. On
22	my four years on the council. I think we've had

three or four different people in Archana's role, and no disrespect to anyone else, but Archana, you're definitely the top and really appreciate - - you've been -- you've helped our committee in everything we do. We really appreciate you a lot. Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Who else would like to comment? Hi, Ray.

Please, could you all identify
yourselves? Once again, I'm sorry to keep
harping on that, but my colleague over here wants
a clean record, so.

MR. YEAGER: Yes, indeed. My name is Ray Yeager, DMI Companies. And I wanted to just make mention -- Christie asked Ethan earlier about whether or not the MEPs shared best practices. And, Ethan talked a little bit about that. And as you can tell by this particular meeting today, the MEPs play a pretty important role in a number of places around the United States.

I'm going to put in another plug,

1	again. If your MEP doesn't participate in the
2	areas that you believe that you've heard from
3	Ethan and Petra and myself today, do me a favor
4	if you would and just grab one of these from me,
5	and this will tell you you can take this back
6	to your MEP. If I may be so bold as to say, you
7	can take it back to your MEP and say, we would
8	like to work on some of these kinds of programs.
9	So I mean just plugging the MEPs again.
LO	MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent. Excellent.
L1	MR. YEAGER: And that's all I wanted
L2	to mention.
L3	DR. SMYTH: Great job, Ray.
L 4	MS. MARTINEZ: Great. Please, Dr.
L5	Green.
L6	DR. GREEN: It's Albert Green, Kent
L7	Displays. So I believe I mentioned this to you
L8	in a phone call. I think, you know, one of the
L9	things that I would encourage us to do, and even
20	for the next council is, you know, the time that
21	we've had for full council deliberation and
22	discussion not deliberation, but discussion, I

think has been especially helpful. And, you know, I would certainly -- I really credit this as being on with Bill for four -- this is my fourth year, and I think this council was good with giving us time for full council discussion.

I think it's important because there are some topics that, you know, even in our IRD Subcommittee that were, you know, challenges.

And we were anxious to try to find a forum to actually, for lack of a better word, bounce it off of the rest of the council because it was, you know, building consensus and understanding would have been -- was -- is very helpful. And so I would just encourage that if there is time for open full council discussion outside of the subcommittees, that would be very helpful in the future.

MS. MARTINEZ: I'd like to just comment on that very quickly and tee up the last part of what Susan was saying is the deliverable going out of the council and that is the hand-off letter -- we're referring to it as a "hand-off

letter". There needs to be a lot crosspollination around the entire council to try to
disseminate exactly what we are putting forward,
not just in the specific topic areas that we've
assigned to in our four subcommittees, but in
other areas that you think that the council
should be focusing in on.

So I think that that discussion we're going to have, the calls that we have coming up in between our next in-person meeting will be very, very key, not only in the pass -- probably at least three letters in May, but also to really talk about this robust archive library that we want to leave as our legacy. So thank you, Dr. Green.

MR. YEARGIN: For clarity, you expect one hand-off letter or each committee -- subcommittee to have a hand-off letter?

MS. MARTINEZ: Susan, do you want to take that? Yeah, what we're going to do is Susan and I are going to create a rubric, so to speak; a template. And so all of the key issues, which

are going to include your hand-off letters, your recommendation letters that have been formally adopted; that would be obviously number one of your deliverable set.

Then number two is what you didn't get to, right. If you had had more time -- we all know that we're on very abbreviated schedule, had you had more time, you would have delivered recommendations on the following areas, and it may not be fully flushed out, but you would have liked to have gotten to X, Y, Z. And to that end, you have already consulted with experts one through 10, right.

So what we're going to do is we're going to do an executive summary because Archana brought up a very good example that whoever takes over her position in the next administration -- and again, it's not a foregone conclusion that the Manufacturing Council will continue to exist. However, it's been bipartisan in nature; two different administrations, two different parties in the past have continued it, so we're very

optimistic given the fact that manufacturing is so apple pie, right, to American -- Americans. I think it will continue.

And so all we can do to make sure that legacy does continue is to give the most robust archive that we can. However, not everyone is going to be able to read everything that we've So to your point, we're going to ask for done. executive summaries from each of the subcommittees that are going to highlight what did you do in terms of recommendations that have already been enacted, what would you have liked to have done, and then what are you leaving behind as far as suggestions for the next council. And in that, you can have appendices or a little library attachment on a flash drive that we'll give to them or some type of archiving mechanism. We don't know if it's Share Point at this point or how we're going to do it.

But that will give them a springboard to just take off on for the next council and food for thought. They may choose to create different

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

subcommittees. For example, they may choose to structure themselves differently. But we will give them tools and the data to do that.

So that third point I really want to highlight, it's very unstructured on purpose. We don't want to limit you to particular areas. So back to Dr. Green's point, there needs to be a lot of cross-pollination to see, hey, are you interested in cyber.

Susan and I did that with supply chain and cyber this go around, and it sounds like the resounding answer is yes. So supply chain and cyber will be a part of our hand-off letter, so to speak. Does that answer your question?

DR. SMYTH: I have one comment to add to that. I think that was excellently articulated. I think in addition to these executive summaries from each one of the subcommittees, what we're also going to do -- and I think in compelling it together, so it's easy and readable, is to give advice on on-boarding. I think we've had a lot of dialogue and we have a

lot of lessons that we can share with regard to the next committee in terms of on-boarding processes and procedures that will allow people to start right out of the gate.

And I also think from standard operating procedures, standardized work. We are manufacturing; that's how you bring them on board, that's what you do, that's how you get it out of them, that's how you get rid of them.

And so we'll bring that together and we'll give them the long version and the short version. And as Claudine said, we will be reaching out to you and asking for your time and cooperation to achieve that and synthesize it into something that's meaningful but as concise as we can be, so.

MS. MARTINEZ: We have about 10 more minutes for open discussion. Andra, are you about to --

MS. RUSH: Yes, hi, I'm Andra Rush with many companies, but the Rush Group. So first of all I want to thank Claudine and Susan

for your leadership. It's been really a pleasure and to get to know everyone. I'm among the four -- fourth year, maybe three-and-a-half -- I'm as long as Secretary Pritzker. We came on together. And it was really nice to have the ability to onboard our new members in a way, we didn't know for about eight months what the drill was.

And Archana, you've done just a great, great job. And I don't know how -- 67 councils, it amazes me. But I'm not sure where we were on the small business tax. I know that that's been a big issue with a lot of our members and LLCs. And I'm really hoping there is a strategy where we can have a spear of influence because it's almost horrendous.

And I have been encouraged by people to say, well, why don't you just go to Ireland, before they made the law. And I'm like, why would I do that. I'm American. But, you know, the millions and millions you would save by this tax loophole; I'm seeing too many people take advantage of it. And we should have some kind of

incentives when we have apprenticeship programs or we do workforce training in the sense that if your company invests, maybe that's deducted off your total taxation and that's exempt, something like that.

and thirdly I wanted to talk about returning citizens. It's a very important topic and one that a lot of people aren't aware of.

But I can tell you in our own company we use the American Jobs Act pipeline to screen and train our employees. So when I did a survey and said how many people were returning citizens or had a felony that worked for our company, I knew before I personally shepherd through the drill; but I have 84. And then they said what's their attendance, and it's perfect. And how have they been in terms of work quality and leadership and responsibility, and it's been phenomenal.

And I just encourage you to consider taking that "Have you had a felony", off your resumes or your applications because they are some of our most wonderful citizens. They have

made a mistake, paid their price for it, and the opportunity. And the sad case is 33 to 40 percent end up back in the criminal justice system because they can't find work. But it's been a lift for our company. So thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Excellent. With respect to your first point Andra, Bill, would you like to respond at all on the efforts on small business?

MR. YEARGIN: The last council, previous to us, spent most of their time focused on tax. I was actually involved in that a little bit and had some good recommendations. So just in terms of managing the wide range of topics, tax, and trade, we made a decision early on to focus primarily on trade. However, we have focused some on the tax issues, and we will in the next week or two, you as a council should be getting our tax recommendation letter that do address some of those issues you mentioned in it.

MS. MARTINEZ: Who else would like to comment? Anyone else? Please.

MR. MARTINEZ: Sure, Israel Martinez,
Axon Global. First of all, I sit on a few other
advisory boards or boards including -- I won't
name them to protect the innocent at this point.
There are -- I'm impressed with what I've seen.

I think I'm probably one of the newest people at the table in terms of the committees and hard work, and I think the platform by which you communicate the new issues, and cybersecurity will be a difficult one. There are sometimes unintended consequences, and you get through them with good people. And I think if you can identify, to keep it simple, the top 10 issues as it relates to legal, finance, board issues, and technology issues finally to start, right, you begin to solve the issue.

So thank you for having the opportunity to be here and congratulations on what you've done in this area. Really good platform based on other industries and other committees that I sit on, I think this is one of the best I've seen yet.

MS. MARTINEZ: Thank you very much. We appreciate that, Mr. Martinez. Christie?

MS. WONG BARRETT: This is Christie
Wong Barrett from Mac Arthur Corporation and the
IRD Subcommittee co-chair. With respect to
future topics for council, I just would encourage
us, given that there is some new research from
Deloitte and several other companies out there,
that's fairly recent on U.S. manufacturing
competitiveness -- that is our charge to improve
that -- we may want to take a look at that report
because it also has a projection on future
positioning of U.S. competitiveness in I think
2020 or 2025.

Because as Susan mentioned before,
it's time based what we're doing in the United
States to improve our competitiveness will change
-- will have impacts on what the gap is in the
future. But also other governments and other
countries are making steps to improve their
manufacturing competitiveness.

So we need to understand our position

as a country, relative to other countries, not just the local opportunities we're identifying because we may be solving for some issues that we're finding regionally or in our particular industry that we think are big issues, but when we look at the bigger context there may be things that we're missing.

So I just would encourage us as we're

So I just would encourage us as we're going into recommendations for future council that we take a look at some of that macro data to help us with our list of topics.

MS. MARTINEZ: All right. To your point, we just learned from Archana that she's going to disseminate that report to the council. So if the council members could take a look at that and that might help our hand-off letter that we were discussing earlier.

Who else? Anyone else? Final thoughts? Our gracious host.

DR. FUIJITA: Hiro Fuijita. I actually would like to make a comment because there are many, many useful topics today. And,

you know, Dr. Karp talked about MEP and then, you know, you also mentioned that this council is maybe bridge between the private sectors and the government. To that end, I think that, you know, I just want to spend a minute to talk about the (indiscernible) industry which is -- as many people know, it's a health care industry, medical imagining industry, which is a major industry here.

example, FDA inspection, people may not know that many big companies, including and Phillips and (indiscernible), they were shut down. And because of that, thousands of jobs were transferred to, for example, Netherland and China. So my point is that the medical issue is very important, but it has to be implemented with a sense of abundance.

To that end, you know, we talk about the MEP and we talk about supply chain, all these things. I would like to see some kind of effort where, you know, we talk about, let's say, if the

process of, you know, inspection is fair or -because there is always two sides of a coin -and what I'm -- you know, what I also know is
that every medical device company -manufacturing company -- is standardized to ISO
13485, and they are audited by that auditing
body, such as BSI.

So, you know, we have so many auditing bodies and the audits every month, and then we have another inspection due to -- you know, by FDA, and we just want to have the consistency otherwise it's going to cost us more. At the end of the day, it's too costly for these American companies to, you know, do the manufacturing jobs here. So they, you know, export manufacturing jobs to other countries.

So I hope that we can have a dialogue and discussions in that area because it impacts supply chain, and it impacts, you know, manufacturing in America, and it impacts also exporting. So I just want to, you know, leave this subject at the table. Thank you.

MS. MARTINEZ: Any final comments?

Well, with that, I think I'll go ahead and give my final reflections of the day. And I just want to let you all know that I know that I learned so much from each and every one of you.

I think your collaboration, your work ethic, and your passion really is what congeals us.

I think some of the new members, Laura and other people that came to the reception last night said, "What's the secret sauce in the Manufacturing Council", "How do you all work so well together." And I think it's really the very healthy respect that we have for one another, and we take our charge as advising policy on manufacturing to heart very seriously.

We live it every day. You can see that with the leadership that you all exude every single time we meet. And I just want to tell you it's a privilege and honor to serve in this way, and I thank you.

DR. SMYTH: Thank you. So as we close this meeting, I think we need to celebrate the

fact that the 2015-2016 Manufacturing Council has succeeded in multiple facets of our charter.

We have produced letters of recommendation which are actionable and focused. In fact, some are already in play as we have heard. And we have the distinction of producing about twice as many letters of recommendation in half the typical time due to our accelerated mindset and motivation. So in manufacturing terms, "Our quality is perfect, we increased productivity, and we're fast to market."

So thank you all for attending. like to thank our council members, our guest speakers, our colleagues, you, and -- I was going to say all of us, I caught myself there -- our colleagues from the Department of Commerce. finally I'd like to thank the staff of the Union Club of Cleveland for their support and for their service and for the use of this fabulous historic facility.

The meeting is closed.

(Off the record at 12:00 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A
a-week 110:3
a.m 1:11 4:2
abatement 74:4
abatements 74:1,2
abbreviated 148:7
ability 31:18 36:10
130:11 152:5
able 6:9,11,12 16:9
22:15,16 29:15 30:21 33:7 38:7 39:4 42:6
48:12 57:11 58:1
67:14 68:8 70:5 74:1
74:6,11,19 78:21
92:13 94:9 104:4
106:13 108:15 113:17
134:19 139:16 149:7
absolute 15:2
absolutely 45:7 47:7
49:1 60:2 102:21
132:10 abstract 43:13
abundance 158:18
academic 54:6
accelerate 81:18
accelerated 161:8
acceptable 88:8
access 22:16 42:7,8
84:20
accessing 82:7
accomplish 18:17 51:8 74:20
accreditation 112:9
113:3 114:3
accreditations 113:17
accumulated 121:14
achieve 151:14
achieved 127:22
acknowledge 15:13
acquiring 81:15
act 31:3,12 34:19 54:1 101:3,4 104:12
134:13 153:10
actionable 6:14 16:10
76:19 116:22 161:4
actions 131:4
active 25:3 103:20
127:20 138:11
actively 128:13
activities 6:5 82:15,17 82:18 83:4
82:18 83:4 activity 123:3,10 125:1
131:16
acts 116:8
actual 117:3
actualize 6:14
adamantly 116:7
add 17·1/ 1/11·16

П

150:15 added 59:22 **adding** 69:13 addition 150:17 additional 129:14,20 address 33:15 37:16,18 110:8 124:2 126:19 154:20 addressed 26:22 30:18 66:16 addresses 37:14 38:8 41:14,21 42:4 adds 46:21 adjacent 79:9,10 Adjournment 3:21 administer 41:13,14 administration 14:17 17:12,13,16 65:5 89:10 123:20 131:4 134:14 139:12,14 140:2 148:17 administrations 148:21 adopt 101:14 128:10 134:5 adopted 23:6 135:11 148:3 adults 56:17 105:20 advance 5:7 43:14 advanced 2:10 21:17 23:5 24:18,21 25:1,4 70:2,18 95:5 advancing 65:18 advantage 33:8 93:16 95:6 152:22 advice 125:8 150:21 advise 127:9 advising 160:14 advisor 2:9,14 6:20 advisors 47:6 48:1 advisory 1:1 2:13,18 40:21 43:20 138:3 155:3 advocacy 2:12 45:13 73:5 advocate 17:7 18:15 **aerospace** 86:17,22 afraid 112:11 **Africa** 16:18 age 114:16 aged 119:6 **ageless** 120:6 agencies 11:14 24:22 25:9 67:6 125:9 agency 8:4 123:22 agenda 4:7 5:5 6:4 140:7

agents 87:2

aggressive 77:21

agnostic 59:8 ago 48:18 52:11 62:11 78:17 91:13 122:11 129:1 agree 104:22 agreements 17:11 agrees 58:20 ahead 5:2 32:22 41:13 141:22 160:2 airing 34:5 **Akron** 44:19 **Alamos** 73:17 **Albert** 1:20 145:16 Albuquerque 74:9 Alignment 2:2 alike 105:4 all's 16:1 Allen 69:10 Alliance 23:1 **Allman** 1:15 136:19 allow 4:10 9:20 48:9 85:7 119:14 133:11 151:3 allows 34:19 35:16 118:12 **amazes** 152:10 **amazing** 53:9 92:13 119:5 141:14 142:6 America 64:3,6 115:7 159:20 American 16:20 40:22 115:16 149:2 152:19 153:10 159:13 Americans 149:2 amount 53:11 74:3,4.5 76:12 83:2 **AMP** 78:17 analogy 37:13 80:4 analysis 33:18 34:2 99:19 **Andra** 2:4 19:8,15 89:14 105:13 117:22 126:8 135:7 151:18 151:20 154:7 anecdotally 111:16 announce 8:2 139:17 139:19 announced 9:14 10:8 12:18 23:15 announcements 24:9 announcing 10:13 annual 13:9 90:20 answer 37:2 61:10 62:2 81:19 107:18 109:21 110:8 150:12,14 answers 27:20 **anxious** 146:9 anybody 45:4

Anymore 80:13 **apologize** 5:7 7:1 21:6 appendices 149:15 applause 6:16 apple 149:2 application 28:9 applications 52:17 153:21 applied 38:12 apply 30:5 37:18 appreciate 25:20 26:11 42:20 63:18 143:16 144:3,5 156:2 appreciated 120:3 appreciative 75:5 apprenticeship 14:5 58:13 112:21 118:11 153:1 apprenticeships 91:4 118:7,8 approach 33:8 71:4 approval 121:4 **approve** 100:11 approved 126:14 127:17 April 1:6 9:14 25:6 119:4 **Archana** 2:13 63:13 117:17 130:10 132:3 141:17 143:21 144:2 148:15 152:8 157:13 Archana's 144:1 archive 117:6 147:13 149:6 archiving 149:17 **ARDEX** 92:7 area 21:19,21 74:9 82:15 87:10 91:6,16 99:21 102:13 103:20 141:6 155:19 159:18 areas 23:22 25:8 84:2 99:22 129:14 145:2 147:4,6 148:9 150:6 Arizona 79:21 Army 92:19,22 **arrest** 31:9 **Arthur** 1:16 61:4 78:8 156:4 articulated 150:17 articulates 25:7 **Asia** 16:18 asked 12:2,15 14:9 90:15 99:4,5 104:17 105:4 119:17 127:9 128:2 129:7 144:15 asking 130:14 142:1 151:13 aspect 28:9

add 17:14 141:16

asserted 65:21 assessment 31:18	back 28:4 37:7 39:13	54:3 58:3 64:9 70:5	4.40-4.4
assessment 31:18		J4.5 50.5 04.5 1 0.5	142:11
	41:11 43:18 49:7	73:6,6 90:11 93:6	brilliant 138:2
asset 78:14,20	62:15 63:7 76:10 88:9	100:22 109:13 115:8	brilliantly 140:7
assets 61:6 66:9,21	95:4,12 98:14,18,19	152:12 157:5 158:12	bring 17:1 20:11 26:14
67:4 71:7 78:11,12	104:17 106:15 117:18	bigger 39:15 69:6 114:8	47:9,10 51:7,21 55:8
80:3	121:18 129:5,9	121:17 157:6	55:9 78:2 90:9 108:16
assigned 147:5	136:11 140:4,16	bill 2:8 10:19 114:6	108:21 115:18 118:12
assimilate 6:9	145:5,7 150:7 154:3	116:20 117:7 120:5,6	151:7,10
assistant 2:15 7:3 21:3	background 16:16	120:8 122:16 136:18	bringing 26:12 52:14
103:2 125:5 133:10	44:14 137:5	137:18,19 142:9,19	56:5,6 73:12 114:21
136:1	Bank 137:17	146:3 154:7	116:20
associate 105:18	banks 45:1	bipartisan 10:19 148:20	broader 79:4,5
associated 27:13	Barrett 1:16 60:21 61:3	bit 5:10 12:6 21:14 37:9	broadly 21:17 22:8
Association 41:2 49:20	78:7,8 81:12 126:22	50:13,14,14 68:2	78:12,21 130:13
86:17 103:21	127:3,4 131:22 156:3	70:20 84:9 88:6 92:15	brokering 67:11
associations 24:13	156:4	95:19 99:1 112:8	brought 53:5 61:16,18
79:17 86:16	barriers 86:7 100:7	113:1 140:17 144:17	91:1 97:4 110:2
assume 26:7	base 45:8,16 126:7	154:13	111:17 148:16
AT&T 75:16	based 26:16 35:7	block 143:10	Brown 4:9 5:2 15:15
Atlanta 128:22	155:20 156:16	board 32:15 40:21	BSI 159:7
Atlas 2:2 25:20	bases 44:20	43:19 44:2 53:21 58:6	budget 13:9
attachment 149:16	basic 112:19	62:7,12 63:3 151:8	build 108:6
attack 31:9	basically 11:13 14:8	152:6 155:14	building 82:3 146:12
attacked 34:11	52:19 62:21 71:11	boards 32:18 62:19	built 49:17 84:8
attackers 38:6	81:16 91:8 93:13	63:4 155:3,3	bureau 81:2
attacks 29:10 32:8 39:6	104:4 123:6,15	bodies 159:9	business 17:3 22:5
76:2	batch 22:2,6	body 41:3 93:13 159:7	26:1,4,15 29:12 31:10
attend 20:4,5	bear 89:2	boil 85:13	48:10,13,18,22 49:20
attendance 153:16	beautiful 7:3	bold 145:6	50:3 72:17 73:18,18
attending 17:19 161:12 attention 20:12 32:19	becoming 17:9	bolster 51:14 Booz 69:10	79:9 80:20 95:11
77:3 121:9	begging 56:11 beginning 13:6 35:21	bore 8:8	125:1 152:11 154:9 businessee 48:10
attract 127:12	56:21 127:6	borrow 38:21	businesses 26:5 30:14
attractive 19:18	begun 35:9,10	borrowing 56:11	35:14 37:5 73:4 74:5
audience 25:17 96:13	behalf 54:1 122:22	boss 117:15	87:3 122:9
audited 159:6	127:4	bottleneck 69:6 82:9	button 116:5
auditing 159:6,8	belief 30:1 35:7	bottlenecks 72:5	buy 37:19
audits 159:9	believe 10:9 30:19 32:3	bought 48:17	,
August 139:17 142:12	40:2 42:8 49:21 61:17	bounce 146:10	C
143:9,11,12,18,19	83:9 90:18 100:10	boundaries 55:1	C 4:1
Authority 73:18,19	101:15 108:10 113:8	brains 52:14 71:9	C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 3:1
Authorization 137:1	115:3 133:15 137:16	brainstorming 123:12	cafeteria 81:15
available 9:12 11:20	145:2,17	brand 7:19	calendar 22:20 23:13
35:13 74:16 85:14	believes 101:16	branding 7:17 8:2	54:6 143:15
112:22	beneficial 124:14	breaches 27:13 28:2,15	call 9:17 47:9 58:14
Avenue 1:11	benefit 32:3 59:22 62:3	28:20 31:20 75:20	84:13 93:6 112:2
aviator 92:20	79:5	break 60:1 82:1	119:21 121:4 126:21
Avonworth 92:6	best 5:1 50:15 74:18	Brewster 2:8 10:5 21:5	127:20 132:3 133:16
aware 22:21 24:4 44:9	81:14 90:17 92:8	21:9,13 25:13,15	145:18
59:11 122:4,10 153:8	106:5 126:18 128:15	26:20 27:2 36:4 78:16	called 48:16 106:6
awareness 36:18 79:7	130:4,13 134:6 138:4	138:22	calls 138:19 147:9
109:16 115:19	144:16 155:22	bridge 158:3	camp 108:17 110:3
awe 6:10	better 4:19 66:8 96:1	bridges 52:21	campaign 102:1
Axon 2:19 27:6 75:9	103:7 146:10	bridging 22:1	camps 108:14
155:2	beyond 60:10 61:6	brief 5:19 7:12	candidates 99:6
	135:4	briefing 142:5 briefly 40:8 90:20 91:15	Canton 44:19 70:3 capabilities 66:4
R			LANADIIII I S NN 4
B b 26:1	bicameral 10:18 big 31:15 43:16 46:4	99:1 101:6 119:22	128:11

capability 66:13 7:5 15:4,4 43:11,12 99:21 57:22 58:20.21 59:11 capita 29:1 43:12,19 60:4 88:16 Cleveland 1:8,10,11 4:6 61:13 64:9 71:13 120:9 122:22 127:3 captive 25:17 4:20 6:8 15:6,8 44:19 78:15 85:22 107:7 108:2,16 113:17 capture 57:11 140:4,4 59:12,19 67:8 79:20 care 158:7 chairman 2:19 27:6 99:21 129:1 138:12 123:6 138:12 career 16:19 17:8 58:14 161:18 **comes** 9:7 64:7,8 83:8 75:9 Cleveland's 4:15 95:7,18 96:10,22 96:10 109:7 121:18 challenge 11:16 91:3 97:12 101:2,5,14 **challenges** 109:6 146:8 **close** 87:17 130:17 124:7 Chamber 51:4 coming 18:6,12 23:4,12 105:11 160:21 Chambers 48:7 closed 161:21 79:7 91:9 121:11,16 **careers** 111:5 chance 25:18 143:9 careful 99:18 **closely** 135:12 136:5 130:7 139:3 147:9 chances 99:10 101:20 carefully 67:21 **closer** 49:12 63:1 commence 4:13 Carl 101:2 102:2 closure 116:22 117:6 **commend** 16:13 Carlton 2:11 Chandra 15:15 120:18 comment 14:8 63:9,20 **carries** 137:11 change 9:22 62:11 **Club** 1:10 161:18 70:22 78:5 80:15 85:4 **CNC** 22:12 56:19 57:8 case 2:11 31:7 54:14 134:14 156:17 98:2 107:5,6,11 109:2 59:1 62:10 64:5 154:2 changed 105:5 57:16 109:8 117:9 125:4,11 co-chair 40:10 109:4 cases 72:20 106:3 changes 45:8 131:21,22 140:14,17 119:13 120:9 123:1 141:19 144:8 146:19 catalogs 83:17 **charge** 156:10 160:14 127:5 134:3 135:7 catalyst 2:21 55:3 60:7 charged 123:5 127:6 150:15 154:22 157:21 64:2 88:15 90:5,13 **charter** 119:10 120:2 136:2 156:5 comments 5:10 70:21 co-chairs 119:22 80:13 87:17 102:14 93:3 97:4 161:2 catch 77:17 check 86:2 121:14,15 126:9 136:18 category 51:6 checked 7:2 co-producers 13:2 127:2 141:20 142:8 Chemical 10:10 143:21 160:1 caught 161:15 **coat** 53:13 caused 7:20 chemistry 44:14 **code** 55:16 Comments/Next 3:19 causing 68:17 Chicago 8:1 129:2 Cody 1:19 122:19 123:1 **commerce** 1:1 3:3.16 celebrate 80:21 160:22 Chief 1:13 2:2,4 133:11 cog 30:15 4:13 5:9 8:4,10 12:12 **cement** 52:20 **children** 105:16 cognizant 26:15 16:11 38:18 43:11 Cements 92:7 China 158:16 coin 159:2 47:19 48:7 49:21 51:4 center 32:2.4 41:1 choice 37:3 92:9 collaborate 130:6 61:15 65:22 85:5 52:18 61:3 63:11 79:8 cholesterol 32:6 collaboration 15:16 103:3 118:5 122:1,7,9 108.7 **choose** 149:22 150:1 66:14 67:8,17 85:8 123:16 127:10 128:4 centers 33:18 61:8 75:2 **Christie** 1:16 61:3 160:6 131:4 132:4 135:20 79:15 105:18 73:12 78:6.8 81:11 collaborations 64:10 161:16 central 127:7 90:15 126:22 127:4 colleague 133:16 **committed** 4:16 12:10 132:22 142:4 144:15 144:11 51:17 138:19 **CEO** 1:15,16,17,18,19 1:20 2:5,7,8,12,21 156:2,3 **colleagues** 6:6,8 81:1 **committee** 1:1 40:12 5:12 88:18 Cincinnati 55:6 132:19 135:13 138:14 43:20 99:4 110:16 certain 74:2,4,20 83:2 **CIO** 75:16 138:21 161:14,16 111:10 114:20 120:10 certainly 18:10 56:12 circumstance 28:21 collected 125:20 121:1 122:2,12 100:19 116:13 146:2 collection 73:5 81:14 123:19 125:22 144:4 cities 124:21 Certification 101:14 citizens 115:16 153:7 93:3 147:17 151:2 certifications 113:16 collective 129:4 153:12.22 committees 2:13.18 cetera 12:17 72:21 city 106:20 college 54:2 58:14,16 123:15 138:3 141:12 118:16 58:16,17 91:4 104:6,6 155:7,21 **clarify** 111:13 chain 1:22 3:8 5:15 clarifying 134:8 colleges 53:19 58:4 **common** 25:8 67:15 30:17 46:1,7 64:16 **clarity** 147:16 59:2 112:16 68:17 98:16 65:10 66:22 68:12 **class** 59:16 Colonel 6:19 7:7 14:19 common-core 8:5 69:14 72:14 74:12,13 classes 60:10 19:4 21:2 85:2 88:1 **communicate** 9:21 14:2 76:6 78:22 79:6 96:6 88:21 104:21 117:20 Claudine 1:14 15:5 88:5 96:3 155:9 103:11 128:3,5,8,14 151:12,22 127:19 139:1 communicated 11:18 128:21 129:6 130:17 clean 19:10,11 32:6,13 Colonel's 102:7 13:21 150:10,12 158:20 37:20 144:12 **color** 10:1 communicating 79:16 communication 35:2 159:19 cleaning 32:8 **combat** 111:12 44:5 **chains** 65:5 66:4,11 clear 28:1 31:6 71:1 come 7:19 8:17 16:3,15 17:7 18:8 24:22 27:21 **communities** 11:3,7,15 68:9 80:10 86:8 119:6 chair 1:13,14 5:22 6:1 **clearly** 34:11,12 79:6 42:16 48:9 50:10 41:18 58:11 95:17

community 6:8 11:8,19 concerns 41:9 continuing 62:5 134:1 137:2.13 138:10.11 15:8,9 24:11 42:6 concerted 62:13 continuous 79:10 141:8,16 142:2,22 45:10 53:19 54:2 58:4 concise 119:7 151:15 contract 49:4 143:22 145:20,21 conclusion 99:19 146:4,5,11,15,21 59:2 74:7,8 90:6 92:1 contributed 18:3 96:9,11 98:14 108:9 140:19 148:18 contributor 13:7 147:2,6 148:19 108:16 109:10 112:16 condenses 53:12 control 27:12 42:11,17 149:15,21 154:10,18 128:17,19 139:7 condition 31:19 93:18 156:6 157:9,14,15 community-based conduct 31:9 140:19 conundrum 27:17 158:2 160:11 161:1 convened 1:10 161:13 95:13 96:21 conducted 140:8 conducting 76:3 convenes 69:8 councils 99:17 130:20 **companies** 2:7 24:11 confirm 10:22 30:10 33:14 39:17 conventional 57:15 152:9 confirmed 137:5 40:2 41:3 44:3,15 conversation 20:17 Counsel 1:15,20 2:5 46:1,17 47:6,9,11,14 conflicts 66:5 27:2 42:21 49:15 53:9 counterfactual 118:9 47:15 52:7 54:3,4,12 confusion 7:20 55:21 100:21 116:11 counterterrorism 39:3 55:5 58:7 59:3,8 71:2 congeals 160:7 136:4,14 137:16 **countries** 16:21 17:4 124:8,17 156:20 72:6 76:11,18,18 congratulate 119:12 conversations 12:16 77:18 86:7 87:5 98:5 congratulations 4:22 24:20 51:13 102:17 157:1 159:16 31:1 155:18 98:5,11 118:8 144:14 103:4 133:5 **country** 45:15 90:18 151:21 156:8 158:12 Congress 10:20 14:14 conversion 57:19 115:7 124:22 157:1 **conjunction** 69:9 73:22 159:14 **convey** 93:5 **couple** 17:14 19:20 company 1:18 20:6 85:5 cool 91:19 92:1 27:21 36:6 45:15 26:9 35:16 37:14 connect 84:16 64:18 70:10 75:12 cooperate 40:5 41:12 46:7 47:17 50:1 connected 37:15 cooperating 42:5 82:14 85:22 90:10 connection 2:22 54:20 50:8,21 52:4,16 53:16 cooperation 151:14 91:1 99:5 course 23:20 83:5 54:8,11,16 56:2,4,20 55:4,13 63:4 64:1,2 cooperative 39:2 57:6,7 58:20,22 71:20 88:15 90:13 93:4 coordinate 57:21 107:12 119:11 71:21 72:8,9,10,19 connections 62:13 Coordinating 123:19 cover 24:1 94:21 75:21 77:7,11,13,13 119:11 coordination 11:12 covering 120:15 83:20 109:6 110:15 connectivity 83:7 85:16 **CPAs** 48:6 153:3,9,13 154:5 connector 58:9 **copies** 91:1 cracked 55:16 159:4,5 consecutive 11:5 core 49:13 77:10 Craft 2:8 compared 57:14 consensus 135:16 **corner** 23:11 Craig 1:17 102:11 comparison 118:13 146:12 **Corporate** 1:20 41:2 110:10,15 111:22 **compelling** 39:20 76:4 consequences 32:12 Corporation 1:16,21 117:22 76:17,22 77:16 78:1 155:11 2:1 61:4 78:9 156:4 crates 50:2 150:20 consider 105:10 116:13 Corporationb 1:17 **create** 6:12 12:13 30:5 competition 8:13 9:3 131:16 153:19 corporations 13:3,15 54:13 80:11 92:14 123:8 124:2 147:21 **competitive** 32:10 33:8 consideration 12:8 28:18 29:19 93:12,16 95:6 121:3 correct 2:8 42:17 63:10 149:22 competitiveness considering 48:20 143:13 created 69:5 73:17 156:10,13,17,21 considers 116:8 correlation 104:3 90:16 91:17,18 competitor 77:12 consistency 159:11 corresponds 139:13 132:13 competiveness 40:2 consortium 64:4 cost 28:19 32:2 159:12 creating 33:6 47:15 consulted 148:12 costly 159:13 **compile** 125:19 126:3 60:16,16 81:9,10,11 complete 29:17 consulting 69:10 costs 27:13 28:15 134:14 completed 53:17 creation 124:22 118:14 **council** 1:3,10 3:12,17 completion 17:22 **contact** 54:3 84:19 4:5,12 10:21 12:8 creativity 115:15 complex 6:13 27:10 118:18 125:21 15:14 16:8 18:22 **credit** 146:2 crime 106:19 **contacts** 126:18 19:16 24:17 27:7 context 120:2 157:6 criminal 154:3 complicated 137:22 40:10,11 44:12 62:3 **component** 48:2 110:6 continuation 5:15 62:15,18 65:14,15 crisis 68:20 compromises 27:14 **continue** 27:8 39:10 85:4 87:11 100:12 Cristobal 117:22 28:3 34:4 42:20 72:16 88:11 101:7 114:19 117:5 critical 17:2 30:11 comradery 6:10 131:16 136:15 148:19 119:8,19 121:3 103:6,12 117:2 concept 42:1 93:2 149:3,5 122:11 123:5 125:21 cross- 147:1 continued 65:9 148:22 concepts 110:5 126:3 127:17 129:18 cross-pollination 150:8 concern 40:15,17,18 continues 77:16 130:6 130:3,8 131:8 132:8 cross-promote 14:4 concerned 26:3 36:16 135:15 136:13 132:12 134:10 135:16 crossover 55:1

CTEs 101:6,12 deserves 6:15 decision 48:11 58:15 director 2:13.17 63:12 culture 46:14 111:14 135:17 154:15 design 9:20 dirty 34:6 111:8 curations 83:16 decision-making 111:3 designated 11:9 disagreement 86:21 deducted 153:3 discrete 74:20 80:18 **curious** 25:22 70:14 designation 10:22 11:1 103:5 112:22 deep 5:16 52:18 53:3 11:5.7 discuss 4:19 6:13 24:5 current 83:16,17 136:4 designed 28:6 37:8 30:20 139:9 140:13 currently 31:20 defend 35:17 38:3 desirable 46:18 discussed 23:20 curricula 56:22 defending 32:8 desire 52:3 119:14 deficiencies 36:11 39:5 desktop 22:12 **curriculum** 56:18,19 discussing 5:13 113:6 define 67:15 despite 94:16 101:11,12,13 157:17 destabilization 38:18 curriculums 91:12 defined 46:6 112:14 discussion 3:5,6,8,10 customer 54:18 defining 22:7 detail 129:18 5:11,15,18 25:21 customers 26:8 85:15 **definitely** 12:8 144:3 details 8:8 31:13 116:6 26:19 29:15 33:5 cuts 114:10 degree 61:5 determine 30:13 38:14 64:16 86:19 87:22 Detroit 106:5 129:3 100:20 102:9 108:10 cyber 27:1 33:11 34:10 delay 92:2 39:16,19 40:22 75:11 delayed 77:8 Detroit's 106:6 109:5 117:20 119:3 76:3 77:20 150:9,11 delegated 141:2,12 **develop** 100:16 113:15 134:8 145:22,22 150:13 deliberate 134:5 **developed** 50:8 55:2 146:5,15 147:8 deliberated 135:11 cybersecurity 3:5 5:11 78:18 151:18 deliberation 5:1 131:9 developing 66:22 discussions 86:1 21:6,10,18 27:9 28:9 28:12 31:3,12 32:1,20 145:21,22 development 3:10 5:17 128:20 159:18 34:19,22 39:14 55:17 deliberations 134:7 16:17 22:4 36:8 49:20 disinterest 20:18 56:5 70:12 81:2 155:9 deliverable 130:15 87:22 90:8 93:1 99:4 **dismiss** 71:3 cycles 60:1 146:20 148:4 110:6,16 114:20 **Displays** 1:20 145:17 deliverables 3:14 119:2 126:7 127:2 disrespect 144:2 D 119:7 120:1 126:10 device 37:15 49:5 75:22 **disseminate** 75:3 147:3 **D** 4:1 101:2 delivered 119:20 133:2 109:5 159:4 157:14 dad 96:16 148.8 devices 34:13 76:1 Distinct 92:6 **Dana** 2:14 6:19 10:6 **Deloitte** 93:10 105:2 **Dewey** 67:5 distinction 161:6 17:15 19:6 21:2 23:20 156:8 **DHS** 29:20 31:1,17 **District** 59:19 92:5 88:21 92:14,17 democratization 21:22 35:11 dive 5:16 110:20 115:19 134:19 democratized 22:12,13 dialogue 5:8 20:22 diverse 72:21 dark 35:22 111:8 democratizing 3:4 21:7 22:18 23:18 24:2 diversity 115:15 25:14 69:11 87:1 **DAS** 136:6,15 138:18 22:19 23:21 25:21 **DMI** 2:7 144:14 139:10 demonstrated 98:15 134:1 150:22 159:17 **DNDII** 129:2 data 35:7 75:19 104:10 **Department** 1:1 2:9 3:3 Die 2:2 **DoC** 8:13 127:8 130:19 difference 98:9 137:14 105:14 118:10,14 3:16 4:12 5:9 7:18 131:15 125:20 126:3 150:3 16:10 21:2 43:11 different 40:21 57:16 **document** 129:8,10 157:10 47:19 49:21 61:15,18 62:12 68:16 77:5 83:4 documenting 130:18 dates 23:15 142:14 85:5,6 100:5,6,18 84:17 108:20 114:11 **DOD** 9:14 10:6 101:9,10 113:8,10 **DAWN** 1:20 142:1 144:1 148:21 **DOE** 10:3,8 123:21 148:21 149:22 day 7:12 12:5,7,14 13:2 118:5 121:22 122:6,9 doer 18:19 13:5,8 15:3 17:20 123:16,21 131:3 differently 150:2 doing 15:12 16:2 56:13 difficult 27:9 28:20 19:19,21 23:20 24:1,8 132:4,20,20 134:11 61:7,14 62:21,22 63:6 46:15 59:8 61:11,13 134:12 135:12,19,19 31:22 38:15 39:10 84:21 93:8,9 94:2,4,4 42:14 44:6 64:6 94:16 136:6 161:16 94:17,17 119:12 82:18 105:3 134:18 135:5 159:13 160:3 departments 89:10 155:10 121:19,19 128:18 depend 9:10 difficulty 71:11 160:16 156:16 dollars 47:19 49:9 days 8:18 31:10 68:16 **dependent** 37:5 72:12 digital 55:7 56:6 domain 38:8 41:13 42:3 105:17 121:2,11,17 depending 97:19 diligence 133:21 **Dayton** 55:11,13 103:19 126:18 direct 44:4 82:8 85:15 42:8 84:2 **DC** 75:4 128:15 143:3 deputize 62:20 85:16 93:19 104:2,2 domains 41:21 104:10 domestic 123:9 dealing 27:18 **deputy** 2:15,17 7:3 103:2 125:5 135:22 dealt 32:16 direction 80:4,16 97:14 door 48:3 described 62:9 107:12 **directive** 121:5,12 doors 92:6 108:2 **Dear** 4:10 107:14 debt 58:17 directives 120:11 **doses** 50:7 decide 40:5 117:3 describing 63:21 directly 40:8 44:10,21 doubt 111:16

45:3 52:5 69:8 133:14

description 119:7

125:16

dovetail 79:4

Dr 4:3 5:14 10:5 14:19 **education** 2:9 4:15 15:5 19:1 20:14 21:4 21:9,12,13 25:13,15 26:19 27:1 33:4 36:2 36:4 43:8 52:12 60:12 60:13,14,14,20,21 61:10 63:8,22 64:11 64:11,13,17,21 65:1 66:19 67:2 69:16,17 69:18 70:22 72:1,22 75:6,9,10 78:10,16 80:12 81:19,21 83:10 158:21 85:1,18,19,20 87:9,16 87:19,20 104:21 109:3 116:18 117:10 eight 152:7 117:12,21 118:1,4,21 120:6 122:16,18,19 122:21 123:1 125:7 126:5,21 131:20 138:22 139:5 143:5,8 143:12,19 145:13,14 145:16 147:14 150:7 150:15 157:20 158:1 160:21 dramatically 106:20,20 drill 152:7 153:14 drive 9:8 44:22 46:22 139:4 149:16 driving 116:21 drove 106:14 drum 62:5 due 8:14.15 42:22 104:3 133:21 158:10 159:10 161:8 duplicate 10:15 duties 141:16 Ε E 4:1,1 **EARE** 123:22 earlier 77:17 141:20 144:15 157:17 early 58:14,14 154:15 easier 15:16 64:17 easily 13:20 83:11 easy 54:2 70:9 150:20 echo 63:19 economic 16:17 77:15 90:7

12:11 89:9 96:4,4 100:5 101:3,5,9 103:10 113:9,14 126:13,15 132:21 135:13,19 educators 96:1,16 efficient 84:21 **effort** 7:17 8:2,3,10 10:15 11:21 13:17 62:13 96:11,21 efforts 10:16 95:13 110:8 154:8 either 17:1 66:16 69:8 85:22 118:10 133:10 elaborate 112:7 elaboration 113:2 electronic 53:13 Elegra 138:7 **element** 110:18 elements 53:3 elevator 59:15 embed 17:10 91:11 embraced 23:6 emphasized 111:2 empirical 105:14 employees 153:11 employer 109:9 **employers** 91:6 106:7 107:16,22 108:1,3 employing 115:16 employment 106:8 emulate 73:12 emulated 75:1 enabled 31:11 enabling 80:18 **enacted** 149:12 encourage 35:10 142:13 145:19 146:14 153:19 156:6 157:8 encouraged 152:16 **endorse** 13:16 endorsers 13:1,4,12 energy 1:19 2:9 7:18 9:21 61:18 85:6 97:6 109:3 112:13 122:19 122:22 123:8,14,21 123:21,22 124:1 126:2 135:21 136:7,9 engage 22:18 23:17 24:2 25:15 89:9 91:5 engaged 96:19 107:17 108:1 109:18 134:12 engaging 20:6 27:2 136:3

Engineered 92:7 **engineering** 2:3,6 52:6 103:19 104:11 **enhance** 101:10,12 120:12 121:7 enhancing 4:14 **enjoyed** 29:19 36:4 enormous 76:12 enrolling 58:19 ensure 60:8 136:7 137:8 **ensuring** 139:21 enter 97:17,18 enterprise 32:20 enterprises 124:14 entertain 117:11 enthusiasm 26:12 enthusiast 16:20 entire 30:16 45:18 68:9 74:12 132:8 147:2 entitled 123:14 entrance 71:22 entrepreneurial 50:4 entry 72:2 99:7 103:14 environment 29:14,22 32:7.13 37:17 **Environmental** 124:1 **equal** 74:16 equally 90:16 equation 116:16 equipment 22:14 52:21 53:14 54:14,15,19 **equities** 132:16 equity 41:3 equivalent 57:10 Erin 12:21 eroding 53:7 **ERP** 70:11 71:19 **especially** 6:16 27:12 28:15,21 30:2 32:18 35:13 39:3,6 46:6 59:12 76:3 77:18 141:8 143:1 146:1 espionage 76:3,13 77:1 77:20 **essentially** 9:7 14:10 14:13 established 15:19 33:19 48:8 establishing 35:11 esteemed 81:1 et 12:17 72:21 118:16 Ethan 2:12 5:12 32:14 43:1 60:20 69:20 85:13 86:5 90:10 99:12 144:15,17 145:3 Ethan's 63:20 85:12

ethic 160:6 Euclid 1:11 evaluate 8:17 evaluation 32:9 evening 43:4 event 12:9,18 13:14,19 20:3 76:5,17 91:21 92:15 93:3 105:3,9 events 13:22 19:21 90:9,10 **everybody** 6:4 88:8 96:22 98:16 everybody's 142:15 everyday 44:10 evidence 105:1 evident 16:12 evolve 33:7 38:6 67:9 evolving 82:14 **exact** 110:8 116:10 **exactly** 8:9 10:5,5 12:15 25:22 41:22 54:8 58:12 81:11 85:11 133:7 142:1 147:3 **example** 22:11,17 28:10 31:6 34:20 42:9 49:18 52:10.16 60:15 68:5,6,14 70:15 77:10 86:17 90:4 106:4 108:9 148:16 150:1 158:11,15 **examples** 19:20 22:9 31:14 47:4 48:14 51:1 51:15 56:6 60:15 66:20 69:15 90:3 excellent 25:12 63:20 107:4 108:11 111:21 114:6 117:8,9,14 122:17 145:10,10 154:6 excellently 150:16 exceptional 141:11 excited 15:22 24:2 55:18,20 75:10 91:20 96:15 107:12 excitement 26:12 **exciting** 44:11 48:19 57:13 59:6 exclusions 28:14 Excuse 19:8 102:18 105:13 execute 38:6 **executive** 2:4 20:8 21:16 33:21 115:11 148:15 149:9 150:18 executives 93:14 **exempt** 153:4 **exercise** 104:1,16 exist 33:17 38:10,12

engineer 105:19

Economics 2:11

economies 40:1 economy 38:18 45:1,4

Ed 134:12

edict 68:20

EDOs 139:7

ecosystem 6:12 45:10

educate 96:8 115:4

educating 109:18

43:14 45:5 148:19 77:15 97:3 98:15 104:5 105:15 114:5 form 112:9 125:16 existed 45:14 113:20 136:9 139:11 130:10 140:8 146:9 formally 148:2 existing 54:18 100:16 149:1 161:1,5 154:4 **former** 15:13 **exists** 44:12 **factor** 29:18 finding 109:7 157:4 forming 33:14 **expand** 130:6 facts 42:18 **findings** 129:9 **formula** 77:10 expansion 83:22 fair 9:3 159:1 fine 93:5 forth 132:9 fairly 156:9 fire 92:17 fortunate 73:15 103:20 expansive 61:6 fall 128:15 136:21 firm 66:2 68:9 69:10 expect 34:13 95:3 fortune 43:4 77:4,4 familiar 18:2 firms 66:2.5 forum 30:21 42:6 76:8 147:16 expectations 8:21 familiarity 86:10 146:9 first 7:14,16 8:14,14 fantastic 102:7 119:9 119:15 15:3 22:21 27:7 28:5 **forums** 33:13,17 39:12 expected 119:8 far 16:14 113:11 120:15 36:6 37:3 43:8,10 40:4 81:11 122:3 experience 83:15 139:4,4 149:14 45:15 47:22 50:18 forward 18:5 98:10 105:20 106:14 133:8 fast 56:14 61:22 161:11 51:3 64:7 65:9 67:10 129:11,19 135:18 Fastlane 55:11 73:10 82:3 92:9 experienced 105:9 138:10 139:8 140:1 147:3 experiences 130:12 **favor** 145:3 105:16 121:5 127:8 found 93:14 103:6 experiencing 39:14 **FCC** 29:20 136:19 142:11 143:9 **expert** 14:9 83:13 **FDA** 158:11 159:11 143:11,12,17 151:22 104:2,8,16 107:19,20 154:7 155:2 **expertise** 83:10,12 84:2 fear 112:10 121:18,21 foundations 90:8 141:6 featuring 92:7 **first-tier** 69:1,2 experts 14:10 127:13 **February** 8:13 88:10,12 fits 107:21 **Founder** 1:19,19 2:5 148:12 five 8:9 50:17,18 62:10 four 11:7 31:10 57:17 133:16 explain 91:15 **FEC** 29:20 100:2 105:22 116:21 120:15,16 explained 67:22 federal 11:18 25:9 66:9 **five-hour-** 53:14 122:11 132:12 143:22 66:20 75:3 78:11 79:1 fix 36:10 39:13 144:1 146:3 147:5 **explore** 88:14 92:3 107:14 79:15 80:8 89:16 flagging 134:2 152:2 export 18:5 120:10 123:17 flash 149:16 four- 11:4 53:14 123:10 136:17 159:15 feedback 9:2 63:6 flexibility 112:14 114:4 four-year 52:12 **exporters** 16:20 17:2 feeding 71:21 floor 19:3 60:19 66:18 fourth 146:4 152:3 exporting 159:21 feel 52:4 88:10 102:5 69:17 102:14 131:21 framework 24:19 **exports** 120:13 121:8 105:15 130:5 140:11 flow 128:8 frankly 44:6 53:22 **expose** 105:15 feeling 44:3 86:9 94:13 Fluid 91:3 61:21 64:5 83:18 feels 102:6 116:6 **exposure** 106:1 107:3 Fluidic 1:19 free 11:6 66:1 118:14 **expound** 33:12 116:19 Fellow 2:8 **flushed** 148:10 Freedman 1:17,17 86:5 **extend** 4:11 43:9 felony 153:13,20 focus 18:4.12 29:4 102:11 110:14,15,15 felt 87:3 93:15 114:2 extension 3:6 5:13 43:3 49:10 119:10 122:7 **Friday** 10:9 Friedland 1:18 112:4,4 **Fiber** 9:18 extensive 33:5 129:14 154:16 extreme 31:5 **Fibers** 9:16 focused 83:18 121:10 friends 4:10 **extremely** 34:7 35:5 field 30:6 54:12 71:12 132:17 133:3 154:11 Friesen 1:19 122:19 154:17 161:4 38:12 105:17.21 123:1 extrusion 48:16 **fifth** 44:21 105:16 focusing 91:8 129:16 front 34:9,9,12 96:8 figure 47:18 52:8 61:19 **exude** 160:17 147:7 134:21 116:15 folks 8:16,19 24:10 frontier 58:10 F figuring 56:1 68:14 43:16 134:11 138:11 Fuijita 122:21 125:7 **fabric** 30:11 fill 57:8 96:9 110:20 139:6,7,15 157:20,20 114:16 follow 14:6 97:17 Fujita 1:19 15:5 109:3,3 fabrics 9:17,20 **fabulous** 80:17 161:19 filling 101:20 **follow-on** 12:20 122:19 face 30:12 60:17 82:7 final 3:19 18:10 113:6 follow-up 40:8 full 8:18 106:13 121:3 127:17 129:17 145:21 115:13 133:9 139:16,17 following 100:9 103:3 face-to- 82:6 142:4 157:18 160:1,3 148:9 146:5,15 face-to-face 80:16 82:5 finalized 85:6 120:21 **food** 54:15,17,18 fully 66:3 148:10 Facebook 75:16 finalizing 10:7 149:21 function 67:11 131:1 finally 5:18 38:7 67:17 foregone 148:18 functionality 85:12 faced 87:2 facets 161:2 155:15 161:17 foreign 93:2,18 115:5 functions 131:6 finance 16:16 155:14 **fund** 9:9 facilitated 68:3 86:18 124:6 **facility** 161:20 financial 35:5 125:9 forensically 38:7 fundamentally 45:20 find 10:2 23:9 25:9 38:7 Foresight 23:1 **funded** 49:21 facing 29:6 fact 29:2 41:4 61:15 38:9 72:16 76:8 98:6 forgive 141:7 funding 9:7 11:18

funds 35:17 65:19 80:22 81:3.6 138:14 139:7 158:4 happen 20:8 41:5 72:14 82:22 93:22 97:15 further 26:19 86:15,18 government's 66:8 79:22 90:17 95:1 98:8 100:20 104:6,10 87:17 92:2 111:9 governments 39:2 happened 20:17 34:4 126:9 130:1 104:13 106:16 113:11 156:19 65:3 93:10 future 10:13 18:4 79:7 113:22 116:6 117:5 Governor's 115:2 happening 29:11 31:20 130:20 131:5 146:17 120:4 124:8 138:10 32:1 34:10,16 35:4 **grab** 145:4 156:6,12,19 157:9 140:12 150:11 152:17 36:1 37:22 61:2 76:2 **gracious** 157:19 **FY17** 9:7 160:2 grade 58:18 105:16 76:13 77:1,19 80:19 goal 7:21 12:11 13:19 graduated 104:19 85:16 133:21 134:1 G 13:21 45:20 89:22,22 grand 13:11 135:2 136:21 **G** 4:1 grandparents 111:7 happens 28:19 38:19 106:9,11 127:22 **gains** 79:5 goals 126:1 **grant** 64:8 38:19 83:2 gangbusters 53:18 goes 83:11 grateful 65:17 happy 18:16 21:11 gap 22:1 46:16 94:19 great 14:22 15:7,12 25:10 93:22 117:10 going 5:6 6:18,21 7:15 55:7 59:14 60:22 120:16,19 127:22 94:21 156:18 8:6,22 9:8,10 10:22 70:22 87:16 88:19 11:10 12:1,2 18:14 hard 13:3 14:2 15:11 gaps 46:4 128:7 gate 136:20 151:4 20:12 29:3,10 37:5 90:3 97:5 118:17 27:7 69:22 70:13 89:7 39:9,13,18,20,21,22 145:13,14 152:8,9 89:20 100:5 142:15 gathered 129:5,12 **gee** 41:6 41:11 47:1 50:22 greater 90:5 108:8 155:8 **GEMCITY** 2:3 103:19 51:14 53:4,17 55:22 greatest 95:9 **hardware** 22:4,11 **GENEDGE** 61:12 68:7 56:7 59:4 60:8 61:10 Green 1:20 69:17,18 **harping** 144:11 general 1:15,15 2:5 64:15,21 69:11 72:3,3 72:22 83:10 117:10 hat 82:1 76:15 140:17 80:8,9 85:11,13 87:21 117:12 145:15,16,16 hate 80:4 102:19 88:1,2,6,7,11,22 89:3 147:15 head 141:2 generations 112:17 89:5 90:3,4 91:17,19 gentlemen 4:3 Green's 150:7 **heads** 61:19 92:3.14 93:15 94:9.9 Gretzky 80:6 health 9:22 93:20 158:7 Georgia 129:1 **German** 58:13 94:11,14,18 95:1,12 ground 52:18,20 53:4 healthcare 28:22 97:15,18 98:7,8,10,13 group 2:4 19:17 22:14 healthy 32:4 39:5 60:8 getting 13:14 20:1 25:2 124:2 129:2,11 72:18 160:13 40:13 48:20 52:3 98:14,22 99:8,9 100:8 57:20 62:8 71:11 100:11,18 101:1,21 151:21 hear 9:21 45:11 46:15 99:20 111:13 138:17 103:13 109:13 110:14 **groups** 90:8 123:16 47:1 52:1 84:11 87:14 154:19 110:21 112:20 113:13 **GROVE** 1:20 89:15,15 128:17 giant 52:20 114:15 115:2,8 116:5 grow 44:15 45:21,22 139:3 give 7:10 13:18 16:9 117:3,13,17,18 59:9 72:17 106:6 heard 7:4 17:2 45:11 19:20 21:5,7 22:9 118:22 119:16,21 130:6 75:11 78:16 92:22 31:6 47:4 57:6 63:22 125:15,17 126:2 growth 2:12 41:2 45:14 98:3 112:9 133:14 79:10 120:10 136:17 64:15 66:20 74:4,22 129:11 140:16 141:9 139:9 145:2 161:6 89:7 105:14 112:18 142:2 144:22 146:21 guess 48:19 62:1 65:8 hearing 69:19 112:19 117:9 120:10 147:9,20,21 148:1,14 67:3,19 81:22 86:4 heart 160:15 120:13 123:2 141:17 148:15 149:7,8,10,19 87:12 115:12,22 held 128:6,14 135:12 149:5,17,20 150:3,21 150:19 157:9,14 guest 5:11 161:13 137:8 151:11 160:3 159:12 161:14 gut 94:13 105:14 help 12:13 14:4,14 22:9 given 86:16 133:7 good 4:22 6:2 12:19 guy 50:4 28:11 30:14 50:11 13:20 17:21 32:13,18 62:4 68:11 70:20 72:3 149:1 156:7 guys 43:17,21 44:8 gives 31:17 41:22 42:16 43:4 80:1 53:15 63:6 91:10 93:16 101:17 85:18 102:6 116:18 giving 5:19 77:2 88:5 111:15 114:4,12 Н 100:21 122:14 142:4 122:13 130:19 146:4 127:9 128:9 130:12 146:5 148:16 154:13 155:12 **H1B** 115:2 136:15 157:11,16 glad 44:11 155:19 half 41:20 44:22 45:1 **helped** 50:13 111:19 144:4 goods 11:15 17:18 58:1 161:8 glamorous 64:8 gotten 148:11 **Helper** 2:11 5:14 52:13 global 2:19 27:6 38:17 **hand** 70:7 93:11 155:2 governance 38:1 41:1 hand-65:13 64:14,17 65:1 67:2 hand-off 130:19 139:21 72:1 75:10 78:10 **GM** 1:13 2:20 government 6:6 8:16 8:20 9:1 17:3,8 31:15 85:19,20 87:9,19 **go** 14:20 15:21 28:15 146:21,22 147:17,18 34:14 44:5 78:14 79:2 148:1 150:13 157:16 102:12 118:1,4 28:16 31:13 32:22 37:7,19 41:13 43:18 80:8 89:16 93:7 94:21 handled 54:22 138:15 hands 8:11 52:15 helpful 85:21 115:19 47:3,21 48:2 49:16 124:7,20,21 131:2,7 131:12,13 136:12 Hannover 17:17 130:5 133:18 142:17 53:11 58:1,15,16,22

infections 28:2 36:21 146:1.13.16 113:20 128:5,14 51:2 101:4 103:11 helping 44:15 47:14 130:19 111:1 122:6 125:4,14 75:19 influence 115:18 116:2 51:17 55:5 60:1 71:19 **HP** 75:16 139:20 144:19 146:6 152:14 71:21 72:6 128:4 hub 4:21 153:7 158:17 129:18 huge 47:6,7 48:1 50:19 importantly 29:7 informal 86:1 helps 11:6 13:16 81:13 52:7 53:11,19,22 impressed 155:5 information 23:9,12 hey 50:10 82:22 150:8 impressive 43:22 30:17 31:4 33:18 34:1 78:13,20 hi 25:19 48:3 105:13 huh 83:12 84:12 improve 72:6 89:10 34:4,21 35:6,13 38:19 144:8 151:20 hundred 91:5 75:4 76:10,19 78:13 99:10,10 100:16 80:3,10 81:8,13 113:7 high 4:14 28:16 32:5 hypertension 32:5 101:20 102:1,2 59:2 79:19 92:5 96:18 156:10,17,20 125:22,22 128:8 97:13 101:4,12,13 improved 66:13 129:4 103:9 106:2 110:2 IANA 131:1,6 improvement 79:11 infrastructure 28:5 111:4,18 143:8 **IBM** 2:1 improving 89:22 30:11 37:6 38:21 ICAN 37:12 40:12 41:10 higher 29:1 30:8 137:19 **in-person** 147:10 ingrained 134:21 incentive 66:3 initial 36:10,10 highlight 10:21 12:3 iCell 35:11 incentives 87:2,3 153:1 17:18 24:16 56:7,13 idea 16:9 41:12 56:16 initiative 65:11 76:7 66:12 131:18 140:22 58:18 72:18 78:9 include 93:17 125:8,13 78:17 128:14 129:6 initiatives 5:21 132:1 149:10 150:5 125:2 148:1 included 121:15 141:15 innocent 155:4 highlighted 88:20 93:4 **Ideally** 118:8 highlighting 71:17 ideas 16:10 87:13 including 21:18 57:18 innovate 44:15 75:16 125:12 155:3 innovation 39:16 40:3 129:8 108:20 128:9 highly 45:19 ideation 22:22 23:9 158:12 40:12 46:5,5,7,9,10 hire 106:13 ideations 49:12 increase 39:21 111:19 46:11,13 56:4,10 hired 106:10 111:17 65:11 66:11 67:1 76:6 identified 37:22 131:10 118:16 hiring 57:15,18 106:9 identify 19:9 65:22 79:2 increased 161:10 77:19 79:11 93:18 **Hiro** 6:8,16 109:2,3 102:19 120:12 130:12 increases 66:13 95:4 126:6 127:1 122:18 157:20 131:14 144:9 155:13 increasing 110:18 128:5.21 HIROYURKI 1:19 **identifying** 121:7 157:2 123:9 innovations 4:18 **historic** 161:19 imagination 106:2 increasingly 39:10 innovative 56:12 61:5 **history** 41:10,15 imagine 45:12 82:20 incredible 31:11 132:8 **input** 44:5 56:21 65:7,9 hit 70:12 86:8 87:10 89:11 90:1 132:11 136:16,20 65:17 120:13 127:12 **hockey** 80:4,5 126:13,16 incredibly 133:6 132:4 hold 52:20 143:15 imagining 158:8 incubator 56:3 **inputs** 128:7 home 43:18 **IMB** 2:1 incubators 47:14 inside 53:1,12,13 71:12 honest 53:8 72:1 **IMCP** 7:11 10:17,19,22 incumbent 95:16 74:9 **honor** 160:19 11:22 94:7 Index 93:12 insight 13:18 78:13 **honored** 141:5 **IMI** 7:20 indication 20:16 79:14 80:3,9 105:12 hope 13:13 16:2 92:4 immediately 81:22 indirectly 45:3 insights 128:16 129:12 115:9 121:2 126:16 84:16 indiscernible 31:7 inspection 158:11 159:17 **immerge** 131:12 41:16 119:11 124:12 159:1,10 hopefully 45:17 121:3 133:4 158:6,13 inspiration 84:20 immigration 114:14,18 hoping 100:10 133:8,22 115:1,3,13,21 126:19 individual 22:14 38:3 inspirational 60:5 61:1 138:3,4 139:19 38:11 116:21 instance 59:10 103:8 impact 27:22 29:13 140:10 152:13 individuals 22:15 37:4 institute 2:8 8:6 9:15 40:1 52:4 109:14 hopper 129:21 39:17 10:3,12 55:14 110:1 **impacts** 11:22 32:9,10 institutes 8:8 9:5,8 10:8 Hörmann 92:5 32:11 45:9 58:3 94:5 **industries** 1:15 4:15 horrendous 152:15 94:7 156:18 159:18 28:18 29:2 30:7 35:4 127:11 130:2,3 institution 95:2 host 24:19 110:2 159:19,20 86:17 124:1 155:20 institutional 77:14 157:19 impeccable 133:20 industry 2:13,18 27:22 hosted 22:22 88:15 28:11,13 30:5,8,10 institutions 51:10 impede 120:13 121:7 129:2 implementation 3:16 54:17 76:14 78:21 insurance 28:10 29:16 hot 114:12 115:1 116:5 79:16 101:22 131:11 integrated 1:21 133:13 132:5 hours 49:6 106:8 implemented 132:2 157:5 158:6,7,8,8 integrating 136:8 infected 35:18 36:15 integration 125:13 138:16 158:17 intellectual 77:6 house 12:17 24:7 31:2 importance 44:13 76:1 **important** 4:20 8:7 infecting 30:16 Intensification 10:11 37:19 52:11 61:16 65:10,22 76:5,6 87:4 30:15 34:7 41:7 45:7 infection 29:1,6 31:19 intent 9:12 10:9 11:10

140:14 34:22 145:13 152:9 69:3.12.20.21.22.22 intentionally 84:3 invited 44:1 jobs 44:21 45:2,21 57:9 70:1,4,7,7,8,11,12,15 interact 15:8 involved 31:6 74:13 59:9,14 60:11 94:19 70:18,20 73:1,2,4 interacting 20:2 111:14 122:11 154:12 96:9 99:7,8 110:20 77:3,19 78:1 79:4,18 interactions 50:9 involvement 115:20 114:5,17,17 153:10 80:15 82:10,11,22 interactive 81:10 130:2 158:14 159:14,16 83:14,20 84:5 85:4,14 interagencies 11:13 **IP** 37:14,18 38:8 42:3 John 87:8,9 86:6,9,11,12 87:1,11 interagency 12:14 93:19 **joining** 141:4 89:16 90:1 94:13,20 IQ 91:3 joke 137:4 24:20 134:10 95:5 97:8,10,14 98:5 interest 21:21 24:13 IRD 40:12 127:5 133:1 July 135:14 99:2,7,11 100:1 26:13 86:3 104:15 146:7 156:5 jump 70:5 73:9 102:16 103:9,13,14 111:19 Ireland 152:17 **June** 23:16 105:4 108:3,19 109:9 interested 21:20 22:3 irony 92:19 **junior** 97:13 109:15,22 110:5,17 86:11 97:2 118:18 irrelevant 71:13 **justice** 154:3 111:6,13 112:17,18 **IRWIN** 2:5 150:9 114:11 115:1,8,17,19 **ISAC** 35:5 Κ 116:14 121:18,22 interesting 9:4 49:1 70:16 84:12 87:13 **ISACs** 33:19 Karp 2:12 5:12 43:1,8 122:10 123:4,5,6,12 **ISAOs** 34:2 106:18 116:16 60:13,14,20,21 61:10 124:3,7,8,9,13,15,18 interject 20:10 **ISO** 159:5 63:22 64:11 70:22 124:22 125:3,8,10,13 Israel 2:19 26:22 27:5 intermediaries 80:1 125:15,20,21 126:1 75:10 81:19,21 87:20 intermediary 57:2 75:7,9 155:1 139:5 158:1 133:4,6,19,22 135:7 intern 108:4 issue 4:20 27:10,16,18 135:14 137:17,21 Karsten 1:20 internal 66:5 28:4 32:21 33:15 **KATHLEEN** 2:1 138:16 139:10,18 international 7:22 34:22 35:3,20 39:15 keep 72:1 117:17 140:6,11 142:11,19 16:16 17:16 39:1 41:9 42:4 44:8 54:21 144:10 155:13 143:3 145:18,20 41:18 42:6.12 123:20 68:19 77:16 78:2 88:7 **keeping** 119:9 146:2,7,8,12 148:7 internationally 31:9 114:9.10 121:17 Kent 1:20 145:16 149:18 152:2,6,9,11 32:11 38:14 135:20 152:12 155:16 **KEPPLER** 1:21 152:19 158:1,2,4,7,10 Internet 28:5 36:8,9,14 158:16 158:11,19,22 159:1,3 kept 53:7 138:4 37:6,8,15 38:20 39:8 issued 41:21 120:14 **kev** 64:20 67:3 80:2 159:3,8,10,14,15,19 41:15.15 issues 6:13 15:10 30:12 106:17 111:3,21 159:21 160:4,4 interns 51:22 52:1,2,3 31:5 32:16 35:20 36:7 147:11,22 **knowing** 34:15 38:4 104:16 38:2 40:6 42:2,13 keynote 88:20 92:16 75:22 78:20 106:16 internship 56:20 56:9 59:12 71:22 kicked 113:18 133:20 134:7,11,16 internship/apprentic... 76:21 88:3 109:7 kid 96:14 136:14 137:12 138:8 100:17 116:5 117:2 124:3 kidding 45:6 143:15 internships 91:4 132:16 140:18 142:20 **kids** 20:3 97:2,6,11,18 knowledge 22:5 115:6 interpret 54:9 143:2 147:22 154:17 97:20 103:12 106:21 **known** 18:18 28:2 interrupt 102:19 154:20 155:9,13,14 kind 7:12 11:10 12:3 knows 71:12 95:22 interview 130:11 155:15 157:3,5 19:7 27:18 35:22 intrigued 112:11 it'll 9:4 40:4 134:5 47:12 50:6 65:2,3,20 **ITA** 123:20 introduce 6:22 21:4 66:1 68:4,6 69:7 86:2 **lab** 61:19 85:14 item 129:7 26:21 49:16 64:13 86:19 87:1,14 94:19 **Labor** 12:11 14:4 89:9 72:4,11 86:7 110:4 items 6:14 96:10 98:9 105:11 100:6,18 101:10 **introduced** 49:2,22 112:11 115:17 118:9 113:10,14 132:20 J 88:17 108:12,18 152:22 158:21 134:12 135:19 **introduction** 49:19 51:3 **JAMES** 1:21 kinds 65:16 66:6 **laboratories** 73:16 74:2 invariably 38:9 Jan 1:15 136:19 112:21 145:8 74:20 inventory 69:12 January 127:16 138:20 KINGSCOTT 2:1 laboratory 71:6 invest 55:8 66:3 knew 72:10 153:13 **Jay** 48:15 **labs** 66:10 67:5,6 83:8 investing 47:18 72:20 **Jeff** 8:12 86:18 87:6 knock 48:2 85:8 investment 49:9 58:6 126:22 127:5 132:22 **know** 8:9,22 11:19 lack 86:10 131:12 93:19 118:6,16 133:19 12:20 13:15 15:2,18 146:10 investments 79:4 Ladies 4:3 **JEFFREY** 2:6 15:18 17:6 23:2 24:6 investors 17:1 77:14 24:14 26:6 30:7 33:17 lady 141:1 jive 54:7 **invests** 153:3 **iob** 15:3,17 58:5 63:20 34:16 35:3,18 36:17 **laid** 18:13 **invitation** 43:10,22 41:22 44:17 46:16 landscape 137:22 104:11,18 107:1 invite 102:10 124:19,20 114:8 119:9 124:22 53:2 64:7 67:14 68:15 language 67:14

large 15:9 20:8 41:3 72:9,10 76:18 77:13 larger 13:3 57:6 69:10 71:21 107:13 139:7 lastly 55:15 56:3 late 106:3 latest 51:21 laundry 34:6 Laura 2:15 6:22 20:17 103:2 125:5 136:1,6 160:8 law 152:18 lawyers 48:7 lead 8:4 50:5 92:21 127:13 **Leader** 14:12 leaders 17:3,3 25:17 102:1 leadership 15:5,15 27:15 31:2 32:14,19 41:8 61:1 62:6,8,12 64:3 141:11 152:1 153:17 160:17 leading 135:3 lean 68:10 learn 24:9 learned 36:5 81:14 127:19 130:18,20 157:13 160:5 learning 21:20 61:14 91:11 leave 147:14 159:21 leaving 44:4 149:13 **led** 127:10 136:18 legacy 135:5 139:21 147:14 149:5 legal 24:19 155:14 legislation 136:21 legislature 73:22 legitimacy 13:14 legs 136:13 lessons 81:14 151:1 let's 18:19 65:1 68:10 68:11 92:2 109:15.18 115:12 126:1 158:22 letter 4:8 65:14 98:21 100:11,19 102:4 111:11 113:7 120:20 120:21 121:11,16 123:13 125:14 126:14 127:16 129:16 130:22 131:14 133:2 134:5 134:13,17 135:1,9 136:10,13 139:21 146:22 147:1,17,18 150:13 154:19 157:16 letters 16:6 120:15,17 120:19 121:9 129:21

132:13 139:8 147:12 148:1,2 161:3,7 level 9:1 20:17,18 26:8 30:5,19 43:13,15 56:6 57:20,20,21 63:1 75:3 79:19 96:19 99:7 103:14 127:12,13 levels 28:16 57:16 leverage 53:21 66:8 74:6,11 81:16 leverages 90:5 leveraging 61:6 66:20 78:10 Li 2:17 141:3 liability 34:19,21 **library** 147:13 149:16 **Lieutenant** 6:19 21:2 88:21 117:20 127:18 139:1 **lift** 154:5 light 39:6 lighten 88:6 liked 97:6 148:11 149:12 limit 150:6 limited 42:9 116:1 limits 28:14 line 34:12 44:4 lines 64:4 79:12 **linked** 44:10 linking 58:3 list 12:9 85:21 126:17 157:11 listen 49:15 listening 89:14 literally 53:15 little 5:10,14 12:6 13:18 21:14 23:11 37:9 50:7 50:13,14,14 60:6 68:2 68:13 70:20 84:9 88:6 92:15 94:15 95:19 99:1 103:22 105:22 112:7 113:1 116:19 140:17 144:17 149:16 154:12 live 160:16 lived 16:18,21 17:4 lives 44:11 49:8 **LLCs** 152:12 local 43:2 44:22 83:3 88:15 95:13,16,17,17 111:18 138:15 157:2 located 128:19

location 129:12

Lockheed 2:7

lofty 89:22,22

locations 128:22

long 12:17 74:3 84:7

110:3 151:11 152:4 **longer** 29:17 **look** 18:5 28:1 40:12 41:10 47:12 95:4 119:16 128:2 156:11 157:6,10,15 looked 48:22 96:13 99:16 129:15 looking 8:5 11:4,6 20:9 58:12,12,13 95:14 118:7 134:15 135:18 **looks** 32:2 45:4 **loophole** 152:21 Los 73:16 lost 92:19 104:19 lot 7:15 9:6 17:10,11 26:4 32:1 36:5 51:18 51:20 52:1 56:8,9,10 56:10 61:20 62:6 67:17 71:18 77:1 86:21 104:14 112:21 122:3,8 123:12 144:6 147:1 150:8,22 151:1 152:12 153:8 lot's 65:3 lots 26:2 91:3 99:14 108:20 love 43:9 96:2 lovely 141:1,3 loves 20:1,2,7 **LTC** 7:8 10:14 19:22 85:3 92:18 104:22 109:20 115:22 luck 4:22 **luckily** 134:19

ma'am 7:2 63:15 102:18 Mac 1:16 61:3 78:8 156:4 macro 157:10 **MAGNET** 5:12 43:2 45:13 60:22 62:10 64:1 67:9 main 125:2 major 13:15 158:8 Majority 14:12 maker 22:1 23:18 26:14 48:11 108:11 MakerSpace 22:16 making 4:17 18:7 20:8 23:14,16 24:8 29:20 38:15 46:17 60:17 64:9,12 69:4 117:16 126:17 129:10 130:18 131:17 135:17,17 156:20

lucky 135:22

malware 77:20,20 managed 37:11 management 32:20 41:20 42:3 72:11 Manager 1:15 managing 42:15 154:14 manner 108:19 manpower 11:2 manufacture 59:16 manufacturer 22:2 23:18 48:3 49:3,4,5 55:21 70:18 82:22 84:15 95:22 manufacturers 16:20 43:14 46:8 51:18 52:15 55:9,10 59:14 79:20,21,21 84:10,10 84:11 91:16 95:16 96:20 99:20 110:22 128:9,17 manufacturing 1:3,10 1:14,21 2:3,10,12,14 2:16 3:4,12 4:5,12,20 6:21 7:4,12 8:1 9:16 9:19 12:5,7,14 13:2,5 13:8 14:5 15:10.14 16:11 19:19,21 21:7 21:17,18,22 22:2,6,12 22:13,19 23:1,5,20,21 24:1,8,11,18,22 25:1 25:4,21 26:13 28:1,22 29:7 35:10,11 43:3,15 44:20,22 45:3,6,8,13 54:15 55:7 56:7,18 60:2,8 70:2,3 76:15 77:4 78:19 79:8 82:18 87:11 88:9,14 89:11 90:1,6 91:20 92:3,20 93:12 95:5 97:2 98:11 101:18,22 103:3,21 104:7 105:3,6,11,17 105:19,21 106:16 107:15 108:13,18 110:4,19 111:8 112:12,13 114:5,9,17 128:16 131:8,11,19 134:10,18 135:5 137:2 148:19 149:1 151:7 156:9,21 159:5 159:14,15,20 160:11 160:15 161:1,9 **Marine** 1:16 Marinette 1:16 market 18:1 46:3,4,10 50:6 52:6 120:14 121:13 161:11 marketing 50:15 55:5

122:7

marketplace 51:22 MARSHA 2:5 **marshal** 101:17 Martin 2:7 Martinez 1:14 2:19 6:1 6:2 14:20 19:8,13 25:12 26:17,21,22 27:4,5,5 33:2,3,4,16 36:2,3 37:2 40:20 42:19 43:12 63:13,17 73:9 75:7,8,9 78:4,6 102:5,18,22 103:17 107:4,7 109:1 110:9 110:12 111:21 114:6 117:8,13 118:3,19 120:5 140:4,5 142:18 143:6,13 144:7 145:10,14 146:18 147:19 151:17 154:6 154:21 155:1,1 156:1 156:2 157:12 160:1 Massachusetts 9:15 match 106:8 119:18 matchmaking 82:20 materials 9:19 96:7 math 9:6 14:1 97:10 116:14 matter 85:9 maturation 130:1 **maximum** 74:13 mayor 106:5,19 McKinsey 44:14 69:11 82:1 **MCT** 1:15 mean 13:10 62:15 67:10 70:10,17,18 73:4 77:9 79:20 84:7 87:10 92:19 111:7 145:9 meaningful 151:15 means 4:14 26:1 34:13 97:16 measure 11:22 13:20 94:5.7 mechanism 149:18 mechanisms 120:12 media 39:8 76:1 medical 48:21 49:5 54:11 109:5 158:7,16 159:4 medium 30:14 35:14 meet 15:21 138:12 160:18 meeting 1:3,10 4:5,6,13 18:11,11 20:20,21 35:19 45:21 87:4 101:7 117:6 119:13 123:5 133:9 138:17

139:16,17 140:20 141:3 142:12,21,21 144:19 147:10 160:22 161:21 meetings 15:6 138:6 140:12 Megan 2:8 10:17 21:5,5 21:6,8,12 65:12 76:22 member 15:14 40:21 43:20 75:14 members 44:2 64:4 128:12 130:3,9,9 134:9 137:13 139:8 142:1 152:6,12 157:15 160:8 161:13 Memorandum 85:7 men 114:4 mentality 98:10 mention 78:16 90:19 144:15 145:12 mentioned 8:12 16:5 17:15 33:10 36:7 52:13 54:11 56:4 65:13 75:12 81:12 90:10 91:13 92:16 110:20 112:5 122:12 130:17 133:1,19 135:8 138:1 145:17 154:20 156:15 158:2 mentioning 31:17 141:7 **MEP** 3:6 5:13 44:18 51:12 54:1 55:2,12 57:3 61:2,7,12 62:7 63:4,11,21 66:10 67:7 67:18,21 69:7,8,9 79:15 80:17 81:18 85:8 86:8 88:10,11,11 88:15 90:22 145:1,6,7 158:1,20 **MEP's** 43:14 MEPs 45:15 46:21 47:17 52:8 54:20 60:7 62:4,19 90:12,15,17 144:16,19 145:9 message 13:16 62:15 92:8 Messe 17:17 met 48:15 50:4 51:5 52:12 135:14 140:22 metadata 34:7 Metal 2:5 methods 76:20 metropolitan 59:19 74:9 **Mexico** 73:15,18,22 MForesight 23:2,8

65:12

89:2 91:2,14,18 92:13 97:9,11,21 101:10 103:8 109:12,17 111:4 MIII 7:20 Miller 87:6,8,9 million 57:10 94:19 99:7 101:20 110:20 114:8 millions 49:9 152:20,20 mills 22:13 mind 27:22 106:1 107:8 140:16 mindful 17:9 minds 109:19 **mindset** 161:9 mine 26:9 **minimize** 29:13 minority 49:19 50:1 minute 88:22 89:5 90:4 91:13 158:5 minutes 48:17 89:4 140:9 151:18 miscellaneous 137:18 mispronounced 7:1 **missing** 157:7 **mission** 71:15 124:19 missions 123:14 124:4 124:5,6,10,13,16 126:13 mistake 154:1 Mitchell 2:21 63:10.11 63:16,19 64:12 88:17 107:5,6,10 109:1 mitigate 131:18 mitigating 29:18 mitigation 28:12 131:15 MNAs 41:5 model 26:4 33:20 56:15 58:13 74:22 131:6 models 26:15 66:12 71:18 modest 92:22 Modular 10:10 moisture 53:12 mom 96:16 moment 10:2 138:13 monetary 93:18 money 9:11 32:2 68:2 74:3,5 76:13 77:14 monitor 9:22 month 17:18 159:9 **months** 18:18 29:4 40:14 50:10 52:11

53:15 125:19 128:19

Michigan 115:2

microphone 107:8

middle 59:16 60:10

129:13 131:9 152:7 morning 6:2 20:19 120:22 morning's 5:5 motivation 161:9 Mottl 2:2 25:19,19 **MOUs** 51:10,11,16 move 5:7 30:13 42:21 122:18 126:6 129:19 139:8 movement 108:11 137:6 movements 26:14 moves 140:1 movie 89:3,3 moving 5:5 10:17 81:17 133:8 multi-stakeholder 131:6 multiple 161:2 multiplier 77:15 myriad 99:11

Ν N 4:1 NAM 56:15 name 7:3 42:8 144:13 155:4 **named** 34:1 names 38:8 41:13 42:3 Nancy 86:5 **NASA** 52:10,12,14 53:5 53:8,9 55:14 57:1 61:15 67:5,9,18 68:3 70:6,6,15 71:15 **NASA/MEP** 67:17 **nation** 47:11 115:13 nation's 101:18 national 2:14 6:20 14:5 23:14,15 24:8,16 43:20 45:18 56:14 66:10 67:5,6 73:16 83:8 85:8,14 101:14 109:20 114:10 127:10 nationwide 13:17 44:17 natural 4:17 nature 37:8 51:12 148:20 nearing 17:22 necessarily 27:19 28:7 29:9,17 32:16 35:8,17 37:7 need 5:7 26:8 30:12

39:18 47:5,8,22 49:10

50:5 55:1 57:21 67:12

112:19 115:14 119:18

72:16 81:6 105:15

156:22 160:22

needed 50:6.7 53:17 122:1 124:6 144:20 129:7 131:21 140:16 parents 90:7 104:12 54:18 79:18 113:21 148:3,5 146:15 151:18 111:1,6,14,17 Parkman 48:16 115:4 open-call 127:20 0 Opening 3:2 parlors 45:1 **needs** 30:18 48:13 54:8 54:22 79:22 111:1 O 4:1 opens 106:1 part 9:3 30:10 47:7,7 117:5 147:1 150:7 O'Meara 2:3 102:11 operating 151:6 50:15 57:13 62:1 66:8 neighborhood 33:11 103:18,18 operations 29:12 46:10 73:1,6 101:21 107:13 net 73:13 Oak 2:8 50:14 111:3,10 130:14 Netherland 158:15 operator 57:9 135:4 140:6 141:11 objectives 102:2 126:1 network 2:12 7:16 8:5 obstacles 31:5 operators 57:16 146:20 150:13 8:11 37:10 43:2 45:14 **obviously** 37:16 46:8 **opinion** 37:9 94:6 partially 114:13 47:1,8,13 49:18 51:13 82:19 127:19 130:16 opportunities 11:18 participants 105:8 51:14 73:4 130:4,5 18:5 23:17 34:3 79:3 participate 59:3 124:16 137:17 138:18,21 139:6 148:3 79:9,10 107:16,22 128:13 145:1 **networking** 48:2 61:6 occurs 54:5 124:9 125:1 157:2 participated 124:10 128:18 networks 33:6 34:9 **OCP** 138:22 opportunity 15:8,10 38:20 51:3 60:16 **October** 135:3 16:22 20:21 22:22 participating 91:7 never 107:1 **OEEI** 124:2 23:13,19 24:9 43:1 participation 63:15 nevertheless 11:16 **OEMs** 46:2 particular 21:19 59:4 62:20 88:5 97:22 108:22 122:15 154:2 **new** 34:19 39:19 53:1 of-mouth 61:21 66:9 78:13 124:3 60:11 61:5 73:14,18 offer 75:12 87:4 91:10 155:18 128:3 144:18 150:6 73:22 87:10 88:14 optimistic 149:1 157:4 118:14 125:3 92:3 107:14 124:11 offers 107:15 122:1 **optimum** 37:17 particularly 16:18 128:10,21 152:6 office 1:1 2:10,13,14,17 options 108:5,7 **parties** 148:21 155:9 156:7 160:8 7:11 8:22 21:14,16 order 33:21 115:11 **partly** 67:11 newest 9:14 155:6 75:5 124:1 132:19 organization 34:1 41:6 partner 17:6 47:8 51:6 **news** 85:19 133:5 134:20.22 42:12 52:5 73:20 70:6.10 83:3 108:15 136:5,7 137:12 141:2 nice 114:4 152:5 organization's 46:20 partners 108:8 night 10:3 12:6 41:8 Officer 2:2.4 organizations 24:12 partnership 3:6 5:13 103:4 160:10 official 6:3 34:2 37:12 41:8 73:6 7:18 23:5 25:5 43:3 nine 131:9 officially 4:6 116:4 47:2 ninth 58:18 offline 10:20 118:18 organized 35:5,6 partnerships 2:1 17:10 **NIST** 23:3 55:15 75:4 oftentimes 100:6 original 36:7 33:20 89:18,20 113:19 132:18 133:16 oh 21:6 53:10 63:16 Originally 123:4 parts 46:12 61:11 113:6 NMSBA 74:17 65:10 82:22 84:16 ostensibly 62:21 pass 5:22 12:20 14:16 **NNMI** 7:11,14,19,20 85:2 132:9 **OSTP** 22:3 24:6,19 126:3 147:11 64:7 94:6 109:22 **Ohio** 1:8,11 4:21 44:18 61:17 passion 102:6 160:7 passionate 89:12 114:2 110:5 127:8 129:22 45:2 51:11,12 55:12 **output** 119:19 130:9,12 133:14 69:21 73:3 79:20 outreach 2:13,18 83:6 patent 129:16,16 nonprofit 24:12 73:19 Oil 13:5 outside 75:20 146:15 132:18 133:3,5 norm 29:2 okay 20:16 21:1 26:17 overall 68:11 117:5 patents 52:22 normally 81:21 63:8 65:1 85:18 87:16 overseas 16:18 path 49:13 104:13 northeast 44:18 45:2 87:19,21 92:4 118:21 overseen 28:16 pathway 95:7,10,18 51:11 69:21 73:3 118:22 126:5,21 oversight 131:2,5,7,13 96:10.22 note 18:7 overview 100:22 102:8 pathways 97:12 131:20 noted 16:15 olds 106:1 **owned** 50:1 pay 26:11 notes 70:11 on- 152:5 owner 37:21 48:10 50:3 payment 28:14 Notice 10:9 on-boarding 150:21 **PCAST** 23:6 Ρ **nozzle** 54:16 151:2 **peanuts** 13:10 **NSF** 23:3 **Once 144:10 P** 4:1 Pennsylvania 63:12 **NSTC** 24:17,19 25:10 89:1 91:6 **one-to-one** 80:18 **p.m** 161:22 nuclear 30:7 ones 136:19 package 53:2 people 13:22 15:20 number 5:8 9:5 13:6 20:2,7 29:4 37:4,17 online 82:21 paid 154:1 14:2 36:12 41:22 43:9 onsite 1:18 58:20,21 41:12 45:16 57:8,12 **paid-** 56:19 44:1,2 47:3,5 55:19 pallets 50:2 57:15 67:12 78:2 112:5 71:6 89:15 93:14,21 open 4:6,13 12:7 19:3 **panel** 140:14 81:13,15 87:7 88:13 96:17 100:1,15 60:19 66:15,18 **paper** 85:9 90:18 95:10,10,14 103:22 107:15 121:14 102:14 108:1,20 **papers** 78:14 96:2,7 105:18 106:13

Pittsburgh 90:6 95:12 160:14 previous 19:21 37:20 106:14 109:7 114:15 115:14 116:16 118:12 96:12 98:15 99:22 political 17:9 114:12 99:16 121:9 154:11 118:17 121:21 122:3 108:9 139:3 politicals 20:5 previously 11:8 politicians 44:7 price 154:1 144:1 151:3 152:16 **pizza** 45:1 152:21 153:8,12 place 4:19 12:12 17:11 pollination 147:2 primarily 101:5 154:16 155:7,12 158:7,11 40:14 45:5,19 51:10 poor 46:8 prime 59:20 160:9 57:5 111:9 112:16 popular 115:12 printer 22:11 people's 92:9 113:20 **position** 32:10 104:7 **priorities** 18:13 25:8 148:17 156:22 perceived 94:18 placed 57:7 78:16,18 79:1,15 places 40:22 46:18 positioning 156:13 percent 41:4 45:12 127:7 50:17,17 105:8 55:11 82:14 144:20 positive 31:16 81:3,17 prioritize 68:21 106:14 143:8 154:3 placing 52:2 120:18 **priority** 62:14 79:19 perception 102:1 105:2 plan 50:21 123:7 possibilities 113:18 127:12 110:19 111:7,11 **planes** 138:12 possibility 86:14 Pritzker 89:8 100:10,14 perceptions 105:5 planning 15:6 59:6 **possible** 4:14 9:13 113:14 120:11 123:6 **perfect** 153:16 161:10 135:2 14:16 86:2 142:14 152:4 Pritzker's 6:20 115:20 Performance 92:5 **plans** 81:8 possibly 143:4 period 50:12 62:11 plant 82:17 potato 114:12 134:20 141:13 **Perkins** 101:2 **plants** 68:15 potential 31:20 80:20 privacy 34:21 38:2 104:11 persistent 28:4 77:22 platform 29:15 35:1 114:18 128:7 131:15 person 37:21,21 38:9 77:22 155:8,20 potentially 62:3 86:14 **private** 30:1 34:8 38:20 48:16 50:19 82:8 **platforms** 22:4,5,6 101:13 112:8 113:4,5 41:3,5,17 66:12 71:17 84:19 play 60:2 127:21 144:19 poverty 59:13 60:2 75:17 77:13 90:8 personal 12:11 109:6 161:5 power 47:10,10 51:7 124:20 127:13 137:7 played 92:11 67:20 91:3 135:15,16 137:11.13 139:6 **personally** 37:4 59:7 83:15 116:3 153:14 player 80:5 powerful 31:3 34:15 158:3 perspective 7:10 24:6,7 playing 30:5 60:15 62:16 63:5 privilege 160:19 27:12 77:21 94:20 **plays** 57:4 PR 82:17 privileged 6:7 109:21 please 4:10 12:19 18:8 practicable 78:3 **pro** 14:13 **peruse** 83:11 19:13 24:14 48:4 72:1 practical 35:15 **probably** 9:2 18:2,3 pervasive 77:21 72:4 102:15 107:7 practice 106:5 44:16 51:9 83:20 Petra 2:21 63:9 88:16 110:9 142:9 144:9 practices 61:5 81:14 89:15 103:16 147:11 139:2 145:3 145:14 154:22 126:18 128:15 130:4 155:6 Petra's 64:2 pleased 127:15 130:13 134:7 144:17 problem 30:17 39:11 PhD 44:14 pleasure 7:8 15:2 152:1 predecessor 15:14.19 53:6 54:5 66:2 68:1 phenomenal 107:2 plug 144:22 preference 20:1 70:2 71:10,13 78:3 153:18 plugging 145:9 **prep** 67:18 82:2 95:17 problematic 38:13 Phil 133:15 **plus** 88:13 prepared 29:11 99:6 **Phillips** 158:12 point 8:15 11:6 12:22 present 1:12 15:11 88:2 42:14 presentation 102:3 problems 28:6 29:5 **philosophy** 39:16,21 13:1 14:11 17:20 18:6 **phone** 84:13,13 115:11 27:11 31:7 35:20,20 103.5 36:22 40:3 42:15 65:6 133:16 138:16 145:18 66:1,5,16 67:15 71:3 36:14,17,20 37:1 presentations 36:5,19 **Pi-Tech** 75:14 40:19 42:1 71:17 72:1 presented 67:20 71:19 76:11 95:20 72:2 73:10 85:12 procedures 69:13 pick 84:13 115:10 preserving 4:17 picking 28:19 102:11 103:6,12 president 1:14,15,17,18 151:3,6 process 9:19 10:10 picture 90:11 93:6 111:13,22 112:8 1:19,21 2:1,3,5,6,7,8 125:14 116:10,18 149:8,18 2:12,21 5:12 14:11 11:1 20:11 35:9 38:11 pie 149:2 149:19 150:4,7 154:7 19:16 21:16 23:7 42:13 46:12 54:14 piece 9:3 53:13 54:14 155:4 157:13 158:16 33:21 43:2 88:18 111:3 127:10,14,21 71:15 85:9 95:5 96:9 points 22:20 26:19 103:19 116:11 135:4 131:1 159:1 98:14 125:14 67:20 73:11 81:10 139:22 processes 42:17 76:20 pieces 46:12 59:1 82:2 93:5 103:14 112:7 President's 17:17 120:12 121:7 151:3 84:4 policies 28:13 93:7,15 presidents 53:20 **procure** 75:22 piles 52:19 53:2 93:21 100:4 procurement 86:22 pretty 54:2 70:13 73:8 produce 9:20 92:13 pioneer 68:8 **policy** 2:9,10,14,14 92:1,12 97:12,14 **pipeline** 101:19 107:2 6:20,21 16:17 21:15 114:2 120:21 144:19 96:2,5 98:13 115:14 153:10 40:11 63:1 94:3 115:8 preventing 119:10 produced 161:3 preview 43:5 pitch 74:17 120:9 136:17 137:22 produces 78:14

public 38:20 77:13 producing 161:6 ran 110:3 received 113:8 125:4 **product** 46:7 53:17 137:22 138:5,5 **random** 76:14 reception 88:9,13 91:14 range 84:15 154:14 68:11 public/private 33:20 160:9 production 68:16,18,20 rechartered 25:2 79:3 89:18,20 rate 29:1 57:20 79:11 123:9 **publicly** 136:22 rates 29:6 31:19 recognition 141:17 productivity 161:11 published 78:17 raw 96:7 recognize 63:14 **products** 17:18 46:3,12 Ray 82:16 98:18 107:11 recognizing 27:10 puck 80:7,9 49:11 pulling 59:1 107:14 110:9 112:2,5 recommend 20:4 professional 142:6 purchase 22:15 117:21 126:8 144:8 recommendation 12:19 Professor 2:11 144:14 145:13 25:4 100:15 101:8 purchasing 87:2 purpose 97:1 98:17 profound 98:4 **Ray's** 95:14 102:5 113:12,13 116:7 **RAYMOND** 2:7 program 12:4 49:22 150:5 117:4 127:16 129:21 52:12 57:14,18 59:20 **purview** 141:14 reach 13:3 103:12 148:2 154:19 161:4,7 66:10 67:7 73:19 push 100:4 101:1 reached 36:22 recommendations 3:17 74:13,14,17 88:19 reaching 151:13 16:4 23:4 29:21 30:2 **pushed** 11:19 93:1 106:6 107:11,14 30:4 89:8 99:18 100:9 **pushing** 72:18,19 react 30:2 107:19 108:4 110:1 put 16:8 17:11 27:15,19 read 4:8 9:18 142:4 101:17 116:3 117:1 122:6 132:6 133:7,12 112:16 115:6 118:11 29:2 44:8 52:19 53:1 149:7 readable 150:21 133:18 142:2 148:9 124:8 57:5 82:1,19 88:18 readiness 101:14 149:11 154:13 157:9 **programs** 4:15 58:19 94:19 112:16 129:15 74:18 75:11 94:8,13 132:9 134:17 142:4 113:16 reconnaissance 31:8 99:12,14 100:17 143:14 144:22 ready 14:15 18:8 40:13 76:2 109:16 112:21 145:8 putting 29:4 51:9 117:7 49:10 138:17 reconvene 11:9 153:1 130:3 131:13 147:3 real 7:9,16 13:19 34:16 record 19:9,11,13 **progress** 122:13 37:21 44:20 47:4 52:4 102:20 138:6 139:19 Q progressing 126:20 55:22 64:12 66:22 143:1 144:12 161:22 project 54:19 **QED** 1:19 68:8 75:10 76:19 records 42:17 project-based 91:11 quality 1:22 16:22 81:15 105:1 129:5 recruiting 95:15 projection 156:12 reducing 72:5 42:15,16 109:12 132:5 **projects** 52:6 54:13 129:17 153:17 161:10 reality 34:8 38:17 39:7 reestablish 59:17 promise 89:4 quantify 94:12,15 60:18 75:18 94:22 **referred** 139:11 promote 66:11 123:7 queried 84:3 95:8 137:4 referring 146:22 124:22 question 19:6,17 36:13 realize 16:2 39:18 68:22 reflections 160:3 promoted 91:21 141:1 37:3 40:8 69:18 73:11 really 6:13 9:10 13:2,21 reform 129:16 133:6 Promotion 123:19 75:7 78:10 80:15 82:5 14:1 15:19,22,22 reformulating 131:14 promotions 136:4,8 85:2,3 86:4,13 90:15 18:12 20:1 22:12 32:3 regard 33:6,6 126:10 propagate 81:4 94:1 107:18 109:8,14 36:4,17 37:3 39:14 132:1 135:21 151:1 region 44:18 47:10 51:7 property 77:6 113:10 150:14 42:20 43:22 57:1,13 proposal 123:7 questionable 99:11 59:6 62:15 65:17 66:3 56:12 proposals 8:14,15,17 questions 12:1 19:4 73:3 80:18 85:18,21 regional 86:15 103:21 8:18 9:11 20:15 21:10 25:14 89:17 92:1 93:4,4 122:3 **proposed** 123:13,18 33:1,2 36:6 40:16 96:12,13 97:3,6 98:4 regionally 157:4 protect 53:3 155:4 60:20 69:16 80:13 100:4 102:6 105:15 registration 38:10 42:9 protection 93:19 106:2 108:6 110:7 87:17 93:8 99:5 42:13 **Proton** 1:18 112:5 102:10,13,15 105:7 114:3,3 115:7,12 regulate 9:22 118:17 121:6,8,17 regulation 29:21 93:20 prototype 26:7 129:6 134:8 141:22 prototypes 26:2 142:8 134:20,22 137:5,5,8 regulations 30:3 proud 107:13 110:7 quick 7:10 14:8 47:4 137:11,15 138:8 reintroduce 88:1 141:5 64:15 69:18 139:4,6,20 140:13,21 reinventing 75:1 **provide** 8:5,11 11:14 141:10,11 142:17,17 related 36:7 40:15 45:2 quickly 24:15 82:6 21:14 26:10 55:22 142:20 143:16 144:3 71:16 73:10 113:22 140:12 146:19 76:9,19 87:5 108:21 quite 76:14,22 86:10 144:5 146:2 147:12 121:5,12 143:21 provided 31:16 119:6 150:4 152:1,5,13 relates 27:9 38:1 39:3 R 155:19 160:7,12 39:16 40:9 41:14 provides 65:14 reason 41:7 59:18 42:12 155:14 providing 32:18 58:7,8 R 4:1 relationships 15:20 58:8 127:11 128:6 raise 116:12 67:16 113:5 129:8 reauthorization 101:2 48:8 80:19 123:8 raised 86:5 **PTO** 133:5,13 **recap** 5:10 relative 157:1 rally 101:22

Rosetta 79:17 81:12 **release** 34:18.20 78:9 74:19 released 10:4 25:7 responsibility 153:18 round 6:16 8:14 **scope** 119:10 roundtable 20:9 128:5 68:15 responsible 125:9 **Scrap** 2:5 relevant 79:8 84:1 128:20 rest 120:22 146:11 **screen** 153:10 132:15 result 6:5 65:21 rubric 147:21 scripted 140:7 141:20 results 94:12,15 103:7 remaining 125:18 129:7 run 4:7 51:14 search 98:7 remarks 3:2 43:5 resumes 153:21 running 23:8 62:19 searching 98:6 retention 46:14 50:16 **remember** 113:12 90:19 138:20 **Seating** 1:17 110:15 remind 25:16 139:15 111:19 115:5 rural 48:16 74:7,8 **Seattle** 95:15 reminder 20:19 return 118:6,13,15 Rush 2:4,4 19:6,12,15 **SEC** 29:20 renewable 123:14 returning 153:7,12 19:15,17 20:13 second 10:12 20:20 23:12 37:3 47:7 49:18 126:2 revenue 45:22 105:13,14 114:22 **Renewal** 123:22 reverberating 45:9 126:8,11 151:20,20 51:6 52:10 62:1 64:22 report 12:3 18:4 25:7,9 review 127:7,18 151:21 65:11 68:6 71:17 86:14 118:2 121:12 90:21 93:13 119:22 reviewing 4:14 129:18 120:16 122:19 156:11 revision 125:15 S 128:2 143:21 157:14 Revolutionary 9:16 **S** 4:1 **second-tier** 69:2,4,5 sad 154:2 reported 57:9 **RFI** 10:1.7 secondly 46:13 120:13 reporting 34:17 rhetorical 99:5 **safety** 93:19 130:16 reports 119:1 120:14 **rid** 151:9 seconds 81:19 117:10 **Sahgal** 2:13 132:4,7 121:13,15 **rider** 66:1 141:1 142:5 Ridge 2:8 secret 138:4,5 160:10 represent 45:17 **sake** 60:9 representing 127:1 right 6:18 11:4 12:9 **sales** 55:4 57:10 Secretaries 12:12 23:10 26:18 27:17 **Sandia** 73:16 secretary 2:6,15,15 128:16 6:15,19 7:3 12:10 represents 41:2 35:3,21 37:18 38:10 sat 113:6 reputation 32:11 56:5.15 75:21 76:9.10 sauce 160:10 16:9 18:14 19:19 21:3 request 8:18 77:16 86:10 93:9.9 **save** 76:12 152:20 89:8 100:3.9.13 103:2 requirement 95:20,21 94:2,4,5,17,17 97:20 **saved** 49:8 113:13 115:20 119:4 133:3 99:5,6,20 104:18 119:15,17 120:11 saw 77:12 96:14,15 requirements 29:22 106:15 107:9 112:9 saving 10:6 43:18 121:6 123:6 125:5 54:7 95:22 96:3 113:20 114:12.19 49:13 92:21 146:20 127:6 128:1 129:7,19 113:21 117:15 121:19.19 **says** 45:4 53:10 137:10 132:6,15 133:10 127:20 141:17 143:15 **requires** 30:6 54:15 scale 72:8 84:22 134:20 136:1,10 148:6,13 149:2 151:4 57:2 scaling 128:21 137:9 138:19 139:22 requiring 32:19 155:15 157:12 **schedule** 5:6 68:16 141:13 152:4 rerelease 68:18 rigidity 69:14 142:15 148:7 **Secretary's** 7:11 8:22 rerun 68:18 risk 28:8,11 29:18 schedules 142:16 134:21 135:5 research 2:1 31:8 44:16 32:20 79:5 **scheduling** 68:18 143:2 secrets 48:4 46:5 50:6 52:6 53:16 risks 131:10,15,19 **scheme** 13:11 sector 30:1 34:8 41:5 55:13 126:6 127:1 road 67:19 school 4:14 59:19 83:3 41:17 66:12 71:18 156:7 Rob 112:3.4 91:3 92:4,6 96:17,19 75:17 78:19 79:3 **ROBERT** 1:18 112:13 123:17 126:2 Researcher 2:20 97:9,14,21 101:10,12 resiliency 29:9 **Roberts** 48:15 51:4 101:13 104:18 106:2 127:13 131:11,19 resolve 27:20 29:5 31:5 Robin 135:13.13 106:15 109:13,17 137:7,12,13 139:6 34:22 35:2 37:7 39:11 robotics 104:1 sectors 124:20,21 110.3 40:5 76:20 robust 117:20 147:13 schoolers 89:2 91:14 158:3 secure 29:22 143:3 resonate 87:14 149:5 91:18 92:13 103:9,10 resounding 6:5 150:12 rocket 56:22 58:22 see 9:20 13:22 24:7 111:4,4 resource 18:21 52:7 67:13.13 schools 59:2 91:7,9,15 29:16 31:14 32:1 39:1 resources 4:17 16:8 rods 52:20 39:5 44:10 46:4 51:2 96:19 97:11 101:4,4 51:8,20 55:14 79:16 role 54:1 57:3 58:9 60:3 59:5 61:1 70:9 82:13 111:18 135:9 101:17 122:8 124:15 60:6 63:21 69:5,7 science 2:9,10 4:18 83:12 84:11 86:3 89:5 82:21 88:11 128:4 90:2,22,22 91:19 97:5 125:10 21:15 24:17 56:22 respect 6:10 154:7 138:2 144:1,20 99:2 104:14 110:13 58:22 93:18 105:17 156:5 160:13 roll 92:9 113:15 126:9 135:1 scientist 1:13 53:5,10 respond 102:12 154:8 room 15:20 26:10 32:15 67:13 150:8 158:21 160:16 responded 117:19 43:17,18 63:14 scientists 52:14 53:8 seeing 28:10,14 29:20 response 25:3 29:9 rose 36:18 67:13,19 68:3 70:6 32:17 152:21

II
seen 31:4 32:15 35:4,8
59:13 66:21 97:7
111:11 116:2 155:5
155:22
segmenting 29:12
segments 114:11 segue 87:21 117:9
118:22 131:21
select 74:18 127:10
selecting 97:12
Senator 4:9 5:3
send 63:6
sends 112:10 senior 2:9,14 6:20
72:10
sense 9:21 57:6 67:7
94:3,14 153:2 158:18
sensor 53:1
separate 24:16 September 7:22 142:13
143:18
series 51:10
serious 88:7 89:6,8
seriously 160:15
SERLIN 2:5
serve 47:8 54:9 160:19 serves 44:18 56:2
service 4:16 17:8 55:2
56:2 161:19
services 8:4,6 11:15
35:5 47:16 86:9
serving 139:22,22 session 53:15 87:18
134:4 136:3
set 8:21 13:19 18:1
78:18 148:4
seven 15:3
shape 128:4
share 34:3 35:6,8,12 73:14 78:21 81:8,10
107:9 122:15 128:15
128:15 130:5 133:11
149:18 151:1
shared 8:3 61:8 106:19
129:5,9 130:13,21
133:17 144:16 sharing 31:4 33:18 34:1
34:6,21 80:10
Shell 13:5
shepherd 153:14
Sherrod 4:9 5:2
Shop 108:15,17 short 12:9,17 15:18
33:19 89:3 117:1
151:11
shortfall 114:8
shortly 14:6
shovels 53:11

show 8:1 67:19 84:15 90:3,5 91:17 98:20 105:12 showcasing 92:5 **showed** 86:20 showing 90:20 **SHUR** 2:5 **shut** 158:13 **shuttle** 53:12 side 46:16 102:17 104:8 109:10 **sides** 35:14 159:2 sign 13:4 56:20 83:1 signed 85:10 significantly 36:18 silly 36:13 84:6 similar 33:22 **simple** 54:20 155:13 simplify 7:21 simultaneously 123:9 Sincerely 5:2 sincerest 4:11 single 44:8 46:15 59:8 97:1 107:19 160:18 sit 8:16 21:16 98:12 155:2.21 situation 39:7 111:16 **six** 89:4 106:8,10,21 six-year- 105:22 **size** 107:20 sized 30:14 skated 80:7 **skill** 57:16 **skills** 56:15 112:19 slide 65:19 slides 64:18 slightly 25:22 **small** 22:2,6,14 26:1,2 30:14 35:14,16 44:2 44:15 45:7,12 46:1 47:6,11,14,15,17 51:18 52:15 54:1 55:9 55:21 56:2,3 71:2 73:17,18 74:5 76:10 76:17 77:18 80:20 87:3 110:18 152:11 154:9 small/medium 124:14 smaller 46:2 49:22 54:4 71:20 72:8 **smart** 9:17 **SMB** 30:19 **Smith** 2:14 6:19 7:8 10:14 14:19 19:5,22 21:2 85:2,3 88:1,22 92:18 104:21,22 109:20 115:22 117:21 127:19 134:19 139:1

SMTH 60:12 **Smyth** 1:13 4:3 14:19 19:1 20:14 21:12 33:4 36:2 43:11 60:5,14 63:8 64:11,21 66:19 69:16 75:6 80:12 85:1 85:18 87:16 104:21 116:18 118:21 120:6 122:16,22 126:5,21 127:4 131:20 140:4 143:5,8,12,19 145:13 150:15 160:21 **Snap-on** 2:6 social 39:8 59:15 76:1 society 28:19 software 22:4 solution 35:21 107:21 114:14,18 solutions 27:17 30:20 65:6 66:6,17 **solve** 28:7,8 39:12 40:3 68:1 78:2 116:17 155:16 **solving** 157:3 **somebody** 61:12 71:12 **soon** 9:19 18:6 23:12 142:14 143:4 sophistication 26:9 sophomore 97:13 **sorry** 19:15 103:1 110:11.12 144:10 sort 6:12 15:9 18:16 32:4 37:13 43:12 46:19,20 60:1 61:20 62:5 63:3 65:4 66:2 70:14 71:22 83:5.6 86:15 103:10 118:14 sounds 70:15 139:4 150:11 source 80:1,20 **Southband** 92:4,10 southwestern 63:12 **space** 22:6,7,10 67:4 81:9 **Sparks** 12:21 **speak** 32:7 34:6,10 67:14 136:22 142:18 147:21 150:14 speaker 14:12 88:21 92:16 **speakers** 51:21 161:14 speaking 43:4 142:3 spear 152:14 special 18:7 141:17 142:21,22 specialize 26:6 specialized 54:16 specializes 26:2

specialty 55:7 specific 6:14 20:6 62:7 90:12 128:20 135:16 147:4 specifically 53:6 114:20 119:17 127:9 127:11 132:22 133:10 136:14 137:1,6,9,15 138:9.9 speech 60:5 spend 32:2 37:9 58:19 61:13 70:19 158:5 spending 68:4 **spends** 57:2 spent 51:9 56:8 83:16 121:8 123:11 138:16 154:11 **sphere** 116:1 spoke 41:7 64:14 96:17 126:12 spoken 142:11 sponsored 87:12 **sponsors** 13:1,4 spots 101:21 **spring** 10:18 springboard 149:20 stacked 113:16 114:3 staff 2:20 18:21 55:19 67:22 88:18 133:11 161:17 stake 77:15 stakeholders 132:15 **standard** 30:9 151:5 standardized 151:6 159:5 standards 16:22 standing 14:14 standpoint 8:20 11:11 35:15 71:4 94:3 98:11 starred 89:1 starring 91:14 start 6:18 7:14 12:2 33:14 58:19 64:18 88:8 93:6 95:21 97:10 114:21 135:1 151:4 155:15 started 6:3 8:13 31:8 36:19 41:16 50:5 73:1 118:10 135:3 **starting** 42:1 59:6 97:16 97:18 starts 95:20,21 state 54:22 58:5 64:4 74:6 81:5 state-run 58:5 **stated** 102:2 **States** 4:9,21 5:3 33:9 38:16 60:9 61:9 75:16

78:22 81:6 93:14 144:21 156:17 statistics 111:15 stats 76:21 **status** 5:20 stay 117:16 staying 32:4 stealing 56:11 **step** 15:17 40:1 42:10 44:13 130:1 steps 3:19 27:9 31:15 32:14 131:18 156:20 **stolen** 77:6,10 Stone 79:17 81:12 **stood** 23:3 **stop** 29:10 69:4 stopping 34:15 storage 9:21 **stories** 84:6,7 story 12:13,17 20:7 73:14 strategic 2:1 48:12 strategies 131:15 strategize 50:20 **strategy** 152:13 stretch 70:17 strictly 46:6 **strong** 15:19 27:15 32:14,18 73:3 stronger 72:4 **strongly** 101:15,16 142:13 **structure** 36:8 150:2 structures 59:21 student 57:22 59:18 104:4.12 **students** 58:7 90:6 97:10 105:4 107:16 108:2,12,16 109:10 109:14 110:22 111:17 111:20 115:5 studies 18:1 study 99:18 118:6 stuff 14:7 57:1 69:19 70:19 73:2 stumped 81:22 **style** 81:15 subcommittee 3:14 19:16 24:18,21 89:7 89:13 99:13 101:16 109:4 114:2 119:1,16 119:16 123:1,11 125:16 127:5 128:7 128:12 129:15 133:2 134:3,9,16 135:22 136:9,18 146:8 147:18 156:5 Subcommittee's 135:9

subcommittees 5:17 5:19 40:15 116:22 119:9 132:9,13 146:16 147:5 149:10 150:1,19 **subject** 159:22 **submit** 8:19 126:12,16 127:15 submitted 126:14 **submitting** 100:8,13 **subset** 71:2 substance 140:13 succeeded 161:2 success 13:20 73:14 81:4 84:6,7 99:10 **successes** 80:17 81:18 successful 32:9 80:7 104:9 137:20 **Sue** 5:14 66:19 102:12 118:3,20,21 138:15 Sue's 71:17 suggestions 128:9 149:14 summaries 149:9 150:18 summary 122:17 129:8 148:15 summer 108:14 139:17 summertime 104:17 superintendents 96:18 superstructure 39:9 supplier 54:21 69:1,3,4 72:18 suppliers 54:10 68:17 68:21 72:3,12,15,20 74:15 **supply** 1:21 3:8 5:15 30:17 46:1,7,16 64:16 65:5,10 66:4,11,22 68:9,12 69:14 72:14 74:12,12 76:5,6 78:22 79:6 80:10 86:8 96:6 128:3,5,8,14,21 129:6 130:17 150:10,12 158:20 159:19 **support** 10:19 12:14 14:12 16:11 51:18 59:21 95:7 104:10 108:3 127:18 129:17

161:18

supported 99:21,22

supporting 22:3 128:3

sure 10:15 11:17 14:21

80:14 82:16 117:16

18:7 21:20 27:5 33:16

37:20 40:20 75:8 76:8

supporters 43:16

suppose 62:14

129:10 130:18 131:17 140:21 149:4 152:10 155:1 surprises 142:22 survey 93:11 105:2 122:2 153:11 Susan 1:13 2:11 15:4 64:13,14,21 88:4 117:18 118:20 126:11 142:3 146:20 147:19 147:20 150:10 151:22 156:15 Susan's 73:11 sustainability 93:17 **symptom** 39:15 synopsis 64:15 synthesize 151:14 **system** 45:18 46:22 47:13 51:13 82:20,21 83:6 95:7,19 96:4,5 97:1 98:12 104:5 154:4 systematic 34:18 systematically 34:12 systems 70:11 71:20

Т table 25:1 27:16,19 29:3 44:8 76:18.21 78:2 108:21 117:7 155:7 159:22 tactical 43:15 take 8:18 18:16 21:11 32:14 40:4,13 42:10 42:22 50:20 69:12 83:17 86:6 94:11 95:2 97:19 108:2 110:21 111:5 116:3 117:2 129:22 137:5 138:13 145:5,7 147:20 149:21 152:21 156:11 157:10,15 160:14 taken 31:15 50:18 131:18 takes 27:15 137:6 141:7 148:16 talent 95:14 98:6,6,7,8 101:18 106:7 talk 25:1,10 33:13 45:21 46:19 48:6,6,7,10,12 49:2,16 53:7 61:18 65:4 67:12 71:13 75:18 82:10,12 84:2,9 84:14 88:9,22 90:1 92:14 95:18 97:9 98:20 99:1,9 109:9,12 114:22 135:15 147:13 153:6 158:5,19,20,22

talked 12:5 49:6 51:17 66:17 68:13 69:21 80:16 90:12 99:12,12 99:13,14 131:3 138:2 144:17 158:1 talking 14:10 20:2,7 44:7 45:17 50:5 52:11 55:17 60:17 69:20 70:8 76:14 82:16 91:8 92:20 100:19 101:11 112:6 124:12 talks 80:6 86:16 tank 23:3 65:12 tap 52:8 tape 138:20 target 11:7 59:20 97:22 103:8,9 targeted 106:22 targeting 143:6 tariff 137:18 tasks 74:21 tax 40:10 74:1,2,3 93:22 120:9,20 136:17 152:11,21 154:12,15 154:17.19 taxation 153:4 **Taylor-Kale** 2:15 6:22 14:21 19:2,4 21:3 102:16,21 103:1,2 125:5,6,6 136:1,15 138:18 139:10 Taylor-Kale's 136:6 teacher 91:10 teachers 90:7 105:4 109:17,17 110:3,21 teachers' 109:12 teaching 70:19 team 6:9,16 8:16 60:6,7 80:11 113:3 117:19 117:21 141:6 teams 33:7,15 60:16 teaser 23:11 tech 96:19 108:15,17 technical 51:20 54:13 55:2 71:2,10 97:17 101:3,5 113:21 technologies 22:10 51:22 71:8 75:15 83:19 84:1 128:10,22 technologists 75:15 technology 2:10 4:18 8:1 21:15 24:17 25:7 46:11 52:18 66:9,21 68:2 78:11,12,15,18 79:1,14,19 83:14,21 93:17 95:9 155:15 technology-based

83:19

1	1	i	i
tee 117:4 140:19 146:19	91:19 124:18	thirdly 130:22 153:6	75:21 150:3
teed 102:12	thing 10:21 46:8 58:10	thought 11:1 15:7,7	top 18:1 75:15 85:17
tell 6:4 11:12 16:7 89:13	61:16,20 62:16,22	21:19 66:14 80:14	120:14 121:13 127:12
94:8,10,12 105:19	71:16 84:4 96:12	81:20 84:17 86:20	127:12 144:3 155:13
143:1 144:18 145:5	106:18 114:4 117:14	118:2 141:21 149:22	top- 75:14
153:9 160:18	122:12	thoughts 66:15 157:19	topic 10:2,12 21:19
temperature 9:22	things 8:9 13:11,13	thousands 91:22	23:21,22 24:16 89:13
template 119:14 147:22	17:14 18:18 26:3	158:14	102:13 115:1 116:2
Tennessee 110:1	27:21 38:15 46:14	threat 31:4	128:2,13,20 129:3,13
tentative 143:16	48:5,13,19,20 51:8	threatening 35:12	130:17 131:1 147:4
terabytes 28:2	55:4 56:13 57:22 62:9	threats 29:10,13 38:5,6	153:7
term 33:11 127:7	64:9 82:8,11 84:15,17	three 9:10 51:9 53:20	topics 9:1 10:12 70:8
139:13	84:20 85:21,22 86:2	58:2 65:8 77:11 81:19	120:15,17 127:8
terms 9:5 13:11 27:22	86:20 87:15 90:12	87:13 89:4 91:5 100:9	146:7 154:14 156:6
28:12 29:6,8 31:3,16	91:2 93:9,9 94:2,4,4	106:13 112:6,17	157:11,22
31:19 32:7 33:19 35:6	94:16,17 104:1	120:14,17 129:20	total 153:4
35:22 37:6 38:1,4	106:12 108:18 110:7	144:1 147:12	totally 72:12
39:13 40:1 42:1,15,17	112:22 116:20 118:4	three-and-a-half 152:3	touch 126:7
43:17 62:17 65:13	121:19,20 134:2	THURSDAY 1:5	touched 101:6
66:22 68:1 76:4,5,22	136:12 142:10 145:19	ties 28:4 83:6	tours 82:18
79:6 81:4,12 85:12	157:6 158:21	tight 5:6 32:17 117:14	town 48:16 50:1 72:7
93:7 97:8 149:11	think 4:19 6:15,22 8:12	Tim 102:11 103:17,18	72:10
151:2 153:17 154:14	12:18 15:12 17:20	105:1 117:22	TPCC 123:19
155:7 161:10	18:10,12,17 20:16	time 11:9 12:13 16:7	TPP 14:8,9
testing 52:19,21	22:10 23:2,10,22	18:10 24:2 28:3 32:6	tra 14:13
Textile 9:16	27:16 28:21 29:4,8	34:17 37:10 40:4	track 56:14 97:19 104:3
thank 5:4 6:17 7:8	31:17 37:7 39:11 42:2	42:22 45:21 53:16	104:4,19 117:16
14:19,21 15:1,4,15	42:13 52:8 56:15 60:6	56:8 57:3 58:20 63:3	Tracy 48:15
18:22 19:1,14,21	60:15 61:21 62:4 63:9	65:18,20 66:22 68:4	trade 17:16 40:10 86:15
20:13 21:1,8,9,12	63:13,20 65:12 67:2,2	68:10 81:16 97:13	120:9,20 123:8,14,18
25:11,12 26:17,19	67:3,16 68:4,7 69:14	104:9 106:13 109:11	123:20 124:3,5,6,10 124:12,16 132:19
27:1 29:14 36:2,3 42:18,19 43:7,8,9,21	69:22 70:5,17 71:7 72:22 73:2,6,7 76:4	112:15 123:12 125:21 129:5 132:5 133:22	136:4,7,17 154:15,16
49:7 60:12,13,14,18	76:16 77:2 78:11	139:11 140:9,18,22	train 95:10 112:20
60:21 63:7,8,15,17	79:13,14 80:2 84:4,5	141:15,22 145:20	153:10
64:12,16 69:16 75:6,8	90:2 93:11 94:22	146:5,14 148:6,8	training 53:20 56:14
75:9 78:4,7 80:12	98:10 105:7 106:4	151:13 154:11 156:16	58:4,8 59:2 82:21
85:1 87:19,20 88:4	107:18 108:19 109:11	160:18 161:8	91:10 109:16 126:15
92:18 102:8,16,22	109:21 112:10,12	timeframe 15:18 117:1	153:2
107:10 109:1,19	114:22 115:18 117:18	117:15	transfer 22:5 93:17
111:22 117:6,7,19	118:15 119:5 120:4	times 5:8 51:20 75:12	transferred 158:15
118:19,21 119:12	122:5,13 134:22	89:19 142:12	transformation 61:2
120:3 122:14,16,21	137:22 141:16 143:5	timing 114:19 126:19	transition 39:18 49:4
126:4,5,11,20 127:3	143:22 145:18 146:1	133:18,20	131:2,5,17 140:1
127:17 129:17 131:20	146:4,6 147:6,8 149:3	TIMOTHY 2:3	translate 81:13 82:6
132:7,10 134:15	150:16,17,20,22	tipped 137:2	translated 61:8
135:6,20 138:6,14,22	151:5 155:6,8,12,21	tipping 36:14,16,22	translates 79:6
139:2 140:3,5 141:18	156:13 157:5 158:4	title 37:14,20 41:12	translation 54:5 79:18
142:6 144:6 147:14	160:2,6,8,12,22	today 5:11 7:9 35:19	79:22
151:22 154:5 155:17	thinkers 138:2	37:16,22 41:19 76:11	transmitted 132:14
156:1 159:22 160:20	thinking 36:19 62:2,18	77:1 78:3 83:3 88:16	134:6,18 135:10
160:21 161:12,13,17	65:5 66:9 67:4 70:1	89:16 90:4,18 91:8	136:10
thankful 15:22 thanking 27:7	70:21 76:7 80:2	94:8 97:8,21,22 121:1	Transportation 137:19 travel 142:15
thanking 27:7 thanks 64:11 112:4	105:12 113:2,3 139:2 140:18	126:12 144:19 145:3 157:22	travei 142:15 treat 43:6
116:19	third 23:19 47:12 65:13	told 41:4,19 69:3	tried 103:22
theirs 10:4	84:4 101:8 112:8	tool 2:2 25:20 31:3	trip 17:17
theme 46:22 88:13	150:4	tools 30:22 31:16 39:9	trips 105:21
		3.5.5.5	
п			

way 30:18 34:18 42:10

trust 47:7 48:4,12 49:17 50:9 51:1 82:3 84:9 trust-based 73:2 trusted 47:5,22 truth 111:10 try 18:19,20 56:9 75:3 84:18 89:19 113:15 146:9 147:2 trying 7:21 11:14 28:11 47:18 55:8 62:8 78:15 83:15,16 94:7 99:3 108:6 111:12 129:22 142:20 143:3 **TTP** 120:7 turn 7:5,5 31:11 32:17 32:22 42:11 117:18 140:3 turned 41:16 turnover 40:13,16 turns 30:9 twice 161:7 two 9:9 10:7,11,16 36:12 40:15 46:4 48:14,18 56:13 57:7 58:2 61:11 66:1.6 67:2 68:15 69:15 71:4 73:15 82:2 84:17 89:4 90:17 91:17 93:8 97:11 98:4 100:7 106:12 108:17 120:10 120:17,19 124:5 126:12 127:7,10 141:22,22 142:10,17 148:5,20,21 154:18 159:2 two-month 50:12 type 12:9 26:13,16 36:16 113:21 149:17 types 19:17 98:4 124:5 **typical** 161:8 typically 26:8 47:19 57:17 107:1

U

U.S 1:1,3,10 3:12 4:5,11 4:12 17:18 38:13 41:20 93:15 131:7,11 131:12 132:18 133:5 133:13 138:14 156:9 156:13 ubiquitous 39:20 ultimate 112:14 121:4 unabashed 16:19 unashamed 90:20 underemployed 56:16 underlying 10:14 undermining 26:4 understand 10:3 31:22

38:2 69:6 102:9 114:7 141:13 156:22 understanding 37:10 85:7 116:12 146:12 underway 7:17 11:21 unemployed 56:17 unexpected 28:17 unfilled 99:8 unfortunately 42:21 62:10 unintended 155:11 **Union** 1:10 161:17 unique 46:21 139:11 United 2:5 4:8,21 5:2 33:9 38:15 60:9 61:9 75:16 78:22 81:5 93:13 144:20 156:16 universities 24:12 51:16 **University** 2:11 40:22 55:13 unknowns 39:22 unstructured 150:5 unusable 36:15 unveil 8:2 upcoming 4:22 130:15 update 5:20 7:10 21:5,7 21:14 123:2 133:9 135:18 **updates** 3:3 5:9 **upgrade** 128:10 **urban** 59:13 108:16 use 33:7 39:8 46:11 74:19 80:4 153:9 161:19 useful 65:17 86:19 87:15 157:22 user 34:8

V

vacation 50:18,20

usually 30:1,6

utilize 129:11

Utz 135:13,14

valuable 122:8 valuation 77:7 value 8:11 46:21,22 55:22 value-added 32:12 varied 45:19 venture 115:22 venue 19:7 venues 19:18 verbally 129:10 version 151:11,12 viable 29:18 30:20 vice 1:14,21 2:1,5,6 6:1 15:4 43:12 140:4 **video** 92:4.11 97:3 **videos** 91:18 96:15 108:3 110:17 view 12:6 46:20,20 viewed 95:19 village 141:8 viral 80:22,22 81:3,3 Virginia 61:12 68:7 virtual 81:6,9,10,17 82:7 virtually 84:5,20 **viruses** 36:21 visas 115:3 visibly 119:6 vision 14:15 visit 19:19 119:15 **vitalize** 101:18 voice 137:11 voices 137:6 138:9 **Volvo** 68:14,15,19,21 69:1,2 72:9 voted 91:21 votes 91:22 vulnerabilities 75:19 vulnerable 35:19

W

wait 98:12

walls 75:21 want 7:9 11:9 12:9 14:20 15:13 16:12 17:4 22:18,21 32:5,16 37:19 59:9 63:6 64:22 65:19 72:13,16 80:22 81:3 90:22 106:15.17 108:1 110:10 111:12 115:5 116:8,19 117:6 119:11,18 125:19 131:21 138:13 140:6 141:10 142:19 147:14 147:19 150:4,6 151:22 156:11 158:5 159:11,21 160:4,18 wanted 21:13 24:4,15 46:19 53:1 54:17 61:4 63:9,14,19 65:2 74:22 93:5 107:11 139:15 141:19 144:14 145:11 153:6 wants 20:11 144:11 war 34:10 warming 64:22 wasn't 11:8 121:1 122:10 waste 68:12 69:13 watch 33:11 89:3 watched 67:8 water 53:6,7

51:3 55:1,22 56:1 57:15 58:17 62:4 63:2 65:4,18 71:7 77:5 79:13 81:1 82:4 84:14 84:21 107:2 108:11 113:15 114:8 118:12 139:3 140:12 152:6 160:19 **Wayne** 80:6 ways 34:5 51:19 65:8 65:16 71:4 108:20 113:22 we'll 9:2 64:18 90:21 91:9 100:20 130:14 133:9 149:17 151:10 151:11 we're 6:11,12 8:22 11:5 11:16 12:2 20:9 22:7 24:1 28:13 29:6,9 31:6 33:7 34:11,21 35:19 39:14,18 55:17 55:21 56:13 58:8,12 58:12,13 59:5 61:14 63:5 67:4 72:2 73:15 74:6 76:11 88:6.10 89:2,5,6,7,12,21 90:4 91:7,18 92:3 94:9,18 98:21 99:3,9 100:8,10 100:11,18 101:1,11 101:19,21 108:20 113:6,13 115:8 116:5 116:21 117:14 118:5 119:16 120:18 127:21 129:22 135:22 136:3 139:19 141:4 142:12 142:20 143:2 146:22 147:8,20 148:7,14,14 148:22 149:8,19 150:19 156:16 157:2 157:4,7,8 161:11 we've 10:19 25:6 35:7 35:10 36:22 40:11 49:11 51:9 55:3.6 69:21 80:15 84:8 86:16 101:6 111:11 111:17 119:5 120:14 120:22 126:13 127:19 128:18 130:18.20.21 131:9 142:11 143:22 145:21 147:4 149:7 150:22 webinars 87:5,12 website 9:18 23:10 25:10 83:1,11 Wednesday 8:15 week 10:10 14:6 23:14 23:15 24:8 56:19

	ı	1	1
93:11 98:3 106:8	135:12 136:8,8,17	146:4 152:3	12:00 161:22
119:13 142:16 143:9	137:1,8,17,18 145:8	vear's 110:8	1211 1:10
143:11,12,18 154:18	151:6 153:17 154:4	Yeargin 2:8 36:3 40:7	12th 7:22 58:18
weeks 85:10 106:9,10	155:8 160:6,11	114:7 120:7,8,8	13-plus 11:14
106:21 108:17	worked 55:3,6 67:17,21	136:18 142:10 143:11	132 3:16
weighted 137:7	73:21 86:22 91:15	143:17,20 147:16	13485 159:6
welcome 3:2 4:4,4,11	94:6 153:13	154:10	15 24:22 105:20
30:6 43:1,7	workers 4:16 103:13	years 5:2 45:13 48:9,18	15,000 115:2
welding 56:19	workforce 3:10 5:17	49:8 50:19 51:9 57:7	150 57:7 106:11
went 38:11 50:13 54:16	19:16 46:14 53:22	59:17 62:11 67:10	16 106:22
74:17 104:6 106:19	56:9 58:6 82:15 87:22	77:11 78:17 94:11	160 3:19
122:2	88:2,7 89:6 90:2,7	97:11 100:2,3 103:22	161 3:21
weren't 93:22 122:3	91:8 93:1 95:8 97:18	122:11 143:22	17th 23:16
western 2:11 89:1 91:6	99:3 107:2 110:5,16	yesterday 7:2,4 8:12	18 29:3 128:19 129:13
wheel 30:15 75:2	114:1,20 126:8,15	15:7 16:5,15 20:18	18th 100:12 121:4
Whirlpool 1:22 87:6	134:3,16 135:8 153:2	26:22 27:3 33:5,11	126:17
whispering 139:18	working 4:16 7:19 10:1	36:5,18 44:1 48:14	198,000 13:10
white 12:16 24:7 31:1	10:6,20 11:17 12:4	52:13 55:20 60:4	1st 9:14 25:6
52:11 61:16 65:10,22	13:2 14:3,22 17:16	64:14,19 65:3 70:13	
76:5,6 78:14 87:4	40:11 52:5 54:13,19	76:21 86:1 89:14 99:4	2
128:4,13 130:19	75:11 89:7,21 98:21	102:17 108:10 113:7	2 40:18 57:20 66:4 71:8
WIBs 58:5	101:19 106:21 114:16	125:8 131:3 133:20	99:7 101:20 110:19
wicked 95:20	118:5 124:2 136:5	134:4 136:3	114:7
wide 154:14	works 2:2 13:9 14:1	yesterday's 6:5	2.1 94:19
Wilcox 2:6 43:21 86:18	25:20 62:14 73:7,7	yield 103:7,13	20 17:20 57:19 84:16
87:8 126:22 127:5	86:5 120:20	young 28:13 105:16,20	106:8 138:20 140:9
willing 26:11 49:15	world 7:13 39:19 81:18	106:6 109:14,18	
winner 92:8	82:7 95:9 113:19	111:4 114:4	20-person 50:8
			20,000 74:8
winners 80:11 91:22	worrying 48:5	Youngstown 44:19	2006 101:3
winter 133:1	wouldn't 45:5 57:11	yous 43:9	2015 135:14 136:22
wishes 5:1	107:8	Z	2015-2016 3:14 119:1
women 114:5	wrap 5:18		161:1
wonder 114:13	writing 12:3 134:13	Z 119:18 148:11	2016 1:6 22:20 133:1
wonderful 62:20 103:4	written 16:6	Zach 25:19	135:3 138:20 139:18
109:4 115:6 125:10	wrong 89:19	ZACHARY 2:2	2020 97:16 156:14
153:22		ZHAO 2:20	2022 97:19
wondering 33:12 81:7	X	ZHOU 2:17	2024 97:19
Wong 1:16 60:21 61:3	X 119:18 148:11	Zimmerman 133:15	2025 97:20 99:8 101:21
78:7 81:11 126:22	XIANG 2:20		114:8,16 156:14
127:3,4 131:22 156:3	XM 137:1,16	0	21 3:4
156:4			23rd 23:16
word 146:10	Y	1	24-year-olds 106:22
word- 61:20	Y 119:18 148:11	1 40:17 66:1 71:7	250,000 13:12
words 112:15 114:14	YAEGER 103:16	1,000 77:4	27 3:5
work 7:15 13:8 14:15	Yeager 2:7 43:19 88:2,4	10 62:11 67:10 74:15	
15:11,11 16:1,1,13	92:12 98:19 110:10	83:4 91:15,16 100:2	3
18:19 21:17 26:7,16	113:4 126:8 144:13	106:14,14 148:13	3 57:20
27:7 31:16 37:1 39:4	144:14 145:11	151:17 155:13	3-D 22:11
40:9 52:17 60:22	yeah 19:22 63:16 69:15	10- 56:18	3,000 13:19,21 14:2
61:20 65:10,11,21	69:18 72:22 85:20	10,000 74:10,16	30 8:18 45:13 50:16
		100 59:17 75:15 91:7	117:10 142:5
66:21 67:15,18 68:6.8	87:9 94:3 143:12		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
66:21 67:15,18 68:6,8 68:13 72:7,13 75:2			33 154:2
68:13 72:7,13 75:2	147:20	106:9	33 154:2 36-40 11:2
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1	106:9 100,000 74:14	36-40 11:2
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14 99:17 100:4 101:9,13	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1 13:7 16:1,3,3 22:20	106:9 100,000 74:14 10s 91:22	
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14 99:17 100:4 101:9,13 112:12,18 113:14	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1 13:7 16:1,3,3 22:20 23:13 32:17 70:19	106:9 100,000 74:14 10s 91:22 118 3:12	36-40 11:2
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14 99:17 100:4 101:9,13 112:12,18 113:14 122:14 130:10 132:8	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1 13:7 16:1,3,3 22:20 23:13 32:17 70:19 74:8 94:10 105:3	106:9 100,000 74:14 10s 91:22 118 3:12 12 1:6 29:3 65:19	36-40 11:2 3s 57:21
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14 99:17 100:4 101:9,13 112:12,18 113:14 122:14 130:10 132:8 132:11 133:6,14	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1 13:7 16:1,3,3 22:20 23:13 32:17 70:19 74:8 94:10 105:3 106:9,10 109:22	106:9 100,000 74:14 10s 91:22 118 3:12 12 1:6 29:3 65:19 129:13	36-40 11:2 3s 57:21 4 4 3:2
68:13 72:7,13 75:2 85:11 86:15 94:9,14 99:17 100:4 101:9,13 112:12,18 113:14 122:14 130:10 132:8	147:20 year 7:15,16 11:5 12:1 13:7 16:1,3,3 22:20 23:13 32:17 70:19 74:8 94:10 105:3	106:9 100,000 74:14 10s 91:22 118 3:12 12 1:6 29:3 65:19	36-40 11:2 3s 57:21

Ī			103
43 3:6			
4s 57:21			
5			
50 45:16 57:10			
50- 48:15 500 77:4			
500- 88:12			
6			
64 3:8			
67 141:12 152:9			
7			
7 3:3 7.5 13:12			
70 106:10			
70,000 13:7			
8			
80 41:4 81 105:7			
84 153:15			
88 3:10			
9			
9:15 1:11 4:2 98 45:12			
00 10.112			
	1	I	l

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: US Manufacturing Council Meeting

Before: US DOC

Date: 04-12-16

Place: Cleveland, OH

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &